## Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project Cultural Resources Technical Report for the Estrella Route San Luis Obispo County, California

Prepared for:

#### **Pacific Gas and Electric Company**

1455 East Shaw Avenue Fresno, California 93760 Attn: Tom Johnson

Prepared by

#### SWCA Environmental Consultants 60 Stone Pine Road, Suite 100 Half Moon Bay, California 94019 (650) 440-4160 www.swca.com

January 2017

Archaeological and other heritage resources can be damaged or destroyed through uncontrolled public disclosure of information regarding their location. This document contains sensitive information regarding the nature and location of archaeological sites that should not be disclosed to the general public or unauthorized persons.

Information regarding the location, character, or ownership of a cultural resource is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act pursuant to 16 United States Code (U.S.C.) 470w-3 (National Historic Preservation Act) and 16 U.S.C. Section 470(h) (Archaeological Resources Protections Act).

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## Purpose and Scope

This document is a Cultural Resources Technical Report (CRTR) for the 70 kilovolt (kV) power line component of the Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project (project) proposed jointly by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) and NextEra Energy Transmission West, LLC. PG&E proposes to construct approximately 11 miles of a new double-circuit power line and 6 miles of reconductoring to reinforce the electrical grid in the Paso Robles area.

This CRTR was prepared to document the existing cultural resources in the vicinity of the power line portions of the project. This cultural resources study generally addresses a 400-foot corridor for the new power line segment and a 100-foot corridor for the reconductoring segment. This entire area, herein referred to as the cultural resources study area (study area), consists of 613.35 acres. Cultural resources specialists conducted archival research, Native American coordination, a reconnaissance-level built environment survey, a limited archaeological survey, a geoarchaeological study, and prepared this technical report documenting the results of the inventory.

This study was completed in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). California Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5024.1, California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 14, Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines, and PRC Sections 21083.2 and 21084.1 were also used as the basic guidelines for the cultural resources study (Governor's Office of Planning and Research 1998). This report follows guidance outlined in *Cultural Resources Study Plan Electric Transmission – Greenfield Projects Rev.* 7.1.16 (PG&E 2016).

## **Dates of Investigation**

Matthew Armstrong of PG&E requested a search of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) from the Central Coast Information Center (CCIC), located at University of California, Santa Barbara. The CCIC returned results on April 12, 2016. On March 29, 2016, Mr. Armstrong requested a search of the Sacred Lands Files from the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). A response was received by email from the NAHC on March 30, 2016. The project proponents sent letters via mail and email to the two Native American representatives identified by the NAHC who may have knowledge of cultural resources in the vicinity of the study area on June 27, 2016. As of October 6, 2016, both Native American representatives have responded to the project proponents. Archaeologists conducted an intensive pedestrian archaeological survey of the sensitive portions of the study area between May 23 and 28, 2016. An architectural historian conducted the reconnaissance-level built environment field survey between May 16 and May 19, 2016.

## **Investigation Constraints**

The project footprint extends several linear miles and within areas of various levels of development; as such, ground surface visibility during the archaeological survey was highly variable but generally poor to moderate, ranging from less than 5 percent in undisturbed, highly vegetated areas to over 90 percent in unpaved roadways and disturbed areas that have been cleared of vegetation. Planted vineyard lands had an average ground surface visibility of 40 to 50 percent. Developed areas and those obscured by hardscape features had no surface visibility at the time of survey. A number of parcels were not surveyed due to landowner access restrictions. In addition, certain parcels were not surveyed do to unsafe conditions as a result of livestock or domestic animals.

## **Summary of Findings**

Results of the CHRIS records search revealed that 62 previous cultural resource studies have been conducted within a 0.5-mile radius of the study area. The CHRIS records search also identified 35 previously recorded cultural resources within a 0.5-mile radius of the study area. Of these resources, two are mapped within the study area: P-40-038110, an isolate consisting of three historical ceramic fragments, and P-40-038111, an isolate consisting of a prehistoric chert biface fragment (neither isolate was relocated during survey). One prehistoric isolate (36052-S-011) was identified in the course of the limited pedestrian archaeological survey. The built environment constraints study identified two historicage transmission lines and five parcels with historic-age buildings, structures, or objects that intersect at least a portion of the study area. Three of these properties, the Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery Robles, the Diablo-Gates 500kV Transmission line, and the San Miguel-Paso 70kV Power Line were recently evaluated in the course of reporting for another part of this project and recommended not eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR). The remaining were properties were not evaluated for historic significance as part of the current study and their current CRHR eligibility is unknown.

# CONTENTS

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARYI |  |                                 |  |  |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 1                  | INTRODUCTION   1.1 PROJECT LOCATION   1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION   1.2.1 New 70 kV Power Line Segment   1.2.2 Reconductoring Segment   1.2.3 Construction | • 1<br>• 1<br>• 4<br>• 4<br>• 5 |  |  |
| 2                  | REGULATORY BACKGROUND  | 7                               |  |  |
|                    | 2.1 FEDERAL  | 7                               |  |  |
|                    | 2.2 STATE  | 7                               |  |  |
|                    | 2.2.1 California Register of Historical Resources  | . 7                             |  |  |
|                    | 2.2.2 Assembly Bill 52   | 7                               |  |  |
|                    | 2.2.3 California Health and Safety Code and Public Resources Code  | 7                               |  |  |
|                    | 2.3 LOCAL  | 8                               |  |  |
|                    | 2.3.1 City of El Paso de Robles Ceneral Plan   | . 0                             |  |  |
|                    | 21312 City of Drif all all receips Doming Cramatice  | . /                             |  |  |
| 3                  | PROJECT SETTING  | 9                               |  |  |
|                    | 3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING  | 9                               |  |  |
|                    | 3.2 CULTURAL SETTING   | 10                              |  |  |
|                    | 3.2.1 Prehistoric Overview   | 10                              |  |  |
|                    | 3.2.3 Historical Overview  | 16                              |  |  |
|                    | 3.2.4 Significant Themes   | 21                              |  |  |
| 4                  | NATIVE AMERICAN COORDINATION   |                                 |  |  |
| 5                  | METHODS  | 30                              |  |  |
| U                  | 5.1 RECORDS SEARCH   | 30                              |  |  |
|                    | 5.2 ADDITIONAL BACKGROUND RESEARCH   | 30                              |  |  |
|                    | 5.3 BURIED SITE SENSITIVITY STUDY  | 30                              |  |  |
|                    | 5.4 ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY  | 30                              |  |  |
|                    | 5.5 BUILT ENVIRONMENT CONSTRAINTS STUDY  | 31                              |  |  |
| 6                  | RESULTS  | 31                              |  |  |
|                    | 6.1 RECORDS SEARCH   | 31                              |  |  |
|                    | 6.1.1 Previously Conducted Cultural Resource Studies   | 31                              |  |  |
|                    | 6.1.2 Previously Recorded Cultural Resources   | 37                              |  |  |
|                    | 6.2 BURIED SITE SENSITIVITY STUDY  | 41                              |  |  |
|                    | 6.3 ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY  | 43                              |  |  |
|                    | 6.3.1 Isolated Find  | 43                              |  |  |
|                    | 6.4 HISTORICAL BUILT ENVIRONMENT RESOURCES   | 44                              |  |  |

| 7 | SUMMARY           | 45 |
|---|-------------------|----|
| 8 | REFERENCES        | 47 |
| 9 | LIST OF PREPARERS | 58 |

## FIGURES

| Figure 2. Project Components Map   | ject Vicinity Map2   |
|--|--|
|  | vject Components Map   |
| Figure 3. Isolated Monterey chert secondary flake identified during survey work amid naturally occurring chert | lated Monterey chert secondary flake identified during survey work amid naturally<br>ing chert |

## TABLES

| Table 1. Native American Coordination Summary                                     | 29 |
|---|----|
| Table 2. Previous Cultural Resources Studies within 0.5 Mile of the Study Area    | 32 |
| Table 3. Previously Recorded Cultural Resources within 0.5 Mile of the Study Area | 37 |
| Table 4. Resources Identified During Archaeological Survey.                       | 43 |

## **APPENDICES**

- Appendix A. Native American Coordination Records
- Appendix B. Central Coast Information Center Records Search Results
- Appendix C. CONFIDENTIAL Central Coast Information Center Records Search Results
- Appendix D. Archaeological Survey Coverage Map
- Appendix E. *CONFIDENTIAL* Archaeological Survey Results Map and Department of Parks and Recreation 523 Series Forms
- Appendix F. Built Environment Constraints Study Results Map
- Appendix G. Department of Parks and Recreation 523 Series Forms

## **ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

| APM     | Applicant Proposed Measure                                     |
|---------|--|
| BLM     | U.S. Bureau of Land Management                                 |
| CCIC    | Central Coast Information Center                               |
| CCR     | California Code of Regulations                                 |
| CEQA    | California Environmental Quality Act                           |
| CFR     | Code of Federal Regulations                                    |
| CHRIS   | California Historical Resources Information System             |
| City    | City of El Paso de Robles, agency                              |
| County  | County of San Luis Obispo, agency                              |
| CPUC    | California Public Utilities Commission                         |
| CRHR    | California Register of Historical Resources                    |
| CRTR    | Cultural Resources Technical Report                            |
| DPR     | California Department of Parks and Recreation                  |
| GIS     | Geographic Information Systems                                 |
| GO      | General Order  |
| GPS     | Global Positioning System                                      |
| kV      | kilovolt   |
| LDSP    | light-duty steel pole  |
| LST     | lattice steel tower  |
| MCA     | Medieval Climatic Anomaly                                      |
| NAHC    | Native American Heritage Commission                            |
| NEPA    | National Environmental Policy Act                              |
| NHPA    | National Historic Preservation Act                             |
| NPS     | National Park Service  |
| NRCS    | Natural Resources Conservation Service                         |
| NRHP    | National Register of Historic Places                           |
| OHP     | California Office of Historic Preservation                     |
| PG&E    | Pacific Gas and Electric Company                               |
| PRC     | California Public Resources Code                               |
| project | Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project |
| SR      | State Route  |
| TCR     | Tribal Cultural Resources                                      |
| TPD     | triangular post and dead-end                                   |

| TSP    | tubular steel pole     |
|--------|------------------------|
| U.S.C. | United States Code     |
| US 101 | U.S. Highway 101       |
| USFS   | U.S. Forest Service    |
| USGS   | U.S. Geological Survey |

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) will construct a new approximately 11-mile double-circuit 70 kilovolt (kV) power line and approximately 6 miles of reconductoring in the Paso Robles area of San Luis Obispo County, California (Figure 1). PG&E is undertaking this effort as part of the larger Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project (project) proposed jointly by PG&E and NextEra Energy Transmission West, LLC (NEET West). The project will reinforce the electrical grid in the Paso Robles area.

This Cultural Resources Technical Report (CRTR) was prepared to document the existing cultural resources within the project area. This report describes the methodologies used to document the cultural resources identified and presents the results of those investigations. Cultural resources specialists conducted archival research, Native American coordination, a reconnaissance-level built environment survey, a limited archaeological survey, a geoarchaeological study, and prepared this technical report documenting the results of the inventory. A similar report has been prepared for the substation component, referred to as Estrella Substation, and the results of that effort are presented under separate cover.

# **1.1 Project Location**

The project is located in the north-central portion of San Luis Obispo County, California, within and around Paso Robles (Figures 1 and 2). The project route begins at Estrella Substation approximately 5 miles east of downtown Paso Robles, extends generally northeast for 2.1 miles, generally northwest for 4.2 miles, and then west for 4.3 miles where it intersects the existing San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV power line. The project then continues generally south for approximately 6 miles paralleling portions of Salinas River, and finally ties into Paso Robles Substation in Paso Robles. Land uses surrounding the project primarily consist of urban and rural residential developments and agricultural areas dominated by vineyards. The project is located on a combination of privately owned and City of Paso Robles (City) owned parcels.

# **1.2 Project Description**

The project will include the construction of approximately 11 miles of a new double-circuit 70 kV power line extending from Estrella Substation to the existing San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV power line. At this interconnection point, the existing San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV power line will extend in two separate directions (north and south). The new Estrella-San Miguel 70 kV power line circuit will be connected to the portion of existing 70 kV power line that heads north to San Miguel Substation. The new Estrella-Paso Robles 70 kV power line will also be connected to the portion of the existing San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV power line that extends south to Paso Robles Substation. This approximately 6-mile portion of existing 70 kV power line between the interconnection point and Paso Robles Substation will be reconductored as part of the project.

A more detailed description of the new double-circuit portion (new 70 kV power line segment) and reconductoring portion (reconductoring segment) of these power lines is provided in the subsections that follow.

## Figure 1. Project Vicinity Map





#### Figure 2. Project Components Map

## 1.2.1 New 70 kV Power Line Segment

The new 70 kV power line segment consists of a new, approximately 11-mile-long, double-circuit, 70 kV power line that will be constructed between Estrella Substation and the existing San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV power line. The new 70 kV power line segment will travel northeast from Estrella Substation, spanning over vineyards and under the existing Diablo-Gates 500 kV and Morro Bay-Gates 230 kV transmission lines to a position on the northwest side of the existing transmission lines and traveling northeast and parallel with the other two existing tower lines for approximately 2.1 miles before reaching its intersection with State Route (SR-) 46. The line will then head north along an existing dirt road through vineyards for approximately 1 mile. At this point, the line will zig zag generally northwest along existing dirt and paved roads through vineyards and rural residential areas, extending west for 0.5 mile, north for 0.5 mile, north for 0.4 mile, west for 0.4 mile, north for 0.1 mile, west for 0.5 mile, and north for 0.5 mile. The line will then head west through vineyards and grasslands for 2 miles, and then west along Wellsona Road for an additional 2.3 miles. At the intersection of Wellsona Road and River Road, the new 70 kV power line segment will interconnect with the existing San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV power line, forming the new San Miguel-Union 70 kV power line to the north and the new Estrella-Paso Robles 70 kV power line to the south (reconductoring segment).

The new 70 kV power line segment will be supported by a combination of lattice steel towers (LSTs), tubular steel poles (TSPs), wood poles, and light-duty steel poles (LDSPs). A description of the required structures and the associated conductors is provided in the subsections that follow.

## 1.2.1.1 STRUCTURES

The new 70 kV power line segment will consist of approximately 10.6 miles of double-circuit 70 kV line on a combination of LSTs, TSPs, wood poles, and LDSPs. The portion of the line that will be installed within the existing PG&E transmission corridor will utilize LSTs. The LSTs will be installed generally adjacent to the existing 500 kV towers, utilizing an average span length of approximately 1,100 feet. Each LST will be installed on four individual concrete pier foundations.

The remainder of the new 70 kV power line segment will utilize two types of poles, as follows:

- **Tubular Steel Poles:** In locations where the new 70 kV power line segment is not parallel to the existing Diablo-Gates 500 kV transmission line, TSPs will be typically installed in locations where the alignment changes direction, utilizing an average span length of approximately 300 to 500 feet. Each TSP will be installed on one concrete pier foundation.
- Light-Duty Steel Poles: In locations where the new 70 kV power line segment is not parallel to the existing Diablo-Gates 500 kV transmission line, LDSPs will be typically installed in locations where the alignment is generally straight, utilizing an average span length of approximately 300 to 500 feet.

## 1.2.2 Reconductoring Segment

An approximately 6-mile-long portion of the existing 70 kV power line will be reconductored beginning where the new overhead segment intercepts the existing 70 kV power line. The reconductoring segment will travel south along the existing pole line alignment for 1.4 miles before crossing over Estrella River, then southwest for 0.5 mile where it intersects River Road, then generally south for 1.1 miles on the east side of River Road before crossing into Paso Robles. Land uses within the county portion of the reconductoring segment consist largely of vineyards, pastureland, and rural residential. Once in the city limits, the reconductoring segment will continue to travel south for approximately 3 miles, staying generally east of River Road, and running near and through some residential communities. At the

intersection of South River Road and Cary Street, the reconductoring segment will turn southeast and continue along Cary Street for approximately 0.1 mile before terminating at Paso Robles Substation.

#### 1.2.2.1 STRUCTURES

The reconductoring segment will consist of approximately 6 miles of single-circuit 70 kV power line on a combination of LDSPs and/or wood poles and new TSPs. As described previously, LDSPs will typically be used in locations where the alignment is generally straight and TSPs will be used in locations where the alignment changes direction. Anchors and guy wires may be attached to LDSPs in locations where additional stability is required to support the conductor tension. The new poles will typically be installed within 10 feet of the existing poles, which will result in a typical pole spacing of approximately 300 feet. The final pole designs, heights, and spacing will be determined during final engineering based on the topography and existing land uses in the vicinity of the project.

## 1.2.3 Construction

#### 1.2.3.1 STAGING AREAS

The staging areas will be the main base of operations during project construction. They will be the assembly point for project personnel, as well as the location for temporary, portable bathroom facilities; equipment storage during off-work hours and weekends; materials storage; employee parking; office trailer staging; and a meeting area, as needed, for project management.

Two staging areas between 5 and 15 acres in size will be established during project construction. One staging area will be established in close proximity to Estrella Substation and another near the connection point of the new 70 kV power line segment to the existing San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV power line. Exact locations for the staging areas will be determined during the final planning phase prior to construction. Final staging area sizes will vary depending on negotiations with third-party property owners to establish the staging area's temporary construction easements. If not already provided, inground chain-link fencing will be installed around the perimeter of the staging areas for security purposes. Power to staging areas will be supplied by tapping an existing distribution line in the area.

Prior to use, the staging area will be prepared to allow for the safe operation of construction equipment and vehicles. If the selected site is not comprised of a solid earth or concrete/paved foundation, any weeds will be cleared.

## 1.2.3.2 WORK AREAS

Several temporary work areas will be established to facilitate construction of the project. These temporary work areas are also described in further detail in the subsections that follow. The precise locations of the temporary work areas will be determined as part of the final design and may be changed, as necessary, at the time of construction due to land use changes, unanticipated impacts, and other factors. Unless specified in the subsections that follow, all work areas will be accessed from adjacent paved roads, unpaved roads, or site-specific overland access routes. In some locations, work areas may be accessed by footpaths if conditions preclude the use of vehicles. A more detailed description of the project access is included below. Following construction, all temporary work areas will be restored to pre-construction conditions.

#### Structure Work Areas

Structure work areas will be established at each tower/pole that will be installed as part of the project. These work areas will be used to facilitate the tower/pole assembly, erection, and hardware assembly processes. They will also be used to support the conductor installation/removal processes. These work areas will typically be centered on the proposed tower/pole location, and will vary in size from 120 feet

by 120 feet to 40 feet by 40 feet, depending on the type of tower/pole being installed. The final tower/pole locations will be determined when engineering is complete and, where feasible, will be adjusted to account for property owner preferences. Structure work areas may also be adjusted to accommodate the final tower/pole locations and to avoid environmental resources. These work areas may be cleared of vegetation and graded, if necessary, prior to their use. Some sites may also require tree trimming, tree removal, and/or vine removal.

#### **Crossing Structure Work Areas**

Prior to the installation of new conductors, temporary crossing structures—typically consisting of either vertical wood poles with crossarms or staged construction equipment—will be installed or mobilized at crossings of energized electric lines, communication facilities, and/or major roadways to prevent the conductors from sagging onto other lines or roads during removal or installation. To accommodate the installation of a crossing structure, PG&E will establish a work area measuring approximately 40 feet by 40 feet at each proposed crossing. Preparation of the site will typically be limited to mowing vegetation, as needed, to minimize the risk of fire.

#### Pull and Tension Sites

Conductor installation activities will include pull and tension equipment staging, temporary pole anchor installation, and pulling and tensioning of the conductor. Pull sites will typically be located within the easement and can be spaced between 2 and 3 miles apart, or from heavy angle to heavy angle as required by the final design. Access may be required throughout the easement, away from structure work areas and pull sites, to support pull and tension activities. In locations where pulling will be required through an angle, or at the start of a new direction of the alignment, the pull site may be located at an angle outside the easement or off the end of an easement corner. Pull sites will typically be 70 feet wide and will range between 120 and 150 feet long. The final pull site locations will be determined during final design of the project. All pull sites located outside of paved areas may require vegetation trimming/removal to minimize the risk of fire and, depending on the local terrain, some minor grading may be required to ensure a flat and safe work environment. Depending on the time of year and conditions at the time of construction, gravel may be applied to help stabilize the ground for equipment use.

#### Landing Zones

Landing zones will be used during construction for the staging, storage, refueling, and operation of helicopters during construction. Three landing zones have been preliminarily identified for use during the project and are listed below:

- Landing Zone 1: Paso Robles Municipal Airport;
- Landing Zone 2: Previous home site adjacent to South River Road; and,
- Landing Zone 3: Estrella Substation site, south of existing temporary worker residence adjacent to Union Road.

The two non-airport landing zones will measure about 100 by 100 feet, with a 30- by 30-foot touchdown pad area. Because the identified landing zones are comprised of an airport and two disturbed areas within the project area, these landing zones will not require extensive preparation.

## Access Roads / Overland Access Routes

Construction crews, materials, and equipment will primarily access the project site by using existing paved or dirt access roads. In addition to using a system of existing roads, PG&E may also grade or mow new temporary unpaved roads, or travel overland to provide access to pole locations along the new 70 kV power line segment and reconductoring segment.

Access to the work sites for workers and equipment will occur using rubber tire mounted vehicles.

Some poles may also be accessed on foot if sensitive resources preclude the use of heavy equipment. For roads that require improvements for access and equipment delivery, grading will be conducted if necessary, followed by the addition of a temporary rock bedding. Equipment required for this work will include a grader, dump truck for gravel delivery, and a loader or tractor to spread rock.

Minor adjustments to access may be necessary at the time of construction due to land use changes, unanticipated impacts, and other factors. Work along the new 70 kV power line segment will occur from the road shoulder where feasible. As a result, access roads will not be required in these locations.

# 2 REGULATORY BACKGROUND

# 2.1 Federal

A federal agency is not approving, implementing, or funding the project or any element of it; therefore, Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and the Paleontological Resources Preservation Act do not apply to this project.

# 2.2 State

## 2.2.1 California Register of Historical Resources

Under Section 21083.2 of CEQA, an important archaeological or historical resource is an object, artifact, structure, or site that is listed on, or eligible for listing on, the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR). Eligible resources are those that can be clearly shown to meet any of the following criteria:

- 1. Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of California's history and cultural heritage.
- 2. Is associated with the lives of persons important in our past.
- 3. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic value.
- 4. Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Automatic listings include properties that are listed on the NRHP. In addition, Points of Historical Interest nominated from January 1998 onward are to be jointly listed as Points of Historical Interest and in the CRHR.

Resources listed in a local historic register or deemed significant in a historical resources survey, as provided under PRC Section 5024.1(g), are presumed to be historically or culturally significant unless the preponderance of evidence demonstrates that they are not. A resource that is not listed on or determined to be ineligible for listing on the CRHR, not included in a local register of historical resources, or not deemed significant in a historical resources survey may nonetheless be historically significant, as determined by the lead agency (PRC Section 21084.1 and Section 21098.1).

## 2.2.2 Assembly Bill 52

Assembly Bill 52 (AB 52) established that Tribal Cultural Resources (TCR) must be considered by the lead agency under CEQA and also provided for additional Native American consultation requirements to

be undertaken by the lead agency. A TCR is a site, feature, place, cultural landscape, sacred place, or object that is considered of cultural value to a California Native American Tribe, and is:

- 1. On the CRHR or a local historic register;
- 2. Eligible for the CRHR or a local historic register; or
- 3. The lead agency determines that the resource meets the register criteria.

A project that has potential to impact a TCR such that it would cause a substantial adverse change constitutes a significant effect on the environment unless mitigation reduces such effects to a less-than-significant level. The Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) has issued revised CEQA Guidelines to incorporate AB 52 requirements.

Under AB 52, CPUC will conduct consultations with eligible tribes regarding TCRs once the Preliminary Environmental Assessment application is deemed complete and CPUC begins CEQA review of the project

## 2.2.3 California Health and Safety Code and Public Resources Code

Broad provisions for the protection of Native American cultural resources are contained in the California Health and Safety Code, Division 7, Part 2, Chapter 5 (Sections 8010 through 8030).

Several provisions of the PRC also govern archaeological finds of human remains and associated objects. Procedures are detailed under PRC Section 5097.98 through 5097.996 for actions to be taken whenever Native American remains are discovered. Furthermore, Section 7050.5 of the California Health and Safety Code states that any person who knowingly mutilates or disinters, wantonly disturbs, or willfully removes human remains in or from any location other than a dedicated cemetery without authority of law is guilty of a misdemeanor, except as provided in Section 5097.99 of the PRC. Any person removing human remains without authority of law or written permission of the person or persons having the right to control the remains under PRC Section 7100 has committed a public offense that is punishable by imprisonment.

PRC Chapter 1.7, Section 5097.5/5097.9 (Stats. 1965, c. 1136, p. 2792), entitled *Archaeological, Paleontological, and Historical Sites*, defines any unauthorized disturbance or removal of a fossil site or remains on public land as a misdemeanor and specifies that state agencies may undertake surveys, excavations, or other operations as necessary on state lands to preserve or record paleontological resources.

# 2.3 Local

Because CPUC has exclusive jurisdiction over project siting, design, and construction, the project is not subject to local discretionary regulations. The following description of regulations that designate local historic resources is provided for informational purposes and to assist with CEQA review.

## 2.3.1 City of El Paso de Robles General Plan

Historic preservation is addressed in the Land Use, Conservation, and Housing Elements of the City of El Paso de Robles General Plan. Some of the policies and procedures specifically address historic preservation and the treatment of historic buildings, while others are important considerations to the overall character and appearance of historic properties and neighborhoods or can be used to encourage preservation practices. The Conservation Element includes goals, policies, and action items that strive to

preserve and protect the city's important historic and archaeological resources. The *Conservation Element* requires the protection of cultural resources by implementing the Downtown Design Guidelines and establishing a Vine Street Historic and Architectural Preservation Overlay District.

## 2.3.2 City of El Paso de Robles Zoning Ordinance

The City of El Paso de Robles' (City) *Zoning Ordinance* Chapter 21.15 contains the Historical and Architectural Overlay (HP) District rules. It is the intent of the HP overlay district to encourage the preservation, restoration, and renovation of buildings and/or neighborhoods of architectural significance or interest. Paso Robles currently has an HP District bordered by Chestnut Street, Oak Street, 8th Street, and 21st Street, inclusive of both sides of these streets. This overlay zone is referred to as the Vine Street Overlay Zone and/or the Westside Historic District in City documents.

# **3 PROJECT SETTING**

# 3.1 Environmental Setting

The study area is located at an elevation of approximately 650 feet to 900 feet above mean sea level in the southern Salinas Valley, bounded on the west by the Santa Lucia Range and Salinas River, on the east by the Temblor Range, on the north by Estrella River, and on the south by Neal and Sulphur Springs. The Santa Lucia Range is a 140-mile segment of the Outer South California Coast Ranges, extending from Carmel Bay in Monterey County to the Cuyama River in San Luis Obispo County (Duvall 2004). The often rugged topography is typical of the Coast Range, with tall parallel peaks giving way to gradual rolling hills and riverside terraces, and slow-moving rivers and creeks meandering through its numerous valleys. In the immediate vicinity of the study area, there are two primary drainages, Huerhuero Creek and Estrella River, both tributaries to Salinas River. Estrella River runs approximately 0.35 miles north of the Estrella Route, while Dry Creek, a small tributary to Huerhuero Creek, bisects a portion of the route to the south. Salinas River extends for 170 miles, draining a total surface area of 3.955 square miles and making up the largest river system on the Central Coast of California. The original course of Salinas River, and some of its tributaries, were altered historically to support farming and agricultural efforts, as well as to provide drinking water to the city of San Luis Obispo (Stevens et al. 2004; Funk and Morales 2002). Groundwater is still pumped and much of its waters upstream remain dammed. These impacts have largely reduced the river to a dry creek, except during periods of heavy rain (Palmer 2012).

The project area is located in urban and rural residential developments, agricultural areas dominated by vineyards, and light industrial and commercial developments with patches of native vegetation. The native plant community adjacent to the project area is blue oak woodlands. Blue oak woodlands are typically dominated by large stands of blue oak (Quercus douglasii) trees, or a mixture of blue oak trees and valley oak (Ouercus lobata) trees with an understory of native and nonnative grasses and forbs. Dense groves of California sycamore (*Platanus racemosa*), black cottonwood (*Populus trichocarpa*), and black willow (Salix nigra) flourish along the riparian corridors of Salinas River (Palmer 2012). Blue oak woodlands are characterized by upland valleys with gentle to steep slopes. Soils within blue oak woodland are typically shallow, infertile, and moderately to excessively drained with extensive rock fragments. Soil surfaces may be covered with stones or have interspersed rocky outcrops (Sawyer et al. 2009). Blue oak woodlands may provide nesting or foraging habitat for avian species or may serve as a migration corridor for various wildlife species, such as San Joaquin kit fox (Vulpes macrotis mutica). Animals typically present in the vicinity of the project include mule deer (Odocoileus hemionus), coyote (Canis latrans), and bobcat (Lynx rufus). Common animal species observed at the time of the study include western fence lizard (Sceloporus occidentalis), red-tailed hawk (Buteo jamaicensis), acorn woodpecker (Melanerpes formicivorus), western scrub jay (Aphelocoma californica), California thrasher (Toxostoma redivivum), red-winged blackbird (Agelaius phoeniceus), and California ground squirrel (Spermophilus beecheyi). Steelhead trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) was abundant in the Salinas River

watershed as late as the early 20th century, and is present today in smaller numbers (Funk and Morales 2002)

# 3.2 Cultural Setting

## 3.2.1 Prehistoric Overview

California prehistory is divided into three broad temporal periods that reflect similar cultural characteristics throughout the state: Paleoindian Period (ca. 9000–6000 B.C.), Archaic Period (6000 B.C.–A.D. 500), and Emergent Period (A.D. 500–Historic Contact) (Fredrickson 1973, 1974, 1994). The Archaic is further divided into Lower (6000–3000 B.C.), Middle (3000–1000 B.C.), and Upper (1000 B.C.–A.D. 500) Periods. These divisions are generally governed by climatic and environmental variables, such as the drying of pluvial lakes at the transition from the Paleoindian to the Lower Archaic period.

The project area lies in the Central Coast Archaeological Region, which is one of eight arbitrary organizational divisions of the state (Moratto 1984:Figure 1). This region extends southward from Monterey Bay through Big Sur to Morro Bay, and includes southern Santa Cruz and Santa Clara Counties, all of San Benito and Monterey Counties, and most of San Luis Obispo County.

Jones and Ferneau (2002:213) have recently refined existing chronological sequences developed for the Central Coast Region, further subdividing the last 3,000 years into the Early–Middle Transition (1000–600 B.C.), Middle (600 B.C.–AD 1000), Middle–Late Transition (AD 1000-1250), and Late Periods (AD 1250–1769). We rely here on the regional chronological sequence as adapted by Jones and Ferneau (2002). Prehistoric sites found in the vicinity of the project area are typically near creeks and may consist of isolated chert lithics or lithic scatters, ground stone (portable mortars, pestles, bedrock mortars and/or cupules), and/or sparse pockets of midden soils. Habitation sites are seasonally occupied camps and small villages (Glover et al. 1999).

# 3.2.1.1 PALEOINDIAN PERIOD/PALEO-COASTAL TRADITION (CA. 10,000–6500 B.C.)

Occupation of California's Central Coast Region is estimated to have occurred as early as the terminal Pleistocene/early Holocene, or about 10,000 years ago when sea levels were some 15–20 meters lower than today (Bickel 1978:7). Although there is evidence of occupation of the area during the early Holocene, only a few documented archaeological sites within the Central Coast Region can be assigned to a time period prior to about 6,000 years ago. It is likely that most sites of this period within this region are either beneath today's ocean waters or destroyed by coastal erosion. Estimates place the early Holocene shore in central and southern California at some 10 kilometers farther west of the present coastline (Breschini and Haversat 1991:126). An example of the possible early antiquity of additional Central Coast sites is the evidence for early occupation on two of the northern Channel Islands, located off the coast of Santa Barbara. On San Miguel Island, Daisy Cave clearly establishes the presence of people in this area about 10,000 years ago (Erlandson 1991:105). On Santa Rosa Island, human remains have been dated from the Arlington Springs site to approximately 13,000 years ago (Johnson et al. 2002).

Data from sites during this period indicate that the economy was a diverse mixture of hunting and gathering, with a major emphasis on aquatic resources in many coastal areas (e.g., Jones et al. 2002), as well as on Pleistocene lakeshores in the now arid lands of southeastern California (Moratto 1984:90–92). A Paleo-Coastal Tradition was proposed to highlight the distinctive marine and littoral focus identified within the central and southern California coastal archaeological record prior to the succeeding Milling Stone Period (Mason and Peterson 1994:57–58; Moratto 1984:104). At coastal sites, there is abundant

evidence that marine resources such as fish, sea mammals, and shellfish were exploited during the Paleo-Coastal Tradition.

Few fluted projectile points, diagnostic of the Paleoindian Period, have been recovered from the coastal region, but they usually occur in isolated surface finds (Mills et al. 2005). A fluted point fragment is known from the coastal Santa Barbara Channel area, from site CA-SBA-1951 on the coastal plain (Erlandson 1994:44; Erlandson, et al. 1987). Another fluted point has been reported from Nipomo in San Luis Obispo County (Mills, et al. 2005), one of two surface isolated finds in San Luis Obispo County. The other example is reported to have been discovered, and subsequently reburied, in the Santa Margarita area, but no materials suitable for dating were recovered in association with this point (Mills et al. 2005).

Erlandson and Colten (1991) note that there are some 75 southern and central California coast sites older than 5500 B.C. Breschini and Haversat (1991:126) list a total of eight sites in the Central Coast Region that may be assigned to the early Holocene. Four of the sites are inland, possibly beside a lake or marshy creek, and four sites were likely adjacent to embayments and steep rocky cliffs. At the most northern of the eight sites, CA-SCR-177, an inland site in Santa Cruz County, stone tools have been found in deposits dating to more than 6,000 years ago (Breschini and Haversat 1991:128–129). The other seven sites are near the southern coastal boundary of San Luis Obispo County, range in age between 7,000–9,000 years old, and contain mostly lithics and shellfish remains.

Large side-notched points of the Central Coast Stemmed series in this region date to as early as 8,000 years ago (Justice 2002). Points of this type have been recovered at Diablo Canyon (CA-SLO-2; Greenwood 1972), Cross Creek (CA-SLO-1797; Fitzgerald 2000), Little Pico Creek (CA-SLO-175; Jones and Waugh 1995), and the Honda Beach site (CA-SBA-530; Glassow 1997), among others.

Several recently investigated sites also provide clear evidence for occupation within the Central Coast Region during the Paleo-Coastal Tradition. CA-SLO-1764 (Lebow et al. 2001) and Cross Creek (CA-SLO-1797; Fitzgerald 2000), both near Santa Margarita in San Luis Obispo County, and CA-SLO-832 (Jones et al. 2001) near Pismo Beach, have produced radiocarbon dates from approximately 9,000 years ago (Jones and Ferneau 2002).

## 3.2.1.2 MILLING STONE PERIOD (CA. 6500–3500 B.C.)

The Milling Stone Period, initially defined by Wallace (1955, 1978) is characterized by an ecological adaptation to collecting, and by the dominance of the principal ground stone implements generally associated with the horizontal motion of grinding small seeds, namely milling stones (metates, slabs) and hand stones (manos, mullers), which are typically shaped. Milling stones occur in large numbers for the first time, and are even more numerous near the end of this period. The Milling Stone Period is also defined by large, simple core and flake tools, and large side-notched projectile points. As testified by their toolkits and shell middens in coastal sites, people during this period practiced a mixed food procurement strategy. Subsistence patterns varied somewhat as groups became better adapted to their regional or local environments.

Milling Stone Period sites are common in both coastal and inland settings in central and southern coastal California, dating as early as 8,500 years ago. The Milling Stone Period is roughly correspondent with King's (1981, 1990) Early Period of the Santa Barbara Channel area, although King's Early Period lasts longer (5500–1350 B.C.). The Cross Creek site (CA-SLO-1797) is a Milling Stone Period occupation site in San Luis Obispo that returned radiocarbon dates ranging between 9,500–4,700 years ago (Fitzgerald 2000:58). This appears to be the oldest recorded mainland shell midden site, and the first coastal residential site to yield pre-8,000-year-old dates (calibrated to two-sigma). Four large, side-notched chert projectile points, 12 flaked stone cores, and two *Olivella* shell beads were recovered among the milling slabs and handstones that dominated the artifact assemblage from the site.

Along Central Coast areas, Milling Stone Period sites are common on terraces and knolls, typically set back from the current coastline (Glassow et al. 1988:68; Erlandson 1994:46). The larger sites usually contain extensive midden deposits, possible subterranean house pits, and cemeteries. Most of these sites probably reflect intermittent use over many years of local cultural habitation and resource exploitation. Erlandson has noted that the typical Milling Stone tools are not common on contemporaneous Channel Island sites, possibly reflecting an alternate, insular resource exploitation (Erlandson 1994:47). On the Santa Barbara coastline in the Gaviota Creek environs, Early Holocene evidence has been identified at CA-SBA-97 by Stephen Bowers (Erlandson 1994:39), and at nearby CA-SBA-96 by D.B. Rogers (1929:256; Erlandson 1994:40).

The Scotts Valley site (CA-SCR-177) in Santa Cruz County and CA-SCL-178 in Santa Clara County may provide evidence for early Holocene activities in the broader region (Cartier 1982:229, 1993; but see Moratto 1984:109–110 and Erlandson 1994:242–245 for critical review). Although the Scotts Valley site was not excavated in a manner that allows for accurate reconstruction of intrasite stratigraphy, the stone tool assemblage and obtained radiocarbon dates do appear to correlate with the Milling Stone Period (Fenenga 1987).

# 3.2.1.3 EARLY PERIOD AND EARLY-MIDDLE TRANSITION PERIOD (3500-600 B.C.)

Although Jones and Ferneau (2002:213) have distinguished an Early–Middle Transition Period, it is not well defined. Thus, the transition phase is included in the following discussion of the sites and characteristics recognized for the Early Period in the Central Coast Region.

There is an extensive series of shoreline midden deposits within the Central Coast Region during the Early Period, signifying an increase in occupation of the open coast (Jones 1995; Jones and Waugh 1995, 1997). These include estuarine sites such as CA-SLO-165 in Estero Bay and open-coast sites in the Monterey Bay area, including CA-MNT-73, CA-MNT-108, and CA-MNT-1228. Lithic artifact assemblages from these sites include Central Coast Stemmed Series and side-notched projectile points. Square stemmed and side notched points have also been found in deposits at Willow Creek in Big Sur (CA-MNT-282) and Little Pico II on the San Luis Obispo coast (CA-SLO-175) (Jones and Ferneau 2002).

The material culture recovered from Early Period sites within the Central Coast Region provides evidence for continued exploitation of inland plant and coastal marine resources. Artifacts include milling slabs and handstones, as well as mortars and pestles, used for processing a variety of plant resources. Bipointed bone gorge hooks were used for fishing. Assemblages also include a suite of *Olivella* beads, bone tools, and pendants made from talc schist. Abalone square beads have been found in Monterey Bay, but not yet in the Big Sur or San Luis Obispo areas (Jones and Waugh 1997:122).

Data recovered from Early Period sites in the Central Coast Region indicate marine mammals were more extensively hunted on the Monterey Peninsula as compared to Big Sur (Jones and Waugh 1997:123). At Big Sur sites, terrestrial animals dominate the faunal assemblages. The introduction of the mortar and pestle, as well as the trend toward a decrease in mollusk size seen at some sites (e.g., CA-MNT-1232/H) on the Big Sur coast, indicate resource exploitation had intensified in concert with extended periods of occupation at residential bases.

Shell beads and obsidian are hallmarks of the trade and exchange networks that flourished on the central and southern California coasts. Beginning at the end of the Milling Stone Period, the archaeological record indicate there was a substantial increase in the abundance of obsidian at Early Period sites in the Monterey Bay and San Luis Obispo areas (Jones and Waugh 1997:124–126). Obsidian trade continued to increase during the following Middle Period. At present, not much information is available on shell beads

in the Central Coast Region, but it appears there may have been a manufacturing center in the Monterey Bay area at two sites (CA-MNT-108 and CA-MNT-391).

#### 3.2.1.4 MIDDLE PERIOD (600 B.C.–A.D. 1000)

During the Middle Period, there is a pronounced trend toward greater adaptation to regional or local resources. For example, the remains of fish, land mammals, and sea mammals are increasingly abundant and diverse in sites along the California coast. Related chipped stone tools suitable for hunting are more abundant and diversified, suggesting a wider range of specialized tasks, and shell fishhooks become part of the toolkit during this period. Larger knives, a variety of flake scrapers, and drill-like implements are common during this period. Projectile points include large side-notched, stemmed, and lanceolate or leaf-shaped forms. Bone tools, including awls, are more numerous than in the preceding period, and the use of asphaltum adhesive is now common.

Notable introductions included the circular shell fishhooks at the start of the period. The introduction of shell fishhooks and the increased use of other capture devices, such as nets, appear to have led to a substantial focus on fishing in most coastal areas. While seasonal settlement patterns (i.e., use of seasonal camps or temporary resource utilization areas) were still followed, large, permanently occupied settlements, particularly in coastal areas, are also present by the end of the period.

During the Middle Period, residential shell midden sites are fairly common in the Central Coast Region (Jones and Ferneau 2002:213). Well-dated Middle Period sites along the central coast are found at Willow Creek (CA-MNT-281 and CA-MNT-282) in Big Sur, Little Pico Creek (CA-SLO-175, CA-SLO-1259) on the San Luis Obispo coast, and Vierra (CA-MNT-229) in the Monterey Bay area. Artifact assemblages from these sites include large contracting-stemmed projectile points, occasional concave-base points, bone tools, milling slabs and handstones, bowl mortars, pestles, and a suite of *Olivella* bead types (A2, B2b, B2c, and G2) (Jones and Waugh 1995:120; Jones and Ferneau 2002:213). Fishing technology found at these coastal sites includes circular shell fishhooks and bone gorge fishhooks, as well as grooved stone net weights and pitted stones. Plant processing equipment at some of the sites is exclusively bowl mortars and pestles, and handstones and slabs at other sites (Jones and Ferneau 2002:215).

A dietary focus on marine resources during the Middle Period is consistent with the location of most sites on the shoreline (Jones and Ferneau 2002:218). Dense concentrations of fish, including herring, sardine, surfperches, and silversides, have been recovered from sites located alongside estuaries, such as CA-SLO-165 at Morro Bay and CA-MNT-234 at Elkhorn Slough. Rockfish and cabezon are represented at open coast sites, such as CA-SLO-175 and CA-MNT-63. Mammal and bird remains have also been found within Middle Period assemblages, and typically include sea otters, deer, and rabbits.

Although burial populations are fairly limited, burials within residential middens have been recovered at Middle Period sites in San Luis Obispo and Monterey Counties (Jones and Ferneau 2002:217). Most of the burials are primary interments, generally flexed, although some secondary burials have been recovered. There is little evidence of formal cemeteries like those found in the Bay Area to the north. Grave goods include *Olivella* shell saucer beads (type G2), bone tubes and whistles, drilled steatite tube and pebble ornaments, abalone ornaments, bowl mortars, and projectile points.

## 3.2.1.5 MIDDLE-LATE TRANSITION PERIOD (A.D. 1000–1250)

During the Middle–Late Transition Period within the Central Coast Region, projectile points generally diagnostic of both the Middle and Late Periods co-occur (Jones and Ferneau 2002:217). The points include large contracting-stemmed types found during the Middle Period, plus Late Period small leaf-shaped points, which likely represent the introduction of the bow and arrow. Additionally, hopper mortars are apparently introduced during this transition phase.

This transition period is marked by relative instability and change, with major changes in diet, settlement patterns, and interregional exchange. The relatively ubiquitous Middle Period residential shell midden sites found in this region were abandoned by the end of the transition period, so most Middle–Late Transition Period and Late Period sites were first occupied during those periods (Jones and Ferneau 2002:213, 219). During this transition period, the climate fluctuated between cooler, wetter periods and warmer, drier periods. During cooler, wetter periods, alluvial deposition increased; comparatively little deposition occurred in the drier intervals. Extended periods of relatively little rainfall, referred to as the Medieval Climatic Anomaly (MCA), produced droughts across the West between about A.D. 650–850 and A.D. 1150–1250 (Jones et al. 1999). Dry conditions during the MCA may be related to the abandonment of the coastal shell mound villages as primary residential locations. Settlement strategies were apparently reorganized and focused on a dispersed pattern, with the establishment of both coastal and interior habitation areas, coinciding with the exploitation of seasonally available resources.

Well-dated Middle–Late Transition Period occupation sites include CA-MNT-1233 and CA-MNT-281 in the Big Sur area, CA-MNT-3 in the Monterey Peninsula, and CA-SLO-1796 near Pismo Beach. The period is marked in both the Big Sur and San Luis Obispo areas by unique Cambria double side-notched projectile points, like those recovered from site CA-SLO-175 (Jones and Waugh 1995). Artifact assemblages from Middle–Late Transition Period sites also include bowl mortars, pestles, handstones, and milling slabs, as well as circular fishhooks and stone disks used for fishing. As discussed by Jones and Ferneau (2002:218), two unusual items recovered from CA-MNT-281 and CA-SLO-1796, respectively, include a small-incised slate tablet and a *Megathura* limpet ornament.

Evidence of trade and exchange is also represented in Middle Period sites, particularly in the abundance of obsidian items. Obsidian was obtained from sources from 200 to 400 kilometers away from the Central Coast Region. Obsidian from the Coso volcanic field dominates the Big Sur and San Luis Obispo area sites during this period. In the Monterey Bay area, Casa Diablo obsidian is more prevalent.

Like their Middle Period antecedents, dense concentrations of fish bones have been recovered from Middle–Late Transition Period sites on the open coast (Jones 1995; Jones and Ferneau 2002:219). There is also some indication that residents relied on smaller fauna, including anchovies and rabbits.

The sites at both Little Pico Creek and Willow Creek contain burials, some of which are group interments, with individuals in an extended position (Jones and Ferneau 2002:217–218). In addition to the diagnostic Cambria points, grave goods include several *Olivella* bead types (B2, B3, G2, and K1); *Olivella* G1 saucer beads may be diagnostic of this period.

## 3.2.1.6 LATE PERIOD (A.D. 1250–HISTORIC CONTACT)

Cultural materials, such as temporally diagnostic shell beads and small, finely worked projectile points, help identify Late Period sites throughout California. The small projectile points are associated with bow and arrow technology. Although shell beads were typical of coastal sites, trade brought many of these maritime artifacts to inland locations, especially during the latter part of the Late Period. The end of the 18th century, when the Spanish mission system had its greatest effect on native Californian populations, is generally agreed upon as the terminal point of the Late Period.

Overall patterns of occupation within the Central Coast Region indicate that sites inhabited during the Middle Period show in most cases little or no evidence of being occupied continuously into the Late Period (Jones and Ferneau 2002:213, 219–220). This holds true for the Monterey Peninsula as well as the Morro Bay areas, although much of the region still lacks a large inventory of well-sampled and well-dated Late Period components. Rare exceptions have been found at one Big Sur site (CA-MNT-376) and two sites on the northern San Luis Obispo coast (CA-SLO-2 and CA-SLO-267).

Unlike the large Middle Period shell middens, Late Period sites are more frequently single-component deposits. There are also more inland sites, with fewer and less visible sites along the Pacific shore during the Late Period. The settlement pattern and dietary reconstructions indicate a lesser reliance on marine resources than observed for the Middle Period and Middle–Late Transition Period, as well as an increased preference for deer and rabbit (Jones 1995). An increase in sites with bedrock mortars during the Late Period further suggests that nuts and seeds began to take on a more significant dietary role.

A well-dated Late Period site along the Big Sur coast, CA-MNT-1223, produced seven radiocarbon dates between A.D. 1220 and 1720 (Jones 1995). The chipped stone tool assemblage includes Desert side-notched and Canaliño projectile points. Shell artifacts include circular fishhooks and *Olivella* beads (types A4, A5, E1a, E1b, and K1). Small steatite disk beads, as well as crude lithic microblades were also recovered from this site. Slab hopper mortars and pestles were the only ground stone artifacts recovered from CA-MNT-1223, although bedrock mortars and crude cobble pestles have been recovered from Late Period sites located in the inland valleys (Jones and Ferneau 2002:218). Unlike Middle Period site assemblages, obsidian is not common in Late Period deposits (Jones and Ferneau 2002:225).

The microblade/drills recovered from CA-MNT-1223, as well as CA-SLO-214, are likely associated with the production of shell beads. The manufacture of shell beads is associated with the Santa Barbara Channel area, and is not well represented further north. In general, Late Period sites within the Central Coast Region have fewer traits in common with Santa Barbara Channel sites than found during the previous periods (Jones and Ferneau 2002:213).

## 3.2.2 Ethnographic Overview: Salinan

The project area is in an area traditionally considered by archaeologists to have been prehistorically and ethnohistorically occupied by the Salinan (Hester 1978; Kroeber 1925). Surrounding native groups include the Esselen and Ohlone to the north, the Southern Valley Yokuts to the east, and the Chumash to the south. However, recent research illustrates the uncertainty in the ethnographic record of such sociopolitical and linguistic boundaries. Gibson (1983:181–182) considers the Paso Robles area to be within the northern extent of the Chumash. This would place the project area just beyond the southeastern boundary of the Salinan language area (Rivers and Jones 1993:147). Milliken and Johnson's research (2005:128), based on ethnographic and linguistic data, suggests that the project area lies within the salinan language area, but just east of the Chumash-Salinan boundary zone. The project area lies within the ethnographic boundaries of a Salinan sub-group known as the Migueleño. Known ethnographic village sites near the project area are *him'-se-en'* between Paso Robles and Templeton on the west side of Salinas River, and a major village at *isolam* near the present-day community of Cholame (Hester 1978:500–501). Gibson (1983:180) additionally plots the tentative locations of the rancherias of *tixja* and *sososquiquia* in the vicinity of the project area. Jones et al. (2007) note the possible ethnonym of *Etsmal* for the tribal group in this area.

The Salinan language generally has been regarded as part of Hokan linguistic stock (Hester 1978:500; Shipley 1978:86), but more recent linguistic analysis indicates Salinan has no close relatives and no demonstrated connections to other languages (Mithun 2001:482). Mason (1918) recorded two Salinan dialects, northern (Antoniaño) and southern (Migueleño) divisions, associated with the people administered by the Spanish from Mission San Antonio de Padua and Mission San Miguel, established in 1771 and 1797, respectively. Neophytes at the Mission San Antonio included Salinan living along the coast, referred to as "Playanos."

The semi-sedentary Salinan occupied a rugged, mountainous area on the south-central California coast (Kroeber 1925; Hester 1978). Heavily wooded hills and mountains of the South Coast Ranges dominated the interior, with sheer cliffs and rocky beaches along the Pacific coast. Salinan villages were recorded near the missions and along internal drainages, with some habitation areas along the coast (Hester

1978:501). No permanent sites were recorded in the Coast Range, although temporary camps were likely. Their subsistence economy was one of hunting and gathering. The surrounding environment was varied and rich, and they exploited the mountains, foothills, valleys, and coast. As with most native Californians, acorns were a staple food, supplemented by wild oats, sage seeds, berries, mescal, and wild fruits. Additional resources exploited by coastal and interior groups included large and small mammals such as deer, bear, and rabbits, as well as fish. The full extent of their villages is unknown, but Hester (1978:501) locates 21 from earlier records.

Salinan houses were domed, up to 10 feet square, constructed of poles, and covered with tule or rye grass (Hester 1978:501). Other structures included birthing huts, dance houses, and semisubterranean sweathouses, among additional communal structures. Acorns were stored in willow-twig granaries. The Antoniaño group practiced cremation of their most distinguished individuals. Among the Migueleño, the deceased were wrapped in skins and their possessions burned.

A variety of tools and implements, some of which are inferred from the archaeological record in the area, were employed by Salinan groups (Hester 1978:501). These included bows and arrows, traps, nets, blinds, slings, spears, harpoons, and hooks. Bone and shell tools included bone awls and C-shaped shell fishhooks. Foods were processed using stone mortars and pestles, metates, basket mortars, bedrock mortars, stone bowls, and wooden mortars. The Salinan also made a wide variety of baskets. Cooking baskets and earth ovens were used in food preparation.

There is little recorded of Salinan subsistence economy by enthnographers, but they would have taken full advantage of the plant and animals resources available in the river valley, foothills, and mountains within their territory. They also had a stretch of coastline from which to gather shellfish, fish, and marine mammals.

Ornaments included items made of steatite, serpentine, and abalone shell. Clothing included basket hats, rabbitskin or otterskin cloaks, and tule aprons. The Salinan also used beads made from mussel and abalone shell for currency and had musical instruments, such as cocoon rattles, wooden flutes, and bone whistles.

Some of Salinan material culture was obtained through an important trade network, established with neighboring groups (Hester 1978:500–501). In exchange for saltgrass salt, obsidian, seeds, lake fish, and possibly tanned animal skins, Salinan groups traded shell and shell beads with the Yokuts to the east. Shell ornaments, wooden dishes, and steatite vessels were obtained from the Chumash to the south, but apparently, the Salinan did not trade with a rival trade group, the Costanoan to the north.

Like other indigenous Californians living near the coastal missions, Salinan population decreased rapidly after the arrival of the Spanish. A relatively small population to begin with, the Salinan were decimated by diseases introduced by the missions and later settlers. By 1831, their number was fewer than 700, and their population continued to decrease even more rapidly after secularization of the missions (Hester 1978:503). By the turn of the 20th century, only three families survived within their traditional territory. The California Indian Roll of 1928 registered only 36 Salinans, and research 5 years later could only locate one Antoniaño family, comprised of four elderly siblings (Hester 1978:503).

## 3.2.3 Historical Overview

Post-contact history for the state of California generally is divided into three specific periods: the Spanish Period (1769–1822), the Mexican Period (1822–1848), and the American Period (1848–present). Although there were brief visits by Spanish, Russian, and British explorers from 1529–1769, the Spanish first settled California in 1769 with the first of 21 missions established from 1769–1823. The Mexican

Period is marked by an extensive era of land grants, most of which were in the interior of the state, and by exploration by American fur trappers west of the Sierra Nevada Mountains.

With the signing of the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo in 1848, ending the Mexican-American War, California became a territory of the United States. The discovery of gold in 1848 at Sutter's Mill and the resulting Gold Rush era influenced the history of the state and the nation. The rush of tens of thousands of people to the gold fields also had a devastating impact on the lives of indigenous Californians, with the introduction and concentration of diseases, the loss of land and territory (including traditional hunting and gathering locales), violence, malnutrition, and starvation. Thousands of settlers and immigrants continued to pour into the state, particularly after the completion of the transcontinental railroad in 1869.

With continued growth, California continues to be a national leader in agriculture and poultry production, ranching (cattle and sheep), aerospace and communications industries, as well as the film and entertainment business. The wealth of California's natural resources (e.g., lumber, petroleum deposits, minerals, fish) also continues to contribute to its growth and development.

#### 3.2.3.1 SPANISH PERIOD (1769–1822)

Spanish explorers made sailing expeditions along the coast of California between the mid-1500s and mid-1700s. In search of the legendary Northwest Passage, Juan Rodríguez Cabríllo sailed up the California coastline. Much of the present California and Oregon coastline was mapped and recorded in the next halfcentury by Spanish naval officer Sebastián Vizcaíno. The Spanish crown laid claim to California based on the surveys conducted by Cabríllo and Vizcaíno (Bancroft 1885:96–99; Gumprecht 1999:35). European contact in the San Luis Obispo County region may have begun as early as 1587 with the visit of Pedro de Unamuno to Morro Bay, although some scholars have questioned this based on the ambiguity of Unamano's descriptions (Mathes 1968). A visit in 1595 by Sebastian Rodriguez Cermeño is better documented (Jones et al. 1994).

More than 200 years passed before Spain began the colonization and inland exploration of Alta California. The 1769 overland expedition by Captain Gaspar de Portolá marks the beginning of California's Historic period, occurring just after the King of Spain installed the Franciscan Order to direct religious and colonization matters in assigned territories of the Americas. The earliest well-documented descriptions of the Paso Robles area come from accounts by members of Portolá's land expedition, which passed through the region in 1769 (Squibb 1968). They named the area El Paseo de Los Robles, or "The Pass of the Oaks," after seeing the tall oaks that lined the narrow valley of the Salinas River. Permanent Spanish settlement of the region began with the founding of Mission San Antonia de Padua (near King City) in 1771, San Luis Obispo de Tolosa (in San Luis Obispo) in 1772, and Mission San Miguel in 1797. As elsewhere, induction into the missions had a devastating effect on the local inhabitants, requiring them to live and work at the mission and abandon their former lifeways. Under the guidance of the mission fathers, the natives were instructed in farming methods including the production of wheat, beans, and various kinds of fruit. The earliest farming was intended to foster independence for the missions, thus reducing the reliance on imported supplies. The inauguration of Spanish colonization brought about major and devastating changes to aboriginal society, due primarily to the introduction of European diseases. The consequent high mortality rate, and the pressure of overwhelming social change, decimated the population. By 1805, most native villages had been abandoned and the populace had either fled or moved into the mission system (Gibson 1983).

A major emphasis during the Spanish Period in California was the construction of missions and associated presidios to integrate the Native American population into Christianity and communal enterprise. Incentives were also provided to bring settlers to pueblos or towns, but just three pueblos were established during the Spanish Period: Los Angeles (1781), San José (1797), and Villa de Branciforte (1797); only San José and Los Angeles were successful and remain as California cities. Several factors

kept growth within Alta California to a minimum, including the threat of foreign invasion, political dissatisfaction, and unrest among the indigenous population.

#### 3.2.3.2 MEXICAN PERIOD (1822–1848)

After more than a decade of intermittent rebellion and warfare, New Spain (Mexico and the California territory) won independence from Spain in 1821. In 1822, the Mexican legislative body in California ended isolationist policies designed to protect the Spanish monopoly on trade, and decreed California ports open to foreign merchants (Dallas 1955:14).

Extensive land grants were established in the interior during the Mexican Period, in part to increase the population inland from the more settled coastal areas where the Spanish had first concentrated their colonization efforts. When the Mission system was secularized after 1834, the church's land holdings were distributed to private Mexican citizens, including approximately 500,000 acres within San Luis Obispo County. During the supremacy of the ranchos (1834–1848), landowners largely focused on the cattle industry and devoted large tracts to grazing. Cattle hides became a primary southern California export, providing a commodity to trade for goods from the east and other areas in the United States and Mexico. The number of nonnative inhabitants increased during this period because of the influx of explorers, trappers, and ranchers associated with the land grants. The rising California population contributed to the introduction and rise of diseases foreign to the Native American population, who had no associated immunities.

#### 3.2.3.3 AMERICAN PERIOD (1848–PRESENT)

War in 1846 between Mexico and the United States precipitated the Battle of Chino, a clash between resident Californios and Americans in the San Bernardino area. The Mexican-American War ended with the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo in 1848, ushering California into its American Period.

California officially became a state with the Compromise of 1850, which also designated Utah and New Mexico (with present-day Arizona) as U.S. territories (Waugh 2003). During this time, many of the Mexican land grants passed into American ownership, extending the period of prosperity associated with the ranchos (Rivers 2000). Horticulture and livestock, based primarily on cattle as the currency and staple of the rancho system, continued to dominate the southern California economy through 1850s. The Gold Rush commenced in 1848, and with the influx of people seeking gold, cattle were no longer desired mainly for their hides, but also as a source of meat and other goods. During the 1850s cattle boom, rancho vaqueros drove large herds from southern to northern California to feed that region's burgeoning mining and commercial boom. Cattle were at first driven along major trails or roads such as the Gila Trail or Southern Overland Trail, then were transported by trains where available. The cattle boom ended for southern California as neighbor states and territories drove herds to northern California at reduced prices. Operation of the huge ranchos became increasingly difficult, and droughts severely reduced their productivity (Cleland 2005:102–103).

Roads were constructed throughout the county in the 1870s, primarily by Chinese laborers. In 1872, Captain John Harford began construction on the Pacific Coast Railway, which linked his wharf in the coastal town of Avila with San Luis Obispo. By the time the Southern Pacific Railroad arrived in the 1880s, San Luis Obispo County, as well as the rest of California, was experiencing a significant land boom. The county was described as "the great butter and cheese belt of southern California," with affordable land priced between \$18 and \$25 per acre (Dumke 1944). By April 1887, an estimated 3,000 to 4,000 people inhabited the region, and land prices increased dramatically. In 1894, the Southern Pacific Railroad completed the line from San Jose to San Luis Obispo.

The advent of rail allowed for agricultural expansion throughout the region. Wine grapes were first introduced to the Paso Robles region by Spanish conquistadors and Franciscan missionaries in 1797. The first commercial winery was established in 1882, by Andrew York, an apple farmer from Indiana. York founded the Ascension Winery on his 240-acre property, which became well-known for their Zinfandel wines (Rice and Cervellone 2007). During the 1920s, other immigrants to the region established vineyards, including the Martinelli, Dusi, and Bianchi vineyards, establishing the region within the wine industry. By the 1940s over a dozen small family-owned wineries had been planted in to the east and west of Salinas River. By the 1980s, large corporate wineries began to plant in the Paso Robles region, and by the 1990s, European winemakers moved to the area to take advantage of the lack of restrictions on wineries. By 2007, the number of wineries had climbed to 170 in the Paso Robles region (Rice and Cervellone 2007).

The lands of Paso Robles were well-suited for farming. After the rancho land grants, the land was subdivided into smaller parcels for individual farming and stock ranching. The area first found farming success with wheat and barley. Orchard crops had been added by the turn of the last century and soon the county expanded in nuts and fruits. In 1912, the first commercial almond orchard was planted (Paso Robles Press 1928) and by 1926, there were 33,000 acres of almond planted (Los Angeles Times 1926). The city became known as the "City of Almonds" for the abundance of its orchards (Rice and Cervellone 2007). A poor crop in in 1934 saw the decline of almonds and, although they continued to be grown in the vicinity, by the 1980s there were approximately only 100 almond growers in area (Ittner 1987).

The county's success in agriculture and ranching continued throughout the 20th century. The county's agricultural production supplied U.S. troops during World War I and helped its residents weather the Great Depression of the 1930s. At the start of World War II, the U.S. War Department transferred nearly 100,000 military personnel to bases at Camp San Luis, Camp Roberts, Morro Bay, and Cambria. In 1942, the Estrella Army Air Field was established and operated for 2 years. After the closure, the land and buildings were transferred to the County of San Luis Obispo (County) in 1947 to establish the Paso Robles Municipal Airport (Estrella Warbirds Museum 2015).

Today, San Luis Obispo County is an important agricultural area. By 2014, the Paso Robles region included more than 32,000 acres of vineyards and more than 200 wineries (Paso Wine 2016). In addition to wineries, the county's many beaches, state parks, and small-town atmosphere bring tourists from the nation and around the world. Hearst Castle, built by William Randolph Hearst from 1917 to 1947 in San Simeon, is a world-famous tourist attraction along the northern San Luis Obispo County coast, approximately 30 miles west of Paso Robles.

## 3.2.3.4 CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES

The city has historically served as an economic and transportation hub for the rural agricultural area that encompasses the project area. The City of El Paso de Robles (Spanish for "the pass of oaks," today often called simply Paso Robles) is situated on the former lands of the Rancho Paso de Robles. Once an outpost of the Mission San Miguel, the 25,993-acre rancho was granted to Pedro Navarez in 1844. In 1857, Rios sold the rancho to a partnership consisting of Daniel and James Blackburn and Lazare Godchaux (Hoover et al. 2002). The rancho lands were subsequently divided up, with the present-day city boundaries falling under the holdings of the Blackburn brothers and brother-in-law, Drury James, who purchased Godchaux's interest in the rancho (Bowler 2003). In 1886, Blackburn and James laid out a plan to subdivide lots surrounding the hotel and establish the town of Paso Robles. It was the goal of Blackburn and James to establish a town site that would be the most important stop between San Francisco and Los Angeles.

The Southern Pacific Railroad arrived in October 1886, marking an important turning point in the development of Paso Robles. Rail transportation gave the town the opportunity to expand significantly,

opening the resort to people previously unable to endure long stagecoach rides. Additionally, the arrival of the railroad allowed the town to expand its farming operations for long-distance shipping of crops, livestock, and byproducts (Bowler 2003). The region was particularly suited for growing almonds, walnuts, and grapes. In the late 19th and early 20th centuries, European settlers planted vineyards and established the wine industry as a major component of the regional economy (Historic Resources Group 2010:17–18). By the 1940s, the population of El Paso de Robles had soared to over 3,000 residents and it was recognized as a resort community, attracting tourists from all over the world. Since the 1950s, the city has continued to expand and grow its population, while maintaining a diverse economy that includes agriculture and industry.

#### 3.2.3.5 EAST OF PASO ROBLES: RANCHO SANTA YSABEL, HUERHUERO CREEK, DRY CREEK, AND THE ESTRELLA RIVER

The project area is in an area east of Salinas River that has been used for ranching and agriculture since the Mission period. Small settlements along Huerhuero/Dry Creek and Estrella River developed slowly throughout the 19th century, and expanded more rapidly in the 20th century along with mechanized agriculture. Trails and roads in the area have historically served to convey people and commodities between the Central Valley, Salinas River, and maritime towns on the Pacific Ocean.

In 1844, the former mission land of Rancho Santa Ysabel was granted to Lieutenant Francisco Casimiro Arce by Governor Manuel Micheltorena. This land grant encompassed a 4-square-league tract of land on the eastern bank of Salinas River, opposite Rancho Paso de Robles (Gudde and Bright 1998:350; Hoffman 1862:A49). On June 10, 1846, Arce's contingent of the Mexican army was defeated by Ezekiel Merritt and other American settlers near Elk Grove, which emboldened the American insurgents to take Sonoma in the Bear Flag Revolt on June 14, 1846 (Kyle et al. 2002:306). Arce filed a claim to Rancho Santa Ysabel following the Mexican-American War, and was granted a patent in 1866 (State-Surveyor General 1886:17).

Rancho Santa Ysabel was purchased in 1886 by Chauncey Hatch Phillips' West Coast Land Company along with parts of Rancho Paso Robles and Rancho Huer-Huero to the south (Storke 1891:157). This purchase was later developed into present-day parts of Paso Robles and Templeton. In 1891, Santa Ysabel and this area east of the Salinas River was described as a fertile landscape for agriculture and ranching:

The Santa Ysabel consists of 20,200 acres, adjoining the Rancho Paso de Robles at the northeast. For ten miles the Southern Pacific Railway runs along and within one-fourth mile of its boundary. It is covered with white and live-oak timber, although less thickly than the Paso de Robles. There are, substantially, 16,000 acres of plow land, the rest fruit and grazing land. The soil is rich and deep, and will produce wheat of the finest, barley, oats, corn, all fruits and vines, and olives. Wine and raisin-making will no doubt, be important industries of this section. On this rancho are twenty miles of running water, besides numerous living springs. Well water is had at ten to forty feet deep. (Storke 1891:162)

By the 1860s, General Land Office survey plats show a sparse network of roads and trails connecting El Camino Real at Paso Robles and Mission San Miguel with Rancho Santa Ysabel and settlements to the east in the vicinity of present-day Shimmin's Canyon Road and Cholame, and which continue over the Temblor Range to Tulare Lake and the Central Valley. This early system of trails and wagon roads likely followed previous paths and fords established by the Migueleño as they travelled between villages and hot springs at the Salinas River and Cholame, and on seasonal resource-gathering migrations to the oak woodland uplands. Indeed, mission records document kinship ties between the village at Cholame and the rancheria of *assii*, near present-day Lockwood (Gibson 1983:182).

Segments of the present Union Road are plotted on 1869 General Land Office survey plats. This road appears to have originated at Rancho Santa Ysabel to the southwest, and possibly connected to the "*camina*" (road) roughly depicted on the Rancho Santa Ysabel diseño as connecting with El Camino Real in the vicinity of Rancho Paso de Robles on the western bank of Salinas River (University of California [U.C.] Berkeley 184-[?]). The historical alignment of Union Road plotted on the survey plat parallels another east-west road to the south, which is labeled "Trail from San Luis Obispo to Cholame Ranch," and both roads appear to have connected with San Miguel Road (approximate alignment of SR-46) to the east. An 1858 survey plat notes a spring, wheat field, "Indian cabins," and "Indian hut" at the intersection of SR-46 and Shimmin's Canyon Road. SR-46 continues east to the town and ethnographic village site of Cholame, and over the Temblor Range to Tulare Lake and the Central Valley.

The 1919 Paso Robles U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 15-minute Quadrangle shows further development of this network of roads, which by then connected the well-developed city of Paso Robles, El Camino Real, the Southern Pacific Railroad, and the Salinas River to the west with the small settlements of Estrella, Bern, Union, Shandon, and Geneseo. At this time, rural residences were sparsely distributed along the roadways, leaving the landscape for ranching and agricultural uses. Several schools were in operation, including Dry Creek School, Estrella School, Phillips School, and Pleasant Valley School.

The Paso Robles Municipal Airport was originally built in 1942 for military use during World War II. It was taken over by the County in 1949, and was transferred to the City in 1973 (City of El Paso de Robles 2016a).

By 1948, the USGS 7.5-minute Quadrangle shows much of the early road network incorporated into the modern county and state highway system, including the present alignments of SR-46, Union Road (former SR-41), Mill Road, and Estrella Road. Orchard and field croplands were present along Union Road at this time, while most of the surrounding lands were open space likely used for livestock grazing. While almonds, fruit, and field crops remain important agricultural products of the region, wine grapes were increasingly planted in the mid- and late-20th century. Hunter Ranch Golf Course was built in the early 1990s.

## 3.2.4 Significant Themes

The following sections present significant themes relating to the types of resources identified within the project area. This includes discussions of residential development in Paso Robles and the surrounding area, the role of agriculture and wine grape cultivation, and the development of electric generation and transmission in San Luis Obispo County.

## 3.2.4.1 RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

The following section is largely paraphrased from *City of Paso Robles Historic Resources Survey* (Historic Resources Group 2010). With the establishment and growth of Paso Robles in the 1880s, residential development in the region primarily centered on the city's emerging city core west of Salinas River, while development east of the river was much more dispersed and consisted of small, family-run farms grouped in agricultural communities such as Linne, Shandon, and Cholame. Although the arrival of the Pacific Coast Railway and Southern Pacific Road's coastal route in 1886 encouraged a number of well-advertised land auctions and subdivisions, it did little to immediately increase growth in the region. Census records indicate the population in San Luis Obispo County only increased by 565 people between 1890 and 1900, and many of the tracts of land that were subdivided along the rail line remain undeveloped into the following decades.

While residences in the Paso Robles area that were constructed in the 1880s and 1890s were typically designed in Victorian-era styles, homes developed in the first decades of the 20<sup>th</sup> century reflected new regional styles that were emerging across California. Most notable was the California bungalow, which was inspired by the Arts and Crafts movement and was well suited for the region. It remained the dominant style in the Paso Robles through the 1920s, with a lesser number of Spanish and Mediterranean revival styles also constructed during this period. Residential construction comprised the majority of construction in the 1910s and 1920s and continued to be located primarily west of Salinas River.

Similar to much of California and the rest of the country, residential development in Paso Robles slowed significantly during the Great Depression of the 1930s. In 1934, the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) was created as a result of the National Housing Act and provided financial incentives and guidelines for the construction of new homes. These guidelines promoted 624-square-foot, efficiently designed houses and outlined concepts of planning for parks, playgrounds, and commercial areas. An example of an FHA planned development in the northern edge of Paso Robles is the Oak Park neighborhood, which was developed in 1941. Other residential architecture from the 1940s remained primarily to the west of Salinas River and consisted of infill housing in previously-established neighborhoods.

Development eventually began to move east of Salinas River following World War II. While this area had continued to remain largely agricultural up to this point, a large population influx and the construction of two new bridges crossing Salinas River soon resulted in an increase of development adjacent to U.S. Highway 101. By the end of the 1950s much of the original Santa Ysbel Land Grant had been developed and eight new subdivisions were annexed by the City. Architectural styles within these subdivisions were consistent with popular designs of the time and typically consisted of Ranch- and Modern-style homes. Agricultural lands east of Salinas River continued to be subdivided and annexed in the following decade, with another six subdivisions added between 1960 and 1969. Development since this time has remained largely focused on the areas bordering Salinas River and the highway, with areas further to the east continuing to be characterized by agricultural uses.

## 3.2.4.2 AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

*City of Paso Robles Historic Resources Survey* (Historic Resources Group 2010) provides a thorough discussion of the significant role of agriculture and wine grape cultivation in the Paso Robles area and is excerpted below:

Agriculture is the main commercial enterprise in San Luis Obispo County, and is critical to the economy and development of Paso Robles. During the late nineteenth century dairy, cattle, and horse ranches were established in the region. The most significant crops during this period were the grain crops, primarily wheat and barley. Paso Robles became the commercial capital of a regional economy based on the export of wheat in the 1880s and 1890s.

The goal for many farmers, however, was to slowly increase the size of their orchards and eventually replace wheat altogether. The long-term attraction of orchard crops was their much higher profit yields, as one acre of fruit will yield more profit than fifteen acres of wheat." They were successful in this venture, and between 1870 and 1910 California agriculture underwent a momentous transition in which specialty crops (primarily fruits, nuts, raisins, and wine grapes) completely eclipsed grain production. The transition required time and money since fruit trees usually do not come into full bearing until four or five years after planting. In the interim, wheat provided income enabling farmers to support their families and nurture their trees. In addition, the local infrastructure needed to adapt to the new requirements of orchard farming, including the necessity for professional nurseries, driers, and canneries.

During this period, the almond began to emerge as one of the most successful orchard products for Paso Robles. The mixture of sand, clay, and silt in the soil provided good drainage, and the average rainfall of the area created the ideal climate for non-irrigated almond orchards. Michael Gerst, a prominent Paso Robles pioneer, was a homesteader on land subdivided near the rail lines in the 1880s in the Oak Flat district west of Paso Robles. He established several acres of fruit and nut orchards. The almond grew so successfully for him that at the 1906 World's Fair Gerst took the prize for "the best almonds in the world." In the 1920s Paso Robles would become the "Almond Capitol," having the largest concentration of almond orchards in the country...

... The economic growth of the 1870s and 1880s led first to the establishment of the Grange, which was the country's first nationwide agricultural organization and was based on the principles of fraternal institutions. In addition, the related ideals of the Scandinavian Folk School Movement, which was transplanted to the United States by Norwegian, Swedish, and especially Danish immigrants who settled in the Midwest and along the Pacific Coast during the second half of the nineteenth century, was also influential in cooperative ideals taking hold in the region. From grass roots societies such as the Grange, the Farmer's Alliance Business Association organization emerged in 1891 and began actively marketing collective farming. In the Paso Robles area, a large Nordic influx during the 1870s and 1880s formed a third of the founders and stakeholders in the Farmers Alliance Business Association. The flourishing agricultural industry and ancillary businesses needed to support its growth gave the Farmers Alliance a strong foothold in Paso Robles.

In the 1890s the Southern Pacific Railroad had established a four-way monopoly controlling commercial transportation, grain milling, grain warehousing and the local lumber supply. This monopoly allowed the Southern Pacific to demand that towns and cities who wanted rail connections absorb the costs of construction by providing cash subsidies, granting access to rights-of-way, and donating the land for railroad depots. In Paso Robles, a man named Richard Shackelford controlled railroad enterprises.

In 1891 Alliance members from San Luis Obispo and Monterey counties gathered to lay the foundation for a new cooperative flourmill to compete with the monopoly of the Southern Pacific Milling Company. They voted to unite and go into the grain warehousing business, founding the Farmers Alliance Business Association (FABA), which was formally incorporated on June 20, 1891. They purchased land from Daniel Blackburn and developed a site that fronted the Southern Pacific tracks. Richard Shackelford declared that the sidetrack facing the warehouse could only be used by the Southern Pacific Milling Company and any movement across the property would be treated as trespassing. This claim was refuted by the newly formed California State Railroad Commission, and FABA was able to firmly establish a tradition of a supportive agricultural cooperation in California.

With this foundation, The Farmers' Alliance Business Association continued to influence economic agricultural practices in Paso Robles. Although the political Populists Movement born from the Farmer's Alliance died out in 1896, the Alliance cooperatives left behind an enduring legacy that influenced the local economy of the upper Salinas Valley long into the twentieth century. The endurance of FABA as a private company preserved the vital competition established by the Alliance against Southern Pacific Milling Company...

With the United States entrance into World War I in 1917, there was an enormous demand for agricultural products, which proved an economic boon to Central California. During the War, many farmers turned to the production of navy beans, since these were subsidized by the War Relief Administration. Before reliable refrigeration, beans could be shipped to the troops in Europe without spoiling, and San Louis Obispo County's economy boomed. The Hot Springs Hotel was the center of local fundraising efforts to support the War Effort.

When the war was over in 1918 these government subsidies ended, and area farmers turned again to dairy and produce. The steep decline in demand as European countries started to recover and produce their own supplies laid the groundwork for a depressed economy in the late teens and early 1920s. American farmers, who represented onequarter of the economy, had expanded their output during World War I, when demand for farm goods was high and production in Europe was cut sharply. After the war, farmers found themselves competing in an oversupplied international market. Prices fell, and farmers were often unable to sell their products for a profit...

Advertisements placed in publications such as Sunset Magazine claimed that almonds would make the reader "independent for life," and trips aboard the Southern Pacific to attract out-of-town potential orchardists were arranged. From the mid teens through 1922, the Paso Robles Press dedicated a front page article of every issue to the Almond Growers Association. The Chamber of Commerce named its newsletter "The Nutcracker," with the promotional text claiming Paso Robles as the "largest almond growing district in the world..."

Agriculture continued to play an important role in the expansion of Paso Robles during this period. In the 1940s, the local company of Jackson & Reinhart began to take over thousands of acres formerly managed by the Paso Robles Almond Growers Association. From 1950 to the late 1960s, Paso Robles produced 90 percent of the almonds sold in the nation. By the 1960s, however, California's water project brought large supplies of water to the San Joaquin and Sacramento Valleys. Land that previously lacked water to grow almonds was put into production. The area's main almond processing plant moved its operation to the San Joaquin Valley and the Paso Robles Almond Growers Association faded.

#### Viticulture in Paso Robles

The other major agricultural product that had a profound influence on the development of Paso Robles and the surrounding area were wine grapes. In 1858 a French Army "soldier of fortune" named Pierre Hippolyte Dallidet settled in San Luis Obispo. He built an adobe home on the grounds of the Mission San Luis Obispo and he purchased the failing vines of the Mission San Miguel Arcangel. He is credited with revitalizing the wine industry in San Luis Obispo County that had begun during the Mission era.

Many other local farmers followed Dallidet's example, including Indiana rancher Andrew York, who began planting vineyards on his 240-acre homestead in 1882. Within a few years, he found that the vines were yielding more than he could market, prompting him to establish Ascension Winery, known today as York Mountain Winery. The family planted some of the area's earliest Zinfandel vines, making Paso Robles famous for this variety. York initially sold his wines in San Luis Obispo and eventually as far away as San Francisco. Today, York Mountain Winery is the oldest winery in continuous operation in the county...

Under growing pressure from religious and political temperance movements, the 18th Amendment to the Constitution was enacted in 1920 prohibiting the sale, manufacture, and transport of alcohol in the United States; Prohibition lasted until 1933 when it was repealed by Franklin Delano Roosevelt. The California wine industry certainly suffered during this period, but at the same time, there was an influx of immigrants to the area who were willing to establish new vineyards.<sup>1</sup>

The most famous new vintner during this period was Ignace Paderewski, who purchased 2,000 acres of land for farming, and in the early 1920s planted Petite Sirah and Zinfandel on his Rancho San Ignacio vineyard. More than any other variety, Zinfandel had a strong influence on the early growth and development of the wine industry in Paso Robles. When Prohibition ended, Paderewski's wine was made at York Mountain Winery. The wines produced from grapes grown on Rancho San Ignacio went on to become award-winning and helped grow Paso Robles' reputation as a premier wine region...

...This era [post-World War II] saw a new generation of vineyard pioneers in the Paso Robles area, bringing university training and financial resources for large plantings. Dr. Stanley Hoffman, under the guidance of U.C. Davis and legendary enologist Andre Tchelistcheff, planted some of the region's first Cabernet Sauvignon, Pinot Noir and Chardonnay on his 1,200-acre ranch next to the old Paderewski Ranch in the hills of Adelaida, about five miles west of town. His Hoffman Mountain Ranch Winery (a portion now owned by Adelaida Cellars) was the first large-scale modern facility in the area.

New wine grape growers also began to cultivate the first large plantings on the east side of the Salinas River. Bob Young planted the area's first large scale commercial vineyard, now known as Rancho Dos Amigos on Shandon Heights. Herman Schwartz, managing partner for a group of investors, planted the 500-acre Rancho Tierra Rejada in 1973. From 1973 to 1977 Gary Eberle and Cliff Giacobine planted 700 acres, including the first modern commercial acreage of Syrah in the state and established Estrella River Winery, the largest winery in the area (purchased in 1988 by Nestle/Beringer).

Large vineyards and wineries continued to be established in Paso Robles through the 1980s and 1990s, as growers recognized that favorable soil and climate conditions, combined with reasonably priced and available land, allowed them to grow high-quality wine grapes at more competitive price levels than was possible in other areas.

#### 3.2.4.3 ELECTRIC GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION IN PASO ROBLES

Presented below is an excerpted history of electric generation and transmission in California from "Guide to Evaluating Electric Transmission Structures for the National Register of Historic Places" (Adams 2010), followed by a discussion of this theme as it relates to San Luis Obispo County:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The California wine industry was aided by two loopholes in the Prohibition law: 1) sacramental wine was exempt with government permit and 2) sale of fresh and dried grapes were also exempt. The latter loophole was particularly complex because sellers and buyers could be charged with conspiracy if it was known that the grapes would be turned into wine. Additionally, vintners produced "wine bricks" made of concentrated grape juice for people to then dissolve. The bricks came with warnings stating that they were not meant for alcoholic consumption and if stored in a cool place for 21 days would result in wine (Burnham 2010; Blakemore 2015).

It was in 1879 when Thomas Alva Edison invented the incandescent light bulb, that electric transmission began its next great set of developments in modern history. Edison contributed to the development of new systems of power generation and distribution which initiated the development of the electric utility industry.

After Edison invented the light bulb, the 1880's saw a splurge of electric utility companies develop in California. Edison's Read Street Station began operating in 1882. Most of these electric utility companies used low-voltage direct current (D.C.) dynamos which could only transmit electricity about three miles. Since the transmission distance was not too great, only urban areas where populations were dense could economically be served by these early companies. The California Electric Light Company from San Francisco was the first to begin running long distance electric transmission lines in California starting in 1879. The California Electric Light Company had difficulty with their long distance lines because the D.C. system only allowed for short transmission. Nikola Tesla and William Stanley were the first to develop an alternating current (A.C.)for electric lighting. The A.C. system allowed higher voltages of electricity to be transmitted through the line than the D.C. system could handle. In 1890, four California cities; Santa Barbara, Visalia, Pasadena, and Highgrove, began to use this new technology in their power plants. Also introduced was the "converter," now called a transformer. The transformer reduced the high distribution voltage from the transmission line to a lower voltage to be used in houses with interior wiring.

The 1890's saw even greater advancements in electric transmission. The San Antonia Light and Power Company began using an oil-filled "step-up" transformer. The "stepup" transformer was created 1892 by Almarian William Decker and was used to convey 10,000 volts of A.C. current about fourteen miles from San Antonio Canvon to Pomona. Before Decker's invention, 1,000 volts was the largest voltage that lines could transmit. Shortly after this fourteen-mile line, a twenty-eight mile line was built by the same company and extended from San Antonio Canvon to San Bernardino. In 1899, the Edison Electric Company built the longest line to date, an eighty-three mile transmission line from the Santa Ana River to Los Angeles. They were able to achieve the extraordinary lengths by using glazed porcelain insulators which could handle up to 40.000 volts of electricity. The length of transmission lines only continued to expand into the 20th century. Bay Counties Power Company built a 142 mile long transmission line in 1901 which brought power from the Colgate Powerhouse in the Sierra Nevada to Oakland. They used cedar poles as the structure support which held copper and aluminum wires. This transmission line was even more impressive by the 4,427 foot expansion it made crossing the Carquinez Straits. The construction engineer responsible for the project was John Debo Galloway. Galloway is given credit for designing and constructing the cable span which was the longest in the world at the time. Galloway's transmission line was also the first electrical power produced in the Sierra Nevada and crossed the mountains into the Sacramento Valley. The power from this transmission line was then used by Bay Area residents. Galloway lived from 1869-1943 and is recognized as one of the major pioneers in the design of electric transmission facilities, lines, and towers in California.

The hydroelectric industry of the early 20th century was a major contributor to the development of electric transmission in California. Between 1900 and 1910 California's population increased by 60 per cent and with that expansion came the high demand for electricity. California's first hydroelectric plant was the Folsom Powerhouse on the American River in Sacramento County which began service in 1895. From this period until the end of World War II, hydroelectric systems evolved heavily in design and

engineering. Hydroelectric companies in California provided a network of long distance electric transmission lines. The Bay Counties Power Company and the Standard Electric Company in 1902 had nearly complete electric coverage of the Bay Area and places like Stockton, Amador City, and Marysville.

The Edison Electric Company built a 118 mile long transmission line in 1907. This transmission line carried 75,000 volts to Los Angeles from the Kern River No. 1 hydroelectric plant. This transmission line system was the first line to use steel towers as the supporting structure. The towers were built by the Wind Engine Company which was a windmill manufacturer.

Some of the largest and most significant hydroelectric projects in California started in the late 1920s. The Big Creek Hydroelectric System is owned by the Southern California Edison Company. The Central Valley Project is owned by the federal government but was conceptualized by the State of California. The Big Creek Hydroelectric system, in 1929, generated a total of 424,500 kw operating across 36 miles of tunnels; a huge project in California during that time in hydro engineering. The project employed John Eastwood, a pioneer in dam engineering and design, for a number of years during the project. The Central Valley Project was one of the largest water conveyance projects ever undertaken. It was constructed as a reaction to the growing state of California's population including water and electricity needs. The CVP originally consisted of five central units; Shasta Dam, Delta-Mendota Canal, Friant Dam, Friant-Kern Canal, and the Contra Costa Canal. The main operation of these units was to deliver Sacramento River Water from the north of California to the dry San Joaquin Valley. It now operates over 11 divisions/units across California. The Nevada Irrigation District (NID) began the Yuba-Bear river project in 1963, harnessing the Yuba and Bear rivers. PG&E partnered with NID on the Yuba-Bear river hydroelectric project which now provides enough electricity to power 60,000 homes. Today, Southern California Edison Company and PG&E own and operate a large share of hydroelectric systems in California. The Central Valley Project, owned by the Bureau of Reclamation, is still the largest multi-purpose hydroelectric project in California. PG&E currently owns and operates nearly 500 miles of hydroelectric systems throughout California with a generating capacity of 3,896 megawatts powering over 4 million homes. In recent decades electric companies throughout California, led by industry giants PG&E and Southern California Edison Company, continue to expand their electric transmission systems to reach further and provide greater energy than ever before.

#### 3.2.4.4 ELECTRIC GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION IN SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

The history of electric power in San Luis Obispo County coincides closely with the early days of the new power source. Constructed in 1883, the first electric plant in San Luis Obispo arrived just 4 years after California's electric history began with the establishment of the California Electric Light Company in San Francisco in 1879 (Pacific Gas and Electric Company 1954). The San Luis Obispo plant was developed by the San Luis Obispo Gas and Electric Company and consisted of a small, steam engine-powered dynamo that was primarily used to light carbon-arc street lights. Other plants soon followed, including the Santa Maria Electric and Gas Company in Santa Maria, the Russell Robinson Electric Company in Arroyo Grande, and the Paso Robles Light and Water Company in Paso Robles.

The "modern" electrical history of the Central Coast region began in 1913 when Emory and Albert Graves Wishon organized the Midland Counties Public Service Corporation. The new enterprise soon purchased many of the existing small electric systems in the area, including the operation in Paso Robles.

Other acquisitions in the following years expanded the company's service into Fresno, Monterey, and Santa Barbara Counties (Walker 1919:168). Although the company initially relied on the generation capabilities of the small systems it acquired, increased demand soon led the company to purchase electrical energy from the San Joaquin Light and Power Corporation. By 1917, electricity was being conveyed to the Central Coast over a 137-mile, 60,0000 volt transmission line that ran from the San Joaquin Light and Power Corporation's Henrietta Substation in King County, through Coalinga, San Miguel, Paso Robles, and San Luis Obispo to Santa Maria in Santa Barbara County (Railroad Commission of the State of California 1917:322).

As electricity became commonplace in homes across California in the 1920s, the need for additional systems grew with it. In order to provide the Midland Counties Public Service Corporation with more energy, the San Joaquin Light and Power Corporation developed additional transmission lines and substations. This included the development of new lines extending to smaller communities such as Guadalupe, as well as the expansion of substations in McKittrick and Santa Maria (*Electrical West* 1922:417). Other expansions in San Luis Obispo County during this period included new lines to Morro Bay, Cayucos, and Cambria, and eventually Shandon, Cholame, and Parkfield.

Many of the early steam plants were retired by the 1920s and in the following decades, the Central Coast region increasingly received its energy from generation plants to the east operated by the San Joaquin Light and Power Corporation. Local energy production eventually returned to the region with the development of the 300,000 kilowatt Morro Bay steam plant in the 1950s, by which time the Midland Counties Public Service Corporation was acquired by Pacific Gas and Electric (Pacific Gas and Electric Company 1954; Williams 1997:292). This new plant resulted in the construction of new high voltage power lines that delivered electricity to the local communities, and with the subsequent development of the Gates substation in Fresno County reversed the historic trend by transmitting power back to the San Joaquin Valley.

# **4 NATIVE AMERICAN COORDINATION**

As part of the effort to identify indigenous cultural resources within the study area, two requests were made of NAHC to search the Sacred Lands Files. The first request was made on March 29, 2016, and the second on April 5, 2016. On June 27, 2016, letters were sent to two contacts NAHC identified as possibly having knowledge of cultural resources within the study area. The letters requested any available information on resources in the project vicinity and invited general commentary or questions pertaining to the project. Two informal tribal outreach meetings were held on August 23, 2016. Each of the two tribes identified by NAHC (the Salinan Tribe of Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties and the Xolon-Salinan Tribe) requested a separate meeting with representatives from the project proponents. Both tribes requested copies of this technical report and the project footprint map.

Patti Dunton of the Salinan Tribe of Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties expressed concerns over the general sensitivity of the Santa Ysabel Ranch area, which is outside of the study area. She also stated that the tribe would further review the information that they had been provided, and would submit formal comments about specific concerns, which are still pending.

The Xolon-Salinan Tribe indicated that their ancestors had used Dry Creek as a transportation corridor. They also indicated that the areas surrounding the Estrella and Salinas Rivers were sensitive for cultural resources. Details regarding Native American outreach are included in Table 1.
| Native American Contact  | Letter Sent                 | Follow-Ups   | Results  |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis<br>Obispo Counties<br>Patti Dunton, Tribal Administrator<br>7070 Morro Road, Suite A<br>Atascadero, CA 93422<br>Salinan/Chumash<br>salinantribe@aol.com<br>(805) 464-2650<br>(805) 235-2730 cell | 06/27/2016:<br>by U.S. Mail | The proponents met<br>with Patti Dunton on<br>8/23/2016 to discuss<br>the project. | Ms. Dunton indicated<br>that she would review<br>the project in greater<br>detail, review the<br>tribe's information on<br>areas where Native<br>American human<br>remains have been<br>identified, and, in<br>some cases,<br>repatriated, and<br>provide a written<br>response to the<br>outreach letter. Official<br>comments/response<br>pending.   |
| Xolon-Salinan Tribe<br>Karen White, Council Chairperson<br>PO Box 7045<br>Spreckels, CA 93962<br>Salinan<br>blukat41 @yahoo.com<br>831-238-1488  | 06/27/2016:<br>by U.S. Mail | The proponents had a teleconference with the Xolon-Salinan Tribe on 8/23/2016.     | Ms. Haro stated that<br>the areas along<br>Estrella River and<br>Salinas River are<br>sensitive and known<br>Xolon Salinan<br>affiliated cultural<br>resources have been<br>identified in multiple<br>locations. She<br>requested information<br>on the types of ground<br>disturbance<br>associated with the<br>installation of a new<br>power line route and<br>at the substation<br>location. She stated<br>that deep excavations<br>near the substation<br>have higher potential<br>to encounter cultural<br>resources and that a<br>tribal monitor should<br>be present during<br>ground disturbance in<br>this area. She also<br>indicated that while<br>the provided project<br>figures are helpful,<br>they would wait to<br>provide specific<br>comments until the<br>tribe was provided<br>with a more specific<br>footprint. <i>Official<br/>comments/response</i><br><i>pending.</i> |

## Table 1. Native American Coordination Summary

# 5 METHODS

## 5.1 Records Search

Mr. Armstrong requested a search of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) from the Central Coast Information Center (CCIC), located at U.C. Santa Barbara. The CCIC provided the results to Mr. Armstrong on April 12, 2016. The search included any previously recorded cultural resources and investigations within 0.5 mile of the study area. The CHRIS search also included a review of the NRHP, the CRHR, the California Points of Historical Interest list, the California State Historical Landmarks list, the Archaeological Determinations of Eligibility list, the Historic Properties Directory, the Archaeological Determinations of Eligibility List, the California Inventory of Historic Resources, the California Department of Transportation Bridge Survey, and local historic resources inventories.

# 5.2 Additional Background Research

To supplement the CHRIS records search, cultural resources specialists conducted a desktop analysis of online and documentary sources in an effort to determine past land uses and assess the potential for buried prehistoric and historical deposits. This included the examination of historical maps available online. Researchers consulted historical USGS topographic maps (1919, 1952, 1966), General Land Office survey plats (1869), historical aerial photography (NETR Online 2016; Google 2016), Sanborn Fire Insurance Company maps, the City of Paso Robles Historic Resources Survey (Historic Resources Group 2010), and the Paso Robles Historic Resources Inventory (Historic Resources Group 2014). U.C. Berkeley's Earth Sciences and Maps Library was visited for access to its Historic Topographic Maps of California database. The David Rumsey Historical Map Collection and the California Historical Topographical Maps Collection from the California State University Chico Library were accessed for historical map and geospatial data as well. U.C. Davis' California Soil Resource Laboratory Online Soil Survey was reviewed for information on landforms and depositional history in the study area. Numerous ethnographic sources were consulted for information on indigenous populations during the Contact Period. Searches were conducted for California historical resources and landmarks on the California Office of Historic Preservation website, and for resources listed on the NRHP using the National Park Service's (NPS) focused digital asset search. Historical aerial photos from the Nationwide Environmental Title Research database and the U.C. Berkeley California Aerial Photography database were also consulted.

# 5.3 Buried Site Sensitivity Study

In order to assess the potential of the study area to contain subsurface cultural deposits, a geoarchaeologist conducted a geoarchaeological desktop analysis by consulting a combination of aerial imagery, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soils data (SoilWeb 2016), and geologic maps and reports available for the area (Dibblee and Minch 2004; Durham 1974). Buried site sensitivity was assessed largely on the basis of landform type, depositional regime, and age of geologic surfaces as inferred from the above sources. No field-based geoarchaeological testing was conducted for this study.

# 5.4 Archaeological Survey

Archaeologists conducted an intensive pedestrian survey of intuitively selected portions of the study area from May 23–27, 2016. The intuitive areas were chosen based on the results of background research in combination with a visual inspection of the entire study area from public and private roads, which was conducted to characterize the environmental setting and identify areas of potentially elevated cultural resources sensitivity. The survey included accessible portions of the study area exhibiting environmental factors (e.g., proximity to water, landform) and cultural factors (e.g., presence of known resources in similar settings) that may indicate elevated cultural resources sensitivity. In general, steep slopes, exposed

areas greater than 500 feet from drainages, and creek bottoms were considered not sensitive for prehistoric cultural resources. The survey included nine separate areas totaling approximately 27 acres (4 percent of the overall study area). It should be noted that an additional 23 acres of the study area was previously subject to intensive level survey during fieldwork associated with a separate project alternative (SWCA 2016a). The survey consisted of intensive systematic surface inspection with transects walked at 15-meter (m) (50-foot) intervals or less to ensure that all surface-exposed artifacts, sites, and built environment resources in the surveyed areas could be identified. Archaeologists examined the ground surface for the presence of prehistoric artifacts (e.g., flaked stone tools, tool-making debris, stone milling tools), historical artifacts (e.g., metal, glass, ceramics), sediment discoloration that might indicate the presence of a cultural midden, roads and trails, and depressions and other features that might indicate the former presence of structures or buildings (e.g., post holes, foundations).

Whenever cultural resources were encountered, archaeologists collected all data necessary to complete the appropriate DPR 523 series forms. Resources were mapped with handheld mapping-grade Trimble Geo7X and GeoXT Global Positioning System (GPS) units with sub-meter accuracy and differential correction. Field GPS data for sites were post-processed using Trimble Pathfinder Office software and projected into Universal Transverse Mercator, Zone 10 North, North American Datum 1983 coordinates. All GPS data were exported into Geographic Information Systems (GIS) geodatabases and plotted onto the associated geo-referenced USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle to ensure accuracy and to produce location maps of all resources. In addition to mapping, archaeologists documented all resources with overview photographs. No artifacts were collected during the surveys. Archaeologists assigned temporary field numbers using the provenience designation (PD) system, beginning with PD1001.

# 5.5 Built Environment Constraints Study

In order to assess the potential of the study area to contain historical built environment resources, architectural historians conducted a desktop analysis by consulting a variety of sources, including built date data from the County Assessor, the City of Paso Robles Historic Resources Inventory, historical aerial photography, and USGS topographic maps. Built environment constraints were assessed by considering whether parcels intersecting the study area contained buildings, structures, or objects that were 45 years of age or older, and therefore had potential to meet CRHR eligibility. Although a reconnaissance-level survey was completed to partially field check the results, intensive-level survey and evaluation were not completed as part of the current study.

# 6 RESULTS

# 6.1 Records Search

The CCIC conducted an initial records search on April 12, 2016, at the request of Mr. Armstrong of PG&E. Record search materials from the CCIC are provided in Appendices B and C. The results of the records search are detailed below.

## 6.1.1 Previously Conducted Cultural Resource Studies

Results of the CHRIS records search indicated that 62 previous cultural resource studies have been conducted within a 0.5-mile radius of the study area. Of these studies, 17 include small portions of the current study area. Details pertaining to these investigations are presented in Table 2.

| Report<br>Number | Author   | Year | Study Title   | Proximity to<br>Study area                        |
|------------------|--|------|---|---|
| SL-00005         | Hoover, R.                                     | 1978 | Archaeological Evaluation, Tierra del Campo<br>Project, Paso Robles, California   | Within  |
| SL-00009         | Dills, C.                                      | 1975 | Archaeological Investigation of Paso Robles<br>Golf and Country Club Site   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-00025         | Gibson, R.                                     | 1975 | Archaeological Element of Environmental<br>Impact Report for Paso Robles/Templeton<br>Interceptor Sewer, San Luis Obispo County,<br>California  | Within  |
| SL-00105         | Smith, C.                                      | 1979 | State Highway 46 (30.3-59.9 P.M.)   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-00174         | Gibson, R.                                     | 1979 | Archaeological Element of Environmental<br>Impact Report for Nock Annexation  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-00210         | Gibson, R.                                     | 1980 | Archaeological Element for the Niblick Road<br>Bridge Project, Paso Robles, Ca.   | Within  |
| SL-00212         | Hoover, R.                                     | 1980 | Archaeological Reconnaissance Bertoni<br>Property, Paso Robles  | Within  |
| SL-00223         | Gibson, R.                                     | 1977 | Archaeological Element for Paso Robles<br>Street Sanitary Sewer System Project 07-51-<br>01912  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-00331         | Smith, C.                                      | 1981 | Dumping Grinding Waste between 59, 1/64.8<br>on Highway 101, San Luis Obispo County,<br>CA  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-00363         | Hoover, R.                                     | 1982 | Archaeological Survey for F.M.H.A. Housing in Paso Robles, California   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-00370         | Hampson, R.;<br>Breschini, G.;<br>Haversat, T. | 1982 | Preliminary Cultural Resources<br>Reconnaissance of a Proposed Natural Gas<br>Pipeline and Electric Transmission Lines,<br>Monterey, San Luis Obispo, and Kings<br>Counties, California | Within  |
| SL-00486         | Waldron, W.                                    | 1985 | Survey of road widening along Highway 46,<br>including bridges no. 49-165 and 49-34,<br>located between the junction of Routes<br>101/46 and Airport Road, SLO County                   | Within  |
| SL-00594         | Singer, C.                                     | 1987 | Cultural Resources Survey and Impact<br>Assessment for the Union/46 Specific Plan<br>Area, Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo County,<br>California   | Within  |

| Report<br>Number | Author                                     | Year | Study Title   | Proximity to Study area                           |
|------------------|--|------|---|---|
| SL-00647         | Soule, W.                                  | 1984 | Negative Archaeological Survey Report, State<br>Water Resources Control Board, Division of<br>Water Rights, Estrella River Winery   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-00760         | Singer, C. and J.<br>Atwood                | 1989 | Cultural Resources Survey and Impact<br>Assessment for the Paso Robles Wastewater<br>Treatment Plant, San Luis Obispo County,<br>California   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-01310         | Singer, C., R.<br>Gibson, and J.<br>Atwood | 1989 | Cultural resources survey and impact<br>assessment for the proposed shopping center<br>at Niblick road and South River Road in the<br>city of Paso Robles San Luis Obispo County,<br>California | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-01443         | Gibson, R.                                 | 1978 | Archaeological element of environmental<br>impact report for Munari annexation, City of El<br>Paso de Robles  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-01631         | Singer, C. and J.<br>Atwood                | 1990 | Archaeological testing at CA-SLO-993 in the city of Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo County, CA   | Within  |
| SL-01643         | Engineering-<br>Science, Inc.              | 1988 | Draft Hazardous Waste Management Plan,<br>Environmental Impact Report   | Within  |
| SL-02028         | Gibson, R.                                 | 1988 | Results of Phase One Archaeological Surface<br>Survey for the Borkey Area Specific Plan,<br>Paso Robles, CA   | Within  |
| SL-02035         | ERCE and ERCE                              | 1991 | Draft San Luis Obispo Water Lines and<br>Facilities Project Cultural Resources Report   | Within  |
| SL-02134         | Parker, J.                                 | 1992 | Final Report on Cultural Resources Testing<br>for the Woodlawn Plaza II Project, Paso<br>Robles, California   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-02173         | Gibson, R.                                 | 1992 | Results of Phase One Archaeological Surface<br>Survey for the Cagliero Tract 2047, Paso<br>Robles   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-02191         | Gibson, R.                                 | 1990 | Results of Phase One Archaeological Surface<br>Survey on Tract 1865, Paso Robles, CA  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-02333         | PAR<br>Environmental<br>Services           | 1992 | Historic Property Survey Report for Proposed<br>Lane Widening of State Route 46, P.M. 32.2<br>to 36.4   | Within  |
| SL-02428         | Gibson, R.                                 | 1993 | Results of Phase One Archaeological Surface<br>Survey for the Massey Tract I981 Paso<br>Robles, CA  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |

| Report<br>Number | Author                                 | Year | Study Title  | Proximity to<br>Study area                        |
|------------------|--|------|--|---|
| SL-02601         | Heipel, S.                             | 1994 | Historic property survey report for the<br>proposed expansion of the Niblick Road<br>Bridge over the Salinas River (05-SLO-101-<br>P.M. 55.9), City of El Paso de Robles, San<br>Luis Obispo County, California              | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-02636         | Hunter, J.                             | 1993 | Negative Archaeological Survey Report (of<br>northbound and southbound bridge<br>replacement on Route 101 in South Paso<br>Robles)   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-02953         | Bertando, Ethan                        | 1996 | Cultural Resource Investigation of the<br>Riverside Avenue Parcel, APN 008-021-011,<br>Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo Co., CA  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-03030         | Pruett, Catherine<br>Lewis             | 1996 | An Archaeological Assessment of a Proposed<br>12 Mile, Four Inch Gas Pipeline from Niblick<br>Road to Camp Roberts, Along North River<br>Road, Highway 101, and Southern Pacific<br>Railroad, SLO County                     | Within  |
| SL-03142         | Heritage<br>Discoveries Inc.           | 1996 | The Quail Site: Archaeological Testing &<br>Mitigation at SLO-1804 in the City of El Paso<br>de Robles, San Luis Obispo County,<br>California  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-03449         | Conway, Thor                           | 1998 | An Archeological Survey of the Woodland<br>Plaza III Property, Paso Robles, San Luis<br>Obispo County, California  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-03515         | Getchell, Barbie<br>and John<br>Atwood | 1998 | Cultural Resources Inventory of Riverside<br>Farm Lots 10 and 14, and Adjacent Parcels 3<br>and 4 per Assessor's Map 9-63 (200+ Acres)<br>in the City of Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo,<br>San Luis Obispo County, California | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-03823         | Parker, John                           | 1999 | Cultural Resources Investigation of the<br>Proposed Paso Robles Hot Springs and Spa<br>Development North River Road, Paso Robles   | Within  |
| SL-03832         | Gibson, Robert                         | 1994 | Results of phase one archaeological surface<br>survey for the Wilson Tract 1718, Paso<br>Robles, CA  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-03878         | Flint Cone,<br>Sandra                  | 1999 | Phase I Archaeological Survey for the<br>Templeton Proponent Environmental<br>Assessment Transmission Line<br>Reinforcement Project  | Within  |
| SL-04013         | Farrell, Nancy                         | 2000 | Cultural Resources Inventory of Portions of<br>the Stimson-Lane Paso Robles Winery,<br>Estrella District, San Luis Obispo County, CA   | Within  |

| Report<br>Number | Author                                   | Year | Study Title   | Proximity to<br>Study area                        |
|------------------|--|------|---|---|
| SL-04020         | Glover, Leslie C.<br>et al               | 1999 | Archaeological Survey Report for a Highway<br>Widening From Two Lanes to Four Along<br>Highway 46, San Luis Obispo County, CA   | Within  |
| SL-04057         | Nelson, Wendy<br>J.                      | 2000 | Cultural Resources Survey for the Level (3)<br>Communications Long Haul Fiber Optics<br>Project   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-04142         | Singer, Clay A.                          | 2000 | Cultural Resources Survey and Impact<br>Assessment for Tract 2400, a 115 acre<br>property at 2125 Stockdale Road in San Luis<br>Obispo County, California   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-04306         | Conway, Thor                             | 2001 | An Archaeological Survey of the People's<br>Self-Help Property, Creekside Gardens<br>Project  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-04638         | Singer, Clay A.                          | 2001 | Cultural Resources Survey and Impact<br>Assessment for a 9.9 acre Property in the<br>Community to San Miguel, San Luis Obispo<br>County, California   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-04772         | Clift, G. and N.<br>Farrell              | 2003 | Phase I Archaeological Survey for Oak Park<br>Senior Housing Project, Paso Robles, San<br>Luis Obispo County, CA  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-05065         | Brasket, Kelli<br>and Terry L.<br>Joslin | 2002 | Negative Archaeological Survey Report for<br>Paso Robles Landscape Rehabilitation<br>Project Along Highway 101  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-05068         | Brasket, Kelli<br>and Terry L.<br>Joslin | 2002 | Project Location: SLO-101 PM 56.8, EA<br>OR002, Negative Archaeological Survey<br>Report  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-05081         | Stevenson,<br>Nathan                     | 2003 | Cultural Resource Survey of the<br>Stockdale/Park View Ranch Stockdale Road,<br>Paso Robles San Luis Obispo County,<br>California Tentative Tract #2567 (APN's 026-<br>141-049, 026-141-050, 026-151-004, 026-<br>151-005, 026-151-006) | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-05319         | Farrell, N.                              | 2004 | Archaeological Inventory Survey of a 10 acre<br>Property Along South Rive Road, Paso<br>Robles, San Luis Obispo County, California<br>(Tentative Tract 2611)  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-05325         | Wheeler, T.                              | 2004 | Supplementary Historic Property Survey<br>Report. District: 05, County: SLO, Route: 46  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-05463         | Stevens, Nathan                          | 2003 | Archaeological Inventory Survey of a +/- 20<br>Acre Property Along South River Road, Paso<br>Robles, San Luis Obispo county, California<br>(APN 009-511-016)  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |

| Report<br>Number | Author                               | Year | Study Title   | Proximity to Study area                           |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|------|---|---|
| SL-05478         | Farrell, Nancy                       | 2005 | Archaeological Assessment for the Upper<br>Salinas River Corridor Enhancement Project,<br>Atascadero and Paso Robles, San Luis<br>Obispo County, California   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-05549         | Singer, Clay A.                      | 2005 | Cultural Resources Survey and Impact<br>Assessment for a +/- 1 Acres Commercial<br>Property on Pas Robles Street in the City of<br>Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo County,<br>California (APN 009-214-002)   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-05629         | Singer, Clay A.                      | 2005 | Cultural Resources Survey and Impact<br>Assessment for a 1.4 Acre Property at 1228-<br>11th Street in the City of El Paso de Robles,<br>San Luis Obispo County, California (APN<br>009-114-009)   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-05699         | Ogden, Allysin<br>and Terry Joslin   | 2002 | Negative Archaeological Survey Report for the Changeable Message Signs Project  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-06082         | SWCA<br>Environmental<br>Consultants | 2006 | Cultural Resources Final Report of Monitoring<br>and Findings for the Qwest Network<br>Construction Project, State of California  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-06131         | Farrell, Nancy                       | 2007 | Cultural Resources Inventory Survey for Paso<br>Robles City Hall Sulfur Spring, Transport, and<br>Discharge Area  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-06171         | Hannahs, Todd                        | 2007 | Phase I Archaeological Survey of a 5 Acres<br>Parcel, 3002 Riverside Avenue, Paso Robles,<br>San Luis Obispo County, California   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-06172         | Farrell, Nancy                       | 2005 | Archaeological Inventory of Six Locations<br>Adjacent to the Salinas River Parkway, Paso<br>Robles, San Luis Obispo County, California  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-06212         | Singer, Clay A.                      | 2008 | Cultural Resources Survey and Impact<br>Assessment for the Proposed Weyrick Sand<br>and Gravel Project in the Salinas River Near<br>Wellsona, North of the City of Paso Robles in<br>San Luis Obispo County, California [APN<br>026-105-003]. [SDC Project # WEYR.02] | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-06229         | Hannahs, Todd                        | 2008 | Phase I Archaeological Survey of a Proposed<br>Leach Field at Steinbeck Vineyard, 5940<br>Union Road Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo<br>County, California [APN 015-053-012]   | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SL-06367         | Farrell, Nancy                       | 2007 | Cultural Resources Inventory Survey for Paso<br>Robles City Hall Sulfur Spring, Transport, and<br>Discharge Area  | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |

| Report<br>Number | Author                 | Year | Study Title  | Proximity to Study area                           |
|------------------|------------------------|------|--|---|
| SR-01082         | Peak and<br>Associates | 1993 | Class I Inventory for a Proposed Fiber Optic<br>Communication Route, San Francisco to Los<br>Angeles                             | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |
| SR-01446         | Peak and<br>Associates | 1988 | Class I Inventory for the Proposed American<br>Telephone and Telegraph Salinas to Los<br>Angeles Fiberoptics Communication Route | Outside (within 0.5<br>mile of the study<br>area) |

## 6.1.2 Previously Recorded Cultural Resources

The CHRIS records search also identified 35 previously recorded cultural resources within a 0.5-mile radius of the study area. Of these resources, two are identified as being within the study area: P-40-039110, an isolate consisting of three historical ceramic sherds with an ornamental floral motif, and P-40-038111, a prehistoric isolated chert biface fragment. Details pertaining to these cultural resources are presented in Table 3.

### Table 3. Previously Recorded Cultural Resources within 0.5 Mile of the Study Area

| Primary<br>Number | Trinomial      | Туре                | Resource<br>Description  | Recorded<br>By and Year   | CRHR/<br>NRHP/SHL*<br>Eligibility<br>Status | Proximity to<br>Study area                        |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|---|---|---|
| P-40-<br>000146   | CA-SLO-<br>146 | Prehistoric<br>Site | Burial site<br>containing two<br>internments   | 1956<br>(Elsasser,<br>A.B.; Pilling,<br>A.R.); 2008<br>(Singer, Clay) | Not evaluated                               | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>000700   | CA-SLO-<br>700 | Prehistoric<br>Site | Franciscan<br>chert lithic<br>scatter  | n.d. (Gibson)   | Not evaluated                               | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>000992   | CA-SLO-<br>992 | Prehistoric<br>Site | Habitation site<br>with lithics<br>(projectile<br>points, flakes),<br>FAR, and shell | 1980 (R.S.<br>Gibson and<br>R.O. Gibson)                              | Not evaluated                               | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>000993   | CA-SLO-<br>993 | Prehistoric<br>Site | Habitation site<br>with shell<br>midden, FAR,<br>and chert tools<br>and debitage     | 1980 (R.S.<br>Gibson)   | Not evaluated                               | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |

| Table 3. Previously Record | ed Cultural Resources | within 0.5 Mile of the Study | Area |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------|
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------|

| Primary<br>Number | Trinomial       | Туре                          | Resource<br>Description   | Recorded<br>By and Year                    | CRHR/<br>NRHP/SHL*<br>Eligibility<br>Status              | Proximity to<br>Study area                        |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| P-40-<br>001225   | CA-SLO-<br>1225 | Prehistoric<br>Site           | Habitation site<br>with FAR, chert<br>tools and<br>debitage and<br>shellfish<br>fragments | 1987 (R.O.<br>Gibson)                      | Not evaluated  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>001804   | CA-SLO-<br>1804 | Prehistoric<br>Site           | Lithic scatter<br>with projectile<br>points,<br>debitage,<br>groundstone<br>and shell     | 1996 (T.<br>Conway)                        | Not evaluated  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>001826   | CA-SLO-<br>1826 | Prehistoric<br>Site           | Lithic scatter<br>with debitage,<br>groundstone,<br>and several<br>hearth features        | 1998 (T.<br>Conway)                        | Not evaluated  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>001827   | CA-SLO-<br>1827 | Prehistoric<br>Site           | Lithic Scatter<br>with debitage<br>and stone<br>tools.                                    | 1998 (T.<br>Conway)                        | Not evaluated  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>001888   | CA-SLO-<br>1888 | Prehistoric<br>Site           | Lithic Scatter<br>with debitage<br>and stone<br>tools.                                    | 1998 (B.S.<br>Getchell and<br>J.E. Atwood) | Not evaluated  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>038109   |                 | Historic<br>Isolate           | Historic mortar<br>and rock wall<br>segment   | 1999 (S.F.<br>Cone)                        | Not eligible   | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>038110   |                 | Isolate                       | Three historic<br>China ceramic<br>dish sherds  | 1999 (S.F.<br>Cone)                        | Not eligible   | Within  |
| P-40-<br>038111   |                 | Prehistoric<br>Isolate        | Isolate chert<br>biface<br>fragment   | 1999 (S.F.<br>Cone and C.<br>Pansarosa)    | Not eligible   | Within  |
| P-40-<br>038196   |                 | Prehistoric<br>Isolate        | Isolate<br>Monterey chert<br>core   | 2000 (R. Rose<br>and N. Farrell)           | Not eligible   | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040223   |                 | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 721 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446   | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl)              | Eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5S2) | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |

| Table 3. Previously Recorded | <b>Cultural Resources</b> | within 0.5 Mile of the | Study Area |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|
|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|

| Primary<br>Number | Trinomial | Туре                          | Resource<br>Description   | Recorded<br>By and Year       | CRHR/<br>NRHP/SHL*<br>Eligibility<br>Status   | Proximity to<br>Study area                        |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|
| P-40-<br>040224   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 725 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446;<br>729 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446   | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Contributor to<br>district that is<br>eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5D2)                                      | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040228   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 827 8th Street<br>Paso Robles<br>93446  | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Not evaluated   | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040235   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | Alley's Mineral<br>Bath, Clark's<br>1031 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446          | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Contributor to<br>district that is<br>eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5D2)                                      | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040236   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | Municipal Bath<br>House, Bryan<br>Building<br>840 11th Street<br>Paso Robles<br>93446 | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Needs to be<br>reevaluated;<br>formerly eligible<br>(7N)  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040237   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | Mercantile<br>839 12th Street<br>Paso Robles<br>93446                                 | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Appears eligible<br>for NR as<br>individual<br>property (3S);<br>Resubmitted to<br>OHP for action<br>but not<br>reevaluated<br>(7K) | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040238   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | The<br>Tumbleweed<br>1202 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446                         | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Needs to be<br>reevaluated;<br>formerly eligible<br>(7N)  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040239   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | Cosmopolitan<br>Hotel<br>1232 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446                     | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Appears eligible<br>for NR as<br>individual<br>property (3S)  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040240   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 1236-38 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446   | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Appears eligible<br>for NR as<br>individual<br>property (3S)  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |

| Primary<br>Number | Trinomial | Туре                          | Resource<br>Description  | Recorded<br>By and Year       | CRHR/<br>NRHP/SHL*<br>Eligibility<br>Status   | Proximity to Study area                           |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---|
| P-40-<br>040241   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 1244 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446   | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Needs to be<br>reevaluated;<br>formerly eligible<br>(7N);<br>Resubmitted to<br>OHP for action<br>but not<br>reevaluated<br>(7K) | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040242   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | Peters<br>Laundry, The<br>Country Fair<br>1344 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446 | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Needs to be<br>reevaluated;<br>formerly eligible<br>(7N)  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040704   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 1440 Railroad<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446                                       | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5S2)  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040705   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 1605 Riverside<br>Avenue Paso<br>Robles 93446                                      | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5S2)  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040706   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 1607 Riverside<br>Avenue Paso<br>Robles 93446                                      | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5S2)  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040707   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 1615 Riverside<br>Avenue Paso<br>Robles 93446                                      | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5S2)  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040708   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 1813 Riverside<br>Avenue Paso<br>Robles 93446                                      | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5S2)  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040741   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 3441 Spring<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446   | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5S2)  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040869   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 1102 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446   | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Contributor to<br>district that is<br>eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5D2)                                  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |

### Table 3. Previously Recorded Cultural Resources within 0.5 Mile of the Study Area

| Primary<br>Number | Trinomial | Туре                          | Resource<br>Description   | Recorded<br>By and Year       | CRHR/<br>NRHP/SHL*<br>Eligibility<br>Status  | Proximity to<br>Study area                        |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|
| P-40-<br>040870   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | Brendlin Hotel,<br>Busi's<br>1122 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446                 | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Contributor to<br>district that is<br>eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5D2) | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040871   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | 1130 Pine<br>Street Paso<br>Robles 93446  | 1984<br>(Moorehouse,<br>Carl) | Contributor to<br>district that is<br>eligible for local<br>listing or<br>designation<br>(5D2) | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
| P-40-<br>040983   |           | Historic<br>Built<br>Resource | Ernst Grain<br>Elevator,<br>Elevator<br>1145 Riverside<br>Avenue Paso<br>Robles 93446 | 1984 (Record<br>lost)         | Not evaluated  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |
|                   |           | Built                         | Geneseo<br>School   |                               |  | Outside (within<br>0.5 mile of the<br>study area) |

### Table 3. Previously Recorded Cultural Resources within 0.5 Mile of the Study Area

\* SHL = State Historic Landmark

# 6.2 Buried Site Sensitivity Study

The study area crosses alluvial flats of the southern Salinas Valley, which is dissected by multiple drainages including Huerhuero Creek, a northwesterly flowing meandering stream. The reconductoring segment of the study area is situated primarily on the eastern terrace of Salinas River.

Most of the study area is underlain by weakly indurated Pliocene and Pleistocene valley fill belonging to the Paso Robles formation (Qtp), an extensive stratigraphic unit that originally covered most of the southern Salinas Valley area (Dibblee and Minch 2004; Durham 1974:54). The formation, which accumulated as a result of fluvial and lacustrine deposition following the recession of a large Tertiary sea, is composed mainly of sandstone and conglomerate, with some mudstone, shale, and limestone also present (Durham 1974:54–58). The Paso Robles formation is exposed in the eastern portion of the study area, in a large patch between Estrella River to the northeast and Dry Creek, a tributary to Huerhuero Creek, to the southwest. It also occurs in the study area east of Salinas River and south of Huerhuero Creek (Dibblee and Minch 2004).

The remainder of the study area is veneered in surficial alluvium dating to the late Pleistocene (Qoa) and Holocene (Qa/Qg). Quaternary valley and stream channel alluvium, composed of Holocene-aged sand and gravel deposits, occurs in the vicinity of Huerhuero and Dry Creeks, as well as along Salinas River and the southern tributary to Estrella River intersecting the study area. Older terrace surfaces, which occur

within the study area south of Estrella River and east of Salinas River on either side of its confluence with Huerhuero Creek, contain gravel and sand deposits dating to the Late Pleistocene (Dibblee and Minch 2004).

Soils in the study area vary based on landform association; hillslopes of the Paso Robles formation are dominated by alfisols of the Arbuckle-Positas complex, Nacimiento-Los Osos complex, and Sesame sandy loam. Late Pleistocene terraces contain alfisols belonging to the Hanford and Greenfield gravelly sandy loams and Arbuckle-Positas complex, while Holocene-aged valley floors and stream channels are dominated by entisols of the Arbuckle-San Ysidro complex, Arbuckle fine sandy loam, and Moncho clay loam.

Geomorphology in the study area can be classified into three distinct groups on the basis of landformsediment assemblages: 1) Pleistocene/Pliocene-aged hillslopes composed of residual silty clay, sandy loam, clay loam, and loam sediments underlain by weathered shale and sandstone bedrock; 2) Late Pleistocene terraces composed of loam and fine sandy loam alluvium; and 3) Holocene-aged valley floors and stream channels composed of gravelly sandy loam and fine sandy loam alluvium (Dibblee and Minch 2004; SoilWeb 2016).

Based on soils data and geologic maps of the southern Salinas Valley, land surfaces within the study area range in terms of both age and depositional environment, and therefore have varying potential to contain buried sites. Pliocene/Pleistocene-aged landforms belonging to the Paso Robles formation pre-date human occupation in the region and therefore have very low potential to contain deeply buried, intact, subsurface cultural materials. In addition, land surfaces associated with the Paso Robles formation are typically hillslopes containing shallow residual deposits derived from weathered bedrock, with little to no sedimentary accumulation resulting from alluvial or aeolian processes. Depending on hillslope positioning, sites associated with the Paso Robles formation may also be subject to erosional disturbances.

Pleistocene-aged alluvial terraces in the study area likewise have low potential to contain buried archaeological sites. Pleistocene terrace surfaces pre-date the majority of human occupation in the region, and are relatively stable depositional environments not undergoing high rates of erosion or deposition. As a result of their age and lack of significant Holocene-aged deposits, these landforms are unlikely to yield sites with large subsurface components. There is some potential for shallow burial of artifacts within thinly veneered sheetwash deposits that have accumulated on low-lying terrace surfaces over the course of the Holocene; however, if present, these deposits are expected to be shallow and discontinuous. Artifacts in these settings may also become shallowly buried as a result of post-depositional factors such as agricultural tilling and bioturbation.

Holocene-aged valley floor and stream channels in the study area have moderate potential to contain buried archaeological sites due to the fact that these landforms date to or post-date the period of human occupation in the region, and due to the active nature of sedimentary deposition in these settings. Although the bed of Huerhuero Creek is unlikely to contain buried materials due to high rates of depositional and erosional energy within the active creek bed, there is potential for preservation of buried cultural deposits within the channel banks and adjacent floodplain. Any areas determined through in-field analysis to contain evidence of overbank flood deposits would have high potential to yield intact, deeplyburied prehistoric components. Fine-grained flood deposits are highly sensitive indicators for buried sites for two reasons: 1) the rapid nature of sedimentary deposition, which is ideal for capping and preserving archaeological materials; and 2) the relatively low rate of depositional energy, which prevents the erosion and displacement of artifacts and features. Additional testing would be required to verify the presence or absence of overbank flood deposits within the study area. In summary, most of the study area has very low to low potential to contain buried archaeological sites. Areas containing Holocene-aged valley floor and stream channel alluvium, confined primarily to the vicinity of Huerhuero Creek and Salinas River, but also present where the study area intersects with Dry Creek and the unnamed southern tributary to Estrella River, have moderate sensitivity for buried archaeological resources.

# 6.3 Archaeological Survey

Archaeologists conducted an intensive pedestrian survey of intuitively selected portions of the study area, consisting of nine separate areas totaling approximately 27 acres from May 23–27, 2016. One isolated artifact (36052-ISO-011) was identified during the intensive pedestrian survey (Table 4). The isolate is a prehistoric lithic debitage fragment and is discussed in greater detail below. Previously recorded isolates P-40-38110 and P-40-038111 were not relocated during the survey effort.

The majority of the study area (approximately 400 acres) was determined to lack environmental and cultural factors (e.g., proximity to water, favorable landform, lack of recorded resources in the vicinity, lack of known no longer extant historical buildings or structures) that indicate elevated cultural resources sensitivity. An additional 164 acres was not surveyed due to landowner denied access, lack of visibility, and various safety concerns (Appendix D). Note that the aerial imagery shown in Appendix D may not accurately reflect the ground surface visibility conditions encountered during the pedestrian survey.

Terrain within the study area consists primarily of moderately steep rolling terrain with slopes of 0–30 percent, though sheer cliffs off riverside terraces on the western edge of the study area are occasionally present. Vegetation cover consisted of planted vineyards, recently disked fields, low annual grasses, and oak woodland in riparian zones. The study area is comprised of multiple parcels with different owners, and is within areas of various levels of development, ground surface visibility during the survey was highly variable but generally moderate to poor, ranging from less than 5 percent in undisturbed, highly vegetated areas to over 90 percent in unpaved roadways, and disturbed areas that have been cleared of vegetation. Planted vineyard lands had an average ground surface visibility of 40–50 percent due to the presence of vines and non-native grasses and forbs. Non-sensitive areas, developed areas, and those obscured by hardscape features had negligible surface visibility at the time of survey and were not surveyed (Appendix D).

| Trinomial or<br>Temporary<br>Designation | Resource Type       | Time Period | Description                    |
|--|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| 36052-ISO-011                            | Prehistoric isolate | Prehistoric | Monterey chert secondary flake |

## Table 4. Resources Identified During Archaeological Survey.

## 6.3.1 Isolated Find

## 6.3.1.1 36052-ISO-011 – MONTEREY CHERT SECONDARY FLAKE

The isolate is one light brown, translucent Monterey chert secondary flake measuring 19 millimeters (mm) (length [L])  $\times$  16 mm (width [W])  $\times$  4 mm (thickness [Th]) (Figure 3; Appendix E). The isolate was located adjacent to a paved road, and there is an abundance of naturally occurring chert in the immediate vicinity.



Figure 3. Isolated Monterey chert secondary flake identified during survey work amid naturally occurring chert.

## 6.4 Historical Built Environment Resources

The review of built date data from the County Assessor, and historical aerial photography and USGS topographic maps completed as part of the historical built environment constraints study, identified five parcels that intersect the study area, which included buildings, structures, or objects that are older than 45 years of age. Consistent with other development in the surrounding area, these five parcels are agricultural and residential properties that date from the early- to mid-20th century. Two historic-era transmission lines were also identified within the study area including a wood pole 70 kV power line and a LST 500 kV transmission line. The results are presented below in Table 5 and Appendices F and G.

| Temporary<br>Designation       | Assessor<br>Parcel<br>Number | Resource Type                         | Built Date                | Description           |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery | 015-053-012                  | Historic-era<br>agricultural property | Early 20th<br>century     | Vineyard property     |
| 4060 Branch Road               | 015-031-070                  | Historic-era<br>agricultural property | Early/mid-20th<br>century | Vineyard property     |
| 4750 Tower Road                | 015-015-006                  | Historic-era<br>agricultural property | 1900                      | Agricultural property |
| 1990 Wellsona Road             | 026-183-004                  | Historic-era<br>agricultural property | 1938                      | Agricultural property |

### Table 5. Historic-Age Properties Identified in the Study Area

| Temporary<br>Designation                   | Assessor<br>Parcel<br>Number | Resource Type                         | Built Date    | Description           |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| 1880 Wellsona road                         | 026-183-007                  | Historic-era<br>agricultural property | 1954          | Agricultural property |
| San Miguel-Paso Robles<br>70 kV Power Line |                              | Historic-era<br>transmission line     | Ca. mid-1950s | Transmission Line     |
| Diablo-Gates 500 kV<br>Transmission Line   |                              | Historic-era<br>transmission line     | Ca. 1971      | Transmission Line     |

### Table 5. Historic-Age Properties Identified in the Study Area

# 7 SUMMARY

Cultural resources specialists conducted archival research, Native American coordination, a reconnaissance-level built environment survey, a limited archaeological survey, a geoarchaeological study, and prepared this technical report documenting the results of the inventory.

The project will include the construction of approximately 10.6 miles of a new double-circuit power line in northern San Luis Obispo County extending from Estrella Substation to the existing San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV power line (new 70 kV power line segment). The project occurs within Paso Robles and rural areas to the north and east, in urban and rural residential developments, agricultural areas, and light industrial and commercial developments.

Results of the CHRIS records search revealed that 62 previous cultural resource studies have been conducted within a 0.5-mile radius of the study area. Of these studies, 17 include small portions of the study area. The CHRIS records search also identified 35 previously recorded cultural resources within 0.5-mile radius of the study area. Of these resources, two are plotted by the CCIC as being within the study area: P-40-038110, an isolate consisting of three historical ceramic sherds with an ornamental floral motif, and P-40-038111, a prehistoric isolated chert biface fragment. These were not relocated during the survey effort.

One isolated chert secondary flake (36052-S-011) was identified in the course of the archaeological survey. As discussed in the Regulatory Framework section above and in accordance with PRC Section 5024.1(c)(1–4), a resource is considered eligible for the CRHR and *historically significant* if it: 1) retains "substantial integrity," and 2) meets at least one of the following criteria:

- 1. Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of California's history and cultural heritage;
- 2. Is associated with the lives of persons important in our past;
- 3. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of installation, or represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values; or,
- 4. Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Isolated artifacts lack the context that is afforded to artifacts within an archaeological site, such as contemporary and associated artifacts, ecofacts, and features. Without this context, isolates typically lack the potential to yield information important in prehistory, the CRHR Criterion (4) under which archaeological resources are most often found to be significant. As such, the isolate identified during this study is not eligible for the CRHR. It does not constitute a unique archaeological resource, as it does not contain information needed to answer important scientific research questions; it does not have a special and particular quality such as being the oldest of its type or best available example of its type, and is not directly associated with a scientifically recognized important prehistoric or historic event or person. Consequently, the resource is not considered historically significant under CEQA.

The geoarchaeological sensitivity analysis indicates the majority of the study area has low potential for the presence of buried soils that may contain archaeological deposits or artifacts.

The built environment constraints study identified two historic-age transmission lines and five parcels with historic-age buildings, structures, or objects that intersect at least a portion of the study area. Three of these properties—the Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery, the Diablo-Gates 500kV transmission line, and the San Miguel-Paso Robles 70kV power line—were recently evaluated in the course of reporting for other parts of this project and recommended not eligible for listing in the CRHR (Appendix G). The remaining four properties were not evaluated for historic significance as part of the current study and their current CRHR eligibility is unknown.

## 8 REFERENCES

Adams, Jeremy Daniel

2010 "Guide to Evaluating Electric Transmission Structures for the National Register of Historic Places." Master's Thesis, California State University, Sacramento.

Andrefsky, William Jr.

2006 Lithics: Macroscopic Approaches to Analysis. 2nd ed. Cambridge Manuals in Archaeology. Cambridge ; New York: Cambridge University Press.

#### Bancroft, Hubert Howe

1885 History of California, Volume III: 1825-1840. A. L. Bancroft & Co., San Francisco.

#### Benson, Jackson J.

#### Bickel, Polly McW.

1978 Changing Sea Levels along the California Coast: Anthropological Implications. *Journal of California Anthropology* 5:6-20.

#### Blakemore, Erin

2015 *During Prohibition, Vintners Sold "Wine Bricks" Rather Than Wine*. August 27, 2015. Available at: <u>http://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/ingenious-brick-helped-wine-</u>industry-survive-prohibition. Accessed November 7, 2016.

#### Bowler, Ann Martin

2003 History of the Paso Robles Inn. Oak Lake Press, Loomis, California.

#### Breschini, Gary S., and Trudy Haversat

- 1980 Preliminary Archaeological Report and Archaeological Management Recommendations for CA-MNT-170, on Pescadero Point, Monterey County, California. Archaeological Regional Research Center, Cabrillo College.
- 1991 Early Holocene Occupation of the Central California Coast. In *Hunter-Gatherers of Early Holocene Coastal California*, edited by Jon M. Erlandson and Roger H. Colten, pp. 125-132. Perspectives in California Archaeology, Vol. 1. Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.

#### Burnham, Kelsey

2010 "Prohibition in Wine Country: Traces of History." Napa Valley Register. April 2010.

#### Cartier, Robert

- 1982 Current Research. American Antiquity 47(1): 229. 1993.
- 1993 The Scotts Valley Site: CA-SCR-177. Santa Cruz: Santa Cruz Archaeological Society.

#### City of El Paso de Robles

2016a Airport History. Available at: <u>http://www.prcity.com/government/departments/publicworks/airport/history.asp</u>. Accessed March 15, 2016.

<sup>1984</sup> The True Adventures of John Steinbeck, Writer: A Biography. Viking Press, New York.

2016b History of Paso Robles: The Wine. Available at: <u>www.prcity.com/about/hisotry.asp</u>. Accessed March 28, 2016.

#### Cleland, Robert Glass

2005 *The Cattle on a Thousand Hills: Southern California, 1850-80.* Second edition, sixth printing. The Huntington Library, San Marino, California.

#### County of San Luis Obispo

2010 County of San Luis Obispo General Plan: Conservation and Open Space Element. San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building. Available at: <a href="http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/planning/General\_Plan\_Ordinances\_and\_Elements.htm">http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/planning/General\_Plan\_Ordinances\_and\_Elements.htm</a>. Accessed on March 15, 2016.

#### Dallas, S. F.

1955 The Hide and Tallow Trade in Alta California 1822–1848. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Indiana University, Bloomington.

#### Dibblee, T.W., and J.A. Minch

2004 *Geologic Map of the Estrella & Shandon Quadrangles, San Luis Obispo County, California.* Dibblee Geological Foundation. Available online at USGS National Geologic Map Database <u>http://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Prodesc/proddesc\_71740.htm</u>. Accessed May 5, 2016.

#### Dumke, Glenn S.

1944 *The Boom of the Eighties in Southern California.* Huntington Library Publications, San Marino, California.

#### Durham, David L.

1974 *Geology of the Southern Salinas Valley Area, California.* Geological Survey Professional Paper 819. United States Government Printing Office, Washington.

#### Duvall, James G. III

2004 *California Growing: A Geography of California.* Red Dolphin Publishing, Richmond, California.

#### Electrical West

1922 Electrical West. McGraw-Hill Company of California.

#### Environmental Data Resources (EDR)

2016 EDR Aerial Photo Decade Package – Estrella, Paso Robles, CA, 1949–2012. July 18, 2016. Available at: <u>http://edrnet.com</u>. Accessed July 21, 2016.

#### Erlandson, Jon M.

- 1991 Early Maritime Adaptations on the Northern Channel Islands. In *Hunter-Gatherers of Early Holocene Coastal California*, edited by J.M. Erlandson and R. H. Colten, pp. 101–112. Perspectives in California Archaeology, Vol. 1. Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.
- 1994 *Early Hunter-Gatherers of the California Coast.* Plenum Press, New York.

#### Erlandson, Jon M. and R.H. Colten

1991 *Hunter-Gatherers of Early Holocene Coastal California*. Perspectives in California Archaeology, Vol. 1. Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.

#### Erlandson, Jon M., Theodore Cooley, and Richard Carrico

1987 A Fluted Projectile Point Fragment from the Southern California Coast: Chronology and Context at CA-SBA-1951. *Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology* 9:120–128.

#### Estrella Warbirds Museum

2015 Estrella Army Air Field. Available at: <u>http://www.ewarbirds.org/estrella-afb.html</u>. Accessed March 29, 2016.

#### Fenenga, Gerrit L.

1987 A Typological Analysis of the Temporal and Geographic Distribution of the "Eccentric" Crescent in Western North America. Contributions of the University of California Archaeological Research Facility 48. Berkeley.

#### Fitzgerald, Richard T.

2000 *Cross Creek: An Early Holocene/Millingstone Site*. California State Water Project, Coastal Branch Series Paper Number 12. San Luis Obispo County Archaeological Society, San Luis Obispo, California.

#### Find a Grave

2011 Hazel Wilhelmina (Ernst) Steinbeck. Available at: <u>http://www.findagrave.com/cgi-bin/fg.cgi?page=gr&GRid=75924067</u>. Accessed March 15, 2016.

#### Fredrickson, David A.

- 1973 Early Cultures of the North Coast Ranges, California. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of California, Davis.
- 1974 Cultural Diversity in Early California: A View from the North Coast Ranges. *Journal of California Anthropology* 1(1):41–53.
- 1994 Archaeological Taxonomy in Central California Reconsidered. In Toward a New Taxonomic Framework for Central California Archaeology: Essays by James A. Bennyhoff and David A. Fredrickson, edited by Richard E. Hughes, pp. 93–103. Contributions of the University of California Archaeological Research Facility, No. 52. Berkeley.

#### Funk, Donald J., and Adriana Morales

2002 *Upper Salinas River and Tributaries Watershed Fisheries Report and Early Actions*. Report submitted to the California Department of Fish and Game. Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District.

#### General Land Office

1869 Survey plat. Township 26 South, Range 13 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian. Available at: <u>http://www.glorecords.blm.gov/</u>. Accessed February 29, 2016.

#### Gibson, Robert O.

1983 Ethnogeography of the Salinan People: A Systems Approach. Master's thesis, California State University, Hayward.

Gibson, Robert O., and Paul Casas, Jr.

- 1993a P-40-038059. Department of Parks and Recreation 422B Isolate Record Form. Copies available from the Central Coast Information Center, University of California, Santa Barbara.
- 1993b P-40-038060. Department of Parks and Recreation 422B Isolate Record Form. Copies available from the Central Coast Information Center, University of California, Santa Barbara.

Glassow, Michael A.

1997 Middle Holocene Cultural Development in the Central Santa Barbara Channel Region. In Archaeology of the California Coast during the Middle Holocene, edited by J. M. Erlandson and M. A. Glassow, pp.73–90. Perspectives in California Archaeology, Vol. 4. Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.

Glassow, Michael A., Larry Wilcoxon, and Jon Erlandson

1988 Cultural and Environmental Change During the Early Period of Santa Barbara Channel Prehistory. In *The Archaeology of Prehistoric Coastlines*, edited by G. Bailey and J. Parkington, pp. 64–77. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Glover, Leslie C., David W. Glover, K.R. Bethard, David Zeanah, Robert Pavlik, and Mark E. Basgall

1999 Archaeological Survey Report for a Highway Widening from Two Lanes to Four Along Highway 46, San Luis Obispo County, California. Prepared for California Department of Transportation District 5 by the Archaeological Research Center, Department of Anthropology, California State University, Sacramento. ARC Technical Report 99-10.

#### Google

2016 Google Earth Pro. Available at: <u>http://www.google.com/earth/</u>. Accessed February 29, 2016.

Governor's Office of Planning and Research

1998 CEQA, California Environmental Quality Act Statutes and Guidelines. Governor's Office of Planning and Research, Sacramento, California. Available at: <u>http://ceres.ca.gov/ceqa/rev/approval</u>. Accessed June 26, 2016.

Greenwood, Roberta S.

1972 9000 Years of Prehistory at Diablo Canyon, San Luis Obispo County, California. San Luis Obispo County Archaeological Society Occasional Paper No. 7. San Luis Obispo, California.

Gudde, Erwin G., and William Bright

1998 California Place Names. 4th ed. University of California Press, Berkeley.

#### Gumprecht, Blake

1999 The Los Angeles River: Its Life, Death, and Possible Rebirth. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.

Henshaw, Henry W., and Alfred L. Kroeber

1910 Salinan Family. In *California*, edited by F. W. Hodge, p. 415. Handbook of American Indians North of Mexico, Vol. 2, Bureau of American Ethnology Bulletin 78, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. Hester, Thomas R.

1978 Salinan. In *California*, edited by Robert F. Heizer, pp. 500-504. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8, William C. Sturtevant, general editor, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Historic Resources Group

- 2010 *City of Paso Robles Historic Resources Survey*. Available at: <u>http://www.prcity.com/government/departments/commdev/pdf/HistoricResourcesSurvey.pdf</u> . Accessed February 10, 2016.
- 2013 *City of San Luis Obispo Citywide Historic Context Statement.* Prepared for City of San Luis Obispo by Historic Resources Group, Pasadena, California. Available at: <u>http://www.slocity.org/home/showdocument?id=4042</u>. Accessed February 10, 2016.
- 2014 Paso Robles Historic Resources Inventory. Available at: <u>http://www.prcity.com/government/departments/commdev/pdf/HistoricResourcesInventory.</u> <u>pdf</u>. Accessed February 10, 2016.

Historical Construction Equipment Association

2010 F. C. Austin Manufacturing Company (1888-1934). http://archives.hcea.net/?p=creators/creator&id=229., accessed June 25, 2016.

#### Hoffman, Ogden

- 1862 Reports of land cases determined in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California: June term, 1853 to June term, 1858, inclusive. Vol. 1. Numa Hubert, San Francisco, California.
- Hoover, Mildred B., Hero E. Rensch, Ethel G. Rensch, and William N. Abeloe
  - 2002 *Historic Spots in California*. 5th ed. Revised by Douglas E. Kyle. Stanford University Press, Palo Alto, California.

#### Ittner, Renee

- 1987 "Area almond growers told plant closing." Newspaper article in the Almond Industry Binder at the El Paso de Robles Area Historical Society's Virginia Peterson Research Room. February 4, 1987.
- Johnson, J.R., T.W. Stafford, Jr., H.O. Ajie, and D.P. Morris
  - 2002 Arlington Springs Revisited. In *Proceedings of the Fifth California Islands Symposium*, edited by D.R. Brown, K.C. Mitchell and H.W. Chaney, pp. 541–545. Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Santa Barbara, California.
- Jones, D.A., C. Young, and W.R. Hildebrandt
  - 2001 Phase II Archaeological Test Excavation at CA-SLO-832 and CA-SLO-1420, for the James Way/Price Street Road Improvement Project, San Luis Obispo County, California. Far Western Anthropological Research Group, Davis, California. Submitted to California Department of Transportation, District 5, San Luis Obispo, California.
- Jones, Terry L.
  - 1995 Transitions in Prehistoric Diet, Mobility, Exchange, and Social Organization along California's Big Sur Coast. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, Davis.

Jones, Terry L., G. M. Brown, L. Mark Raab, J. Vickar, W. G. Spalding, Douglas J. Kennett, Andrew York, and Phillip Walker

- 1999 Environmental Imperatives Reconsidered: Demographic Crises in Western North America During the Medieval Climatic Anomaly. *Current Anthropology* 40:137-156.
- Jones, Terry L., Katherine Davis, Glenn J. Farris, Steven D. Grantham, Teresa W. Fung, and Betty Rivers
  - 1994 Toward a Prehistory of Morro Bay: Phase II Archaeological Investigations for the Highway 41 Widening Project, San Luis Obispo County, California. Report on file at the California Department of Transportation, Sacramento.
- Jones, Terry L., and Jennifer A. Ferneau
  - 2002 Deintensification along the Central California Coast. In *Catalysts to Complexity, Late Holocene Societies of the California Coast*, edited by Jon M. Erlandson and Terry L. Jones, pp. 205-232. Perspectives in California Archaeology Vol. 6. Costen Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.

Jones, Terry L., Nathan E. Stevens, Deborah A. Jones, Richard T. Fitzgerald, and Mark G. Hylkema

2007 The Central Coast: A Midlatitude Milieu. In *California Prehistory: Colonization, Culture, and Complexity*, edited by Terry L. Jones and Kathryn A. Klar, pp. 125–146. Alta Mira Press, New York.

Jones, Terry L., and Georgie Waugh

- 1995 *Central California Prehistory: A View from Little Pico Creek.* Perspectives in California Archaeology 3. Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.
- 1997 Climatic Consequences of Population Pragmatism? A Middle Holocene Prehistory of the Central Coast. In *Archaeology of the California Coast During the Middle Holocene*, edited by Jon M. Erlandson and Michael A. Glassow, pp. 111–128. Perspectives in California Archaeology 4. Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.

Jones, Terry L., Richard T. Fitzgerald, Douglas J. Kennett, Charles Miksicek, John L. Fagan, John Sharp, and Jon M. Erlandson

2002 The Cross Creek Site and Its Implications for New World Colonization. *American Antiquity* 67:213–230.

#### Justice, Noel D.

2002 *Stone Age Spear and Arrow Points of California and the Great Basin.* Indiana University Press, Bloomington, Indiana.

#### King, Chester D.

- 1969 Approximate 1769 Chumash Village Locations and Populations. *Annual Reports of the University of California Archaeological Survey* 11:3. Los Angeles, California.
- 1981 The evolution of Chumash society : a comparative study of artifacts used in social system maintenance in the Santa Barbara Channel Region before A.D. 1804. Ph. D. thesis, University of California, Davis.
- 1990 Evolution of Chumash Society: A Comparative Study of Artifacts used in the Social System Maintenance in the Santa Barbara Channel before A.D. 1804. The Evolution of North American Indians Series, edited by David Hurst Thomas. Garland Publishing, Inc., New York

1994 Native American Placenames in the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area, Agoura Hills. Topanga Anthropological Consultants, California.

#### Kroeber, Alfred L.

1925 *Handbook of the Indians of California*. Bureau of American Ethnology Bulletin 78, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C.

Kyle, Douglas, Hero Rensch, Mildred Hoover, Ethel Rensch, and William Abeloe

- 2002 *Historic Spots in California*. Stanford University Press, California.
- Lebow, C., M.C. Baloian, D.R. Harro, R.L. Mckim, C. Denardo, J. Onken, E. Romanski, and B.A. Price
  - 2001 *Final Report of Archaeological Investigations for Reaches 5B and 6 Coastal Branch Aqueduct, Phase II.* Applied Earth Works Inc., Fresno, California. Submitted to Central Coast Water Authority, Buellton, California.

#### Los Angeles Times

1926 A Community That Looks to the Almond for Its Prosperity. Edith M. Berry. February 21, 1926.

#### Macko, Michael E.

1998 The Muddy Canyon Archaeological Project: Results of Phase II Test Excavations and Phase III Data Recovery Excavations at Archaeological Sites within the Crystal Cove Planned Community, Phase IV, Tentative Tract 15447, San Joaquin Hills, Orange County, California. Report on file, South Central Coastal Archaeological Information Center, California State University, Fullerton.

#### Mason, J. Alden

- 1918 *The Language of the Salinan Indians*. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology Vol. 14, No. 1. Berkeley.
- Mason, Roger D., and Mark L. Peterson
  - 1994 Newport Coast Archaeological Project: Newport Coast Settlement Systems–Analysis and Discussion, Volume 1, Part 1 of 2. Prepared by The Keith Companies. Report on file at the South Central Coastal Information Center, California State University, Fullerton.

#### Mathes, W. Michael

1968 Sebastian Vizcaino and Spanish Expansion in the Pacific Ocean, 1580-1630. California Historical Society, San Francisco.

#### Milliken, Randall T., and John R. Johnson

2005 An Ethnogeography of the Salinan and Northern Chumash Communities – 1769 to 1810. Far Western Anthropological Research Group, Inc. Submitted to California Department of Transportation, District 5. On file at SWCA Environmental Consultants, South Pasadena, California.

#### Mills, Wayne, Michael F. Rondeau, and Terry L. Jones

2005 A Fluted Point from Nipomo, San Luis Obispo County, California. *Journal of California and Great Basin Archaeology* 25(2): 68-74.

#### Mithun, Marianne

2001 *The Languages of Native North America*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Originally published 1999.

#### Moratto, Michael J.

1984 California Archaeology. Academic Press, New York.

#### Mosier, Dan

2007 California Bricks: Livermore Fire Brick Company. Available at: http://calbricks.netfirms.com/brick.livermorefbco.html. Accessed March 10, 2016.

#### National Steinbeck Center

2016 John Steinbeck Biography. Available at: http://www.steinbeck.org/pages/john-steinbeckbiography. Accessed March 15, 2016.

#### NETR Online

2016 HistoricAerials. Available at: <u>http://historicaerials.com/</u>. Accessed February 29, 2016.

#### Office of Historic Preservation

1990 Archaeological Resource Management Reports (ARMR): Recommended Contents and Format. Department of Parks and Recreation, Office of Historic Preservation, Sacramento, California.

#### Pacific Gas and Electric Company

- 1954 "Light a Diamond: Coast Cities had Electricity from Very Early Days." Press release from Pacific Gas and Electric. Available at the San Luis Obispo History Center, San Luis Obispo, California.
- 2016 Cultural Resources Standards and Procedures, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E 2016). Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Francisco, California.

#### Pacific Rural Press

1883 H.C. Shaw Stockton Gang Plow. October 20, p. 342. California Digital Newspaper Collection. Available at: <u>http://cdnc.ucr.edu/cgi-bin/cdnc?a=d&d=PRP18831020.2.32</u>. Accessed March 10, 2016.

#### Palmer, Tim

2012 *Field Guide to California's Rivers*. California Natural History Guides. Berkeley: University of California Press.

#### Parini, Jay

1994 John Steinbeck: A Biography. Heinemann, London.

#### Paso Robles Press

1928 Souvenir Supplement. Paso Robles District, Almond Trees Are Wealth Producers. May 1928.

#### Paso Wine

2016 History. Available at <u>www.pasowine.com/pasorobles/hisotry.php</u>. Accessed March 29, 2016.

#### Peterson, Virginia Fahr

1965 A History of the Development of Paso Robles. Unpublished M.A. Thesis. San Jose State College, California.

#### Railroad Commission of the State of California

1917 *Decisions of the Railroad Commission of the State of California*. California State Printing Office, Sacramento

#### Rice, Thomas J., and Tracy G. Cervellone

2007 Paso Robles: An American Terroir. Self-published, Paso Robles, California

#### Rivers, Betty

2000 *A Line Through the Past: Historical and Ethnographic Background for the Branch Canal.* California Coastal Branch Series. Paper Number 1, San Luis Obispo County Archaeological Society, San Luis Obispo.

#### Rivers, Betty, and Terry L. Jones

1993 Walking Along Deer Trails: A Contribution to Salinan Ethnogeography Based on the Field Notes of John Peabody Harrington. *Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology* 15(2):146–175.

#### Robles, Carol

2004 The Steinbecks in Salinas. *Steinbeck Studies* 15(1):154–157.

#### Rogers, David B.

1929 *Prehistoric Man of the Santa Barbara Coast*. Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Santa Barbara, California. Edited by Richard F. Pourade. Union Tribune Publishing Company, San Diego.

Sawyer, J. O., T. Keeler-Wolf, and J. M. Evens.

2009 *A Manual of California Vegetation*. 2nd ed. California Native Plant Society Press, in collaboration with California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Sacramento, CA.

#### Schulz, Henry W.

1992 Archaeological Identification Guide: Intertidal Invertebrates of Northern California. Master's Thesis, San Francisco State University.

#### Shipley, William F.

1978 Native Languages of California. In *California*, edited by Robert F. Heizer, pp. 80–90. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8, William G. Sturtevant, general editor, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C.

#### SoilWeb

2016 SSURGO database Google Earth layer maintained by University of California, Davis. Available at: <u>http://casoilresource.lawr.ucdavis.edu/soil\_web/kml/SoilWeb.kmz</u>. Accessed on March 2, 2016.

#### Squibb, Paul

1968 Captain Portola in San Luis Obispo County. Sivilla's Print Shop, Cambria, California.

#### State-Surveyor General

1886 *Corrected report of Spanish and Mexican grants in California complete to February 25, 1886.* State Office, Sacramento.

#### Steinbeck Wines

2016 Our Family. Available at: <u>http://www.steinbeckwines.com/Our-Family</u>. Accessed March 15, 2016.

#### Stevens, Nathan E., Richard T. Fitzgerald, Nancy Farrell, et al.

2004 Archaeological Test Excavations at Santa Ysabel Ranch, Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo County, California. Final Report. Prepared for Weyrich Development, LLC, P.O. Box 7003, Paso Robles, California 93447. Paso Robles, CA: Cultural Resource Management Services.

#### Storke, Yda Addis

1891 *A Memorial and Biographical History of the Counties of Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo and Ventura, California.* The Lewis Publishing Company, Chicago.

#### Stuart, David

2013 Some San Joaquin County "Firsts." San Joaquin County Historical Society & Museum, Lodi, California. Available at: http://www.sanjoaquinhistory.org/documents/SJCFirsts.pdf. Accessed March 10, 2016.

#### SWCA Environmental Consultants (SWCA)

- 2016a Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project, Cultural Resources Technical Report for Estrella Substation. Prepared for NextEra Energy Transmission West, LLC.
- 2016b Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project, Cultural Resources Technical Report for 70kV Power Line. Prepared for PG&E.
- Titus, R. G., D. C. Erman, and W. M. Snider
  - 2010 *History and Status of Steelhead in California Coastal Drainages South of San Francisco Bay.* In draft for publication as a Department of Fish and Game, Fish Bulletin. Sacramento, Calfiornia.

University of California, Berkeley (U.C. Berkeley)

184-(?) Diseño del Rancho Santa Ysabel: San Luis Obispo County, Calif. United States. District Court (California : Southern District). Land case 42 SD, page 59; land case map B-1008 (Bancroft Library).

#### U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)

- 1919 Paso Robles, Calif. 15-minute Quadrangle. 1:62,500 scale.
- 1952 Estrella, Calif. 7.5-minute Quadrangle. 1:24,000 scale. Mapped 1948, photorevised 1952.
- 1966 Estrella, Calif. 7.5-minute Quadrangle. 1:24,000 scale. Mapped 1948, photorevised 1966.

#### Walker, H.D.

1919 *Walker's Manual of California Securities and Directory of Directors*. H.D. Walker, San Francisco.

Wallace, William J.

- 1955 A Suggested Chronology for Southern California Coastal Archaeology. *Southwestern Journal of Anthropology* 11(3):214–230.
- 1978 Post-Pleistocene Archaeology, 9000 to 2000 B.C. In *California*, edited by Robert F. Heizer, pp. 25–36. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8, William G. Sturtevant, general editor, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C.

Waugh, John C.

2003 On the Brink of Civil War: The Compromise of 1850 and How It Changed the Course of American History. Scholarly Resources Inc., Wilmington, Delaware.

Willis, Christian E.

2016 The Hemingray Database: CD 165. Hemingray.info. <u>http://www.hemingray.info/database/detail.php?cd=165&source=id</u>. Accessed June 24, 2016.

#### Williams, James C.

1997 *Energy and the Making of Modern California*. The University of Akron Press, Akron, Ohio.

# **9 LIST OF PREPARERS**

- Tim Spillane, M.A., R.P.A.
- Leroy Laurie, B.S.
- Steven Treffers, M.H.P.
- Natalie Loukianoff, M.H.P.
- Heather Gibson, Ph.D.
- Mary Ann Vicari, M.A.
- Amanda Tyrrell, M.S., Project Manager

## Appendix A. Native American Coordination Records

### Sacred Lands File & Native American Contacts List Request

Native American Heritage Commission 1550 Harbor Blvd, Suite 100 West Sacramento, CA 95691 916-373-3710 916-373-5471 – Fax nahc@nahc.ca.gov

| Information Below is Require       | d for a Sacred Lands File Search             |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project: ESTRELLA SUBSTATION       | INTER CONNECTION                             |  |  |  |  |
| County: 5 AN LVIS OBISPO           |  |  |  |  |  |
| USGS Quadrangle Name: 5 AN MIGUEL, | PASO ROBLES, TEMPLETON, ESTRELLA, CRESTON    |  |  |  |  |
| Township: Range:                   | Section(s): SEE ATTACHED TEXT 😹              |  |  |  |  |
| Company/Firm/Agency: PACIFIC GAS   | AND ELECTRIC                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Street Address: 1455 E. SHAW AVE.  | Street Address: 1455 E. SHAW AVE.            |  |  |  |  |
| City: FRESNO                       | Zip:97710                                    |  |  |  |  |
| Phone: 559-263-5334                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fax: 559-263-5485                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Email: MDAF9 PGE. COM              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Description:               |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONSTRUCTION OF AN INTERCOMME      | ECTION TRANSMISSION LINE, AND RECONDUCTORING |  |  |  |  |

CONSTRUCTION OF AN INTERCONNECTION TRANSMISSION LINE, AND RECEIVED OF OF EXISTING LINES IN SUPPORT OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW SUBSTATION. THE SUBSTATION WILL BE PRIMARILY BE BUILT BY ANOTHER COMPANY. Township, Range, Section.txt 255 12E, Sec. 16, 21, 28, 33 26S 12E, Sec. 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 14, 15, 16, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 28, 33 27S 12E, Sec. 1, 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15 26S, 13E, Sec. 5, 6, 8, 9, 16, 21, 29, 30, 31

San Miguel, Paso Robles, Templeton, Estrella, Creston

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

1550 Harbor Blvd., Suite 100 West Sacramento, CA 95691 (916) 373-3710 (916) 373-5471 FAX



March 30, 2016

Pacific Gas and Electric

Sent via e-mail: MDAF@pge.com Number of Pages: 3

RE: The Proposed Estrella Substation Interconnection Project, San Miguel, Paso Robles, Templeton, Estrella, and Creston USGS Quadrangles, San Luis Obispo County, California

To whom it may concern:

Attached is a consultation list of tribes with traditional lands or cultural places located within the boundaries of the above referenced counties. Please note that the intent above reference codes is to mitigate impacts to tribal cultural resources, as defined, for California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) projects.

As of July 1, 2015, Public Resources Code Sections 21080.3.1 and 21080.3.2 require public agencies to consult with California Native American tribes identified by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) for the purpose mitigating impacts to tribal cultural resources:

Within 14 days of determining that an application for a project is complete or a decision by a public agency to undertake a project, the **lead agency** shall provide formal notification to the designated contact of, or a tribal representative of, traditionally and culturally affiliated California Native American tribes that have requested notice, which shall be accomplished by means of at least one written notification that includes a brief description of the proposed project and its location, the lead agency contact information, and a notification that the California Native American tribe has 30 days to request consultation pursuant to this section. (Public Resources Code Section 21080.3.1(d))

The law does not preclude agencies from initiating consultation with the tribes that are culturally and traditionally affiliated with their jurisdictions. The NAHC believes that in fact that this is the best practice to ensure that tribes are consulted commensurate with the intent of the law.

In accordance with Public Resources Code Section 21080.3.1(d), formal notification must include a brief description of the proposed project and its location, the lead agency contact information, and a notification that the California Native American tribe has 30 days to request consultation. The NAHC believes that agencies should also include with their notification letters information regarding any cultural resources assessment that has been completed on the APE, such as:

- 1. The results of any record search that may have been conducted at an Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS), including, but not limited to:
  - A listing of any and all known cultural resources have already been recorded on or adjacent to the APE;
  - Copies of any and all cultural resource records and study reports that may have been provided by the Information Center as part of the records search response;
  - If the probability is low, moderate, or high that cultural resources are located in the APE.
  - Whether the records search indicates a low, moderate or high probability that unrecorded cultural resources are located in the potential APE; and

- If a survey is recommended by the Information Center to determine whether previously unrecorded cultural resources are present.
- 2. The results of any archaeological inventory survey that was conducted, including:
  - Any report that may contain site forms, site significance, and suggested mitigation measurers.

All information regarding site locations, Native American human remains, and associated funerary objects should be in a separate confidential addendum, and not be made available for pubic disclosure in accordance with Government Code Section 6254.10.

- 3. The results of any Sacred Lands File (SFL) check conducted through Native American Heritage Commission. <u>A search of the SFL was completed for the USGS quadrangle information provided with negative results.</u>
- 4. Any ethnographic studies conducted for any area including all or part of the potential APE; and
- 5. Any geotechnical reports regarding all or part of the potential APE.

Lead agencies should be aware that records maintained by the NAHC and CHRIS is not exhaustive, and a negative response to these searches does not preclude the existence of a cultural place. A tribe may be the only source of information regarding the existence of a tribal cultural resource.

This information will aid tribes in determining whether to request formal consultation. In the case that they do, having the information beforehand well help to facilitate the consultation process.

If you receive notification of change of addresses and phone numbers from tribes, please notify me. With your assistance we are able to assure that our consultation list contains current information.

If you have any questions, please contact me at my email address: gayle.totton@nahc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Gayle Jotton, M.A., PhD. Sesociate Governmental Program Analyst
#### Native American Heritage Commission Tribal Consultation List San Luis Obispo County March 30, 2016

Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties Patti Dunton, Tribal Administrator 7070 Morro Road, Suite A Salinan Atascadero , CA 93422 Chumash salinantribe@aol.com (805) 464-2650 (805) 235-2730 Cell

Xolon-Salinan Tribe Karen White, Council Chairperson PO Box 7045 Salinan Spreckels , CA 93962 blukat41@yahoo.com 831-238-1488

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list applicable only for consultation with Native American tribes under Public Resources Code Sections 21080.3.1 for the proposed Estrella Substation Interconnection Project, San Miguel, Paso Robles, Templeton, Estrella and Creston USGS Quadrangles, San Luis Obispo County, California.



San Luis Obispo Office 1422 Monterey Street, Suite C200 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 Tel 805.543.7095 Fax 805.543.2367 www.swca.com

# **MEETING SUMMARY**

| Project:   | Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project   |  |
|------------|--|--|
| Meeting:   | Tribal Outreach Meeting – Salinan Tribe of Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties   |  |
| Location:  | SWCA San Luis Obispo   |  |
| Attending: | <ul> <li>Patti Dunton, Tribal Administrator, Salinan Tribe of Monterey and San Luis Obispo<br/>Counties</li> <li>Matt Armstrong, Cultural Resources Specialist, PG&amp;E</li> <li>Carolyn Stewart, Director of Tribal Relations, NextEra Energy</li> <li>Leroy Laurie, Cultural Resources Specialist, SWCA</li> <li>Chad Jackson, Cultural Resources Specialist, SWCA</li> </ul> |  |

## SUMMARY/HIGHLIGHTS

An outreach meeting was held with the Salinan Tribe of Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties on August 23, 2016 at 2 pm. The meeting was initiated with attendee introductions and roles. PG&E and NEET West provided a brief project overview. Patti Dunton indicated that the tribe established a non-profit organization under which all tribal monitoring is conducted and that John Burch is the "Traditional Lead" for the tribe.

Ms. Dunton noted that the general area around the Santa Ysabel Ranch is very sensitive. Ms. Dunton asked about the findings of the field surveys conducted by SWCA and whether or not any Phase II evaluations were anticipated. She indicated she would like to have a tribal representative from her tribe on-site. No Phase II evaluations were required for the project.

Ms. Dunton requested technical report copies when they became available and indicated that she would review the project in greater detail, review the tribe's information on areas where Native American human remains have been identified, and in some cases, repatriated.



San Luis Obispo Office 1422 Monterey Street, Suite C200 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 Tel 805.543.7095 Fax 805.543.2367 www.swca.com

# **MEETING SUMMARY**

| Project:   | Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project                                       |  |
|------------|--|--|
| Meeting:   | Tribal Outreach Meeting – Xolon Salinan Tribe  |  |
| Location:  | SWCA San Luis Obispo   |  |
| Attending: | Karen White, Council Chair, Xolon Salinan Tribe<br>Donna Haro, Tribal Headwoman, Xolon Salinan Tribe |  |

Donna Haro, Tribal Headwoman, Xolon Salinan Tribe Karen Fontanetta, Xolon Salinan Tribal Cultural Resources Consultant Matt Armstrong, Cultural Resources Specialist, PG&E Carolyn Stewart, Director of Tribal Relations, NextEra Energy Leroy Laurie, Cultural Resources Specialist, SWCA

## SUMMARY/HIGHLIGHTS

An outreach teleconference was held with the Xolon Salinan Tribe on August 23, 2016 at 4 pm. The teleconference was initiated with attendee introductions and roles. PG&E and NEET West provided a brief project overview. Donna Haro asked about the types of ground disturbance associated with the installation of a new power line route and at the substation location.

Ms. Haro indicated that the areas along Estrella River and Salinas River are sensitive and known Xolon Salinan affiliated cultural resources have been identified in multiple locations. Ms. Fontanetta noted that Dry Creek was used as a travel corridor by the tribe and the Dry Creek area is within traditional tribal land.

Ms. Haro stated that deep excavations near the substation have higher potential to encounter cultural resources and that a tribal monitor should be present during ground disturbance in this area. Karen Fontanetta thought that the substation site is within the historic Steinbeck Vineyard. Further research indicated the substation site is not within the historic Steinbeck Vineyard (see Figure 6 in the Substation Cultural Resources Technical Report, Cultural Resource Survey Results Map). In addition, subsequent buried site sensitivity analysis showed the substation site has low potential to contain buried archaeological sites. Ms. Fontanetta noted that an old school is depicted on a 1917 government map to the northeast of the substation site. The project will have no impacts to this school, which was not identified in the cultural resources study area for the project.

Ms. Haro asked about the findings of the field surveys conducted by SWCA and whether or not any Phase II evaluations were anticipated. She indicated she would like to have a tribal representative from her tribe on-site for any Phase II evaluations. No Phase II evaluations were required for the project. Ms. Haro indicated they would wait to provide specific comments.

#### Appendix B. Central Coast Information Center Records Search Results







0

0.125

0.25 Miles

-

1:12,000

**Estrella Substation and Paso** Robles Area Reinforcement Project

Estrella Route

**Records Search Results** Map - Studies

Page 2 of 8









I Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:08:42 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_RecSrch\_Results\_Studies\_11x17full - Basemap source: ESRI USA Topo Maps - Data source: Central Coast Information Center (2016) - Note: Study locations and boundaries originally mapped at 1:24,000 scale.



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:09:01 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_RecSrch\_Results\_Studies\_11x17full - Basemap source: Central Coast Information Center (2016) - Note: Study locations and boundaries originally mapped at 1:24,000 scale.



repared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:09:22 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_RecSrch\_Results\_Studies\_11x17full - Basemap source: Central Coast Information Center (2016) - Note: Study locations and boundaries originally mapped at 1:24,000 scale.



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:09:43 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_RecSrch\_Results\_Studies\_11x17full - Basemap source: Central Coast Information Center (2016) - Note: Study locations and boundaries originally mapped at 1:24,000 scale.

| $\vdash$ |   |     |
|----------|---|-----|
|          | 1 | :12 |

#### Appendix C. *CONFIDENTIAL* Central Coast Information Center Records Search Results

Archaeological and other heritage resources can be damaged or destroyed through uncontrolled public disclosure of information regarding their location. This document contains sensitive information regarding the nature and location of archaeological sites that should not be disclosed to the general public or unauthorized persons.

Information regarding the location, character, or ownership of a cultural resource is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act pursuant to 16 United States Code (U.S.C.) 470w-3 (National Historic Preservation Act) and 16 U.S.C. Section 470(h) (Archaeological Resources Protections Act).

### Appendix D. Archaeological Survey Coverage Map



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:21:44 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_Index\_11x17full - ESRI World Topographic Map





repared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:19:56 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery





Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:22:09 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery



repared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:23:20 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery





Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:25:43 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery







Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:28:52 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:30:03 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery







Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:33:18 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:34:21 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:35:31 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:36:33 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery


Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:37:33 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery

120°40'50"W

| 120°40'40" | W   |  |
|------------|---|--|
|            | Image: Pacific Gas and Electric Company      0 50 100    200 Feet   |  |
|            | 1:3,000   |  |
|            | Estrella Substation and Paso<br>Robles Area Reinforcement<br>Project  |  |
|            | Estrella Route  |  |
|            | Archaeological Field Survey<br>Coverage Map   |  |
|            | Page 18 of 42   |  |
| Page 13    | Legend<br>Study Area<br>Project Area<br>New 70 kV Power Line Segment<br>Reconductoring Segment<br>Paso Robles Substation (Existing)<br>Intensive<br>Intuitive Survey Area #<br>Not Surveyed<br>Access Denied<br>Developed<br>Not Sensitive<br>Not Sensitive<br>No Visibility<br>LiveStock |  |
|            |   |  |



120°40'50"W

200 Feet



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:38:26 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:39:17 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery





120°41'0"W



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:40:07 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:40:59 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery

| Pacific Gas and<br>Electric Company<br>0 50 100 200 Feet<br>1:1,500<br>Estrella Substation and Paso  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Robles Area Reinforcement   Project   Estrella Route Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map Page 22 of 42 Legend Study Area Project Area Project Area Paso Robles Substation (Existing) Intuitive Survey Area # Not Surveyed Area Looks Sensitive Slope Area Access Denied Rocessible Not Sensitive Not Sensitive Not Sensitive Not Visibility LiveStock |  |  |  |
| Nogor  |  |  |  |



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:41:51 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery





Pacific Gas and Electric Company'

0 50 100 200 Feet

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 23 of 42

| Legend                            |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Study Area                        |  |  |  |  |
| Project Area                      |  |  |  |  |
| Reconductoring Segment            |  |  |  |  |
| Paso Robles Substation (Existing) |  |  |  |  |
| Intensive                         |  |  |  |  |
| Intuitive Survey Area #           |  |  |  |  |
| Not Surveyed                      |  |  |  |  |
| Area Looks Sensitive              |  |  |  |  |
| Slope Area                        |  |  |  |  |
| Access Denied                     |  |  |  |  |
| Developed                         |  |  |  |  |
| Inaccessible                      |  |  |  |  |
| Not Sensitive                     |  |  |  |  |
| No Visibility                     |  |  |  |  |
| LiveStock                         |  |  |  |  |

35°40'40"N











Pacific Gas and Electric Company'

| 0 | 50 | 100 | 200 Feet |
|---|----|-----|----------|
| L |    |     |          |
|   | •  |     | •        |

#### 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 24 of 42

#### Legend Study Area Project Area Reconductoring Segment Paso Robles Substation (Existing) Intensive Intuitive Survey Area # Not Surveyed Area Looks Sensitive Slope Area Access Denied Developed Inaccessible Not Sensitive No Visibility LiveStock









0 50 100 200 Feet

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 25 of 42

# Legend

Study Area

Project Area

Reconductoring Segment

Paso Robles Substation (Existing)

# Intensive

Not Surveyed

Area Looks Sensitive

Developed

Inaccessible

Not Sensitive

No Visibility

LIVESIOCK











0 50 100 200 Feet

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 27 of 42

#### Legend Study Area Project Area Reconductoring Segment Paso Robles Substation (Existing) Intensive Intuitive Survey Area # Not Surveyed Area Looks Sensitive Slope Area Access Denied Developed Inaccessible Not Sensitive No Visibility LiveStock



5°39'



repared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:46:13 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery







200 Feet 50 100 0

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso **Robles Area Reinforcement** Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 29 of 42

#### Legend Study Area Previous Survey - Estrella PEA 70 kV Power Line Project Area Reconductoring Segment Paso Robles Substation (Existing) Intensive Intuitive Survey Area # Not Surveyed Area Looks Sensitive Slope Area Access Denied Developed Inaccessible Not Sensitive No Visibility LiveStock







Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:48:43 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery





Pacific Gas and Electric Company'

0 50 100 200 Feet

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 31 of 42

#### Legend

Study Area

Previous Survey - Estrella PEA 70 kV Power Line

#### Project Area

Reconductoring Segment

Paso Robles Substation (Existing)

#### Intensive

Intuitive Survey Area #

#### Not Surveyed

Area Looks Sensitive

#### Slope Area

Access Denied

- Developed
- Inaccessible

Not Sensitive

- No Visibility
  - LIVEOLUCK





Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:49:36 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery



 $\mathbf{k}$ 

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

| 0    | 50 | 100 | 200 Fee |
|------|----|-----|---------|
| <br> |    |     |         |

#### 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 32 of 42

# Legend

Study Area

Previous Survey - Estrella PEA 70 kV Power Line

#### Project Area

Reconductoring Segment

Paso Robles Substation (Existing)

#### Intensive

Intuitive Survey Area #

Not Surveyed

Area Looks Sensitive

Slope Area

- Access Denied
  Developed
- Inaccessible

Not Sensitive

No Visibility

LiveStock















200 Feet 50 100 0

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso **Robles Area Reinforcement** Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 34 of 42

#### Legend

- Study Area
- Previous Survey Estrella PEA 70 kV Power Line

#### Project Area

- Reconductoring Segment
- Paso Robles Substation (Existing)

# Intensive

Intuitive Survey Area #

- Not Surveyed Area Looks Sensitive
- Slope Area
  - Access Denied
  - Developed
  - Inaccessible
- Not Sensitive



18 17 16 15 14 13 Pase Robles. Municipal Airport P36 0 8 38 les 40 41 42







0 50 100 200 Feet

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 35 of 42

#### Legend

Study Area

Previous Survey - Estrella PEA 70 kV Power Line

#### Project Area

"N

Reconductoring Segment
 Paso Robles Substation (Existing)
 Intensive
 Intuitive Survey Area #
 Not Surveyed
 Area Looks Sensitive
 Slope Area
 Access Denied
 Developed
 Inaccessible
 Not Sensitive
 No Visibility
 LiveStock





repared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:53:16 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery





Pacific Gas and Electric Company'

0 50 100 200 Feet

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 36 of 42

#### Legend

Study Area

Previous Survey - Estrella PEA 70 kV Power Line

#### Project Area

- Reconductoring Segment
- Paso Robles Substation (Existing)

#### Intensive

Intuitive Survey Area #

#### Not Surveyed

Area Looks Sensitive

#### Slope Area

Access Denied

- Inaccessible Not Sensitive

No Visibility

LiveStock





Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:54:10 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery





Pacific Gas and Electric Company'

0 50 100 200 Feet

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 37 of 42

# Legend

Study Area

Previous Survey - Estrella PEA 70 kV Power Line

#### Project Area

Reconductoring Segment

Paso Robles Substation (Existing)

#### Intensive

Intuitive Survey Area #

Not Surveyed

Area Looks Sensitive

#### Slope Area

Access Denied
Developed

#### Inaccessible

Not Sensitive

No Visibility

LiveStock





repared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:55:05 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery





repared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:55:58 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery











200 Feet 50 100 0

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso **Robles Area Reinforcement** Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 40 of 42

#### Legend

Study Area

Previous Survey - Estrella PEA 70 kV Power Line

#### Project Area

- Reconductoring Segment
- Paso Robles Substation (Existing)

#### Intensive Intuitive Survey Area #

- Not Surveyed Area Looks Sensitive
- Slope Area

#### Access Denied

- Developed
- Inaccessible
- Not Sensitive
- No Visibility
- LiveStock





Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:57:48 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery





Pacific Gas and Electric Company'

0 50 100 200 Feet

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 41 of 42

#### Legend



Previous Survey - Estrella PEA 70 kV Power Line

#### Project Area

- Reconductoring Segment
- Paso Robles Substation (Existing)

#### Intensive

Intuitive Survey Area #

#### Not Surveyed

Area Looks Sensitive

# Slope Area

- Developed
- Inaccessible
- Not Sensitive
- No Visibility
- LiveStock

# 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 19 10 9 9 7 6 22 23 8 7 6 30 1 10 9 1 10 9 1 10 9 22 1 10 1 10 10 1 10



repared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:58:43 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_Survey\_Coverage\_11x17full - Basemap sources: PG&E orthophoto (approximately 750-foot-wide corridor based on centerline) and ESRI World Imagery





Pacific Gas and Electric Company'

0 50 100 200 Feet

## 1:1,500

Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project

#### Estrella Route

#### Archaeological Field Survey Coverage Map

Page 42 of 42

# Legend

Study Area

Previous Survey - Estrella PEA 70 kV Power Line

#### Project Area

Reconductoring Segment

Paso Robles Substation (Existing)

Intensive

Intuitive Survey Area #

Not Surveyed

Area Looks Sensitive

Slope Area

- Access Denied
- Developed
- Not Sensitive
- No Visibility
- LiveStock



## Appendix E. *CONFIDENTIAL* Archaeological Survey Results Map and Department of Parks and Recreation 523 Series Forms

Archaeological and other heritage resources can be damaged or destroyed through uncontrolled public disclosure of information regarding their location. This document contains sensitive information regarding the nature and location of archaeological sites that should not be disclosed to the general public or unauthorized persons.

Information regarding the location, character, or ownership of a cultural resource is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act pursuant to 16 United States Code (U.S.C.) 470w-3 (National Historic Preservation Act) and 16 U.S.C. Section 470(h) (Archaeological Resources Protections Act).

# Appendix F. Built Environment Constraints Study Results Map



repared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:00:39 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_BuiltEnvironment\_Results\_11x17full - Basemap source: ESRI USA Topo Maps





Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:01:04 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_BuiltEnvironment\_Results\_11x17full - Basemap source: ESRI USA Topo Maps



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:01:34 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_BuiltEnvironment\_Results\_11x17full - Basemap source: ESRI USA Topo Maps



Prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants (1/19/2017, 1:02:01 PM) - NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N - File: Estrella\_Power\_Line\_CR\_Estrella\_BuiltEnvironment\_Results\_11x17full - Basemap source: ESRI USA Topo Maps

# Appendix G. Department of Parks and Recreation 523 Series Forms
| State of California — The Reso<br>DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND | ources Agency<br>DRECREATION    | Primary #<br>HRI #           |                     |            |        |      |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------|--------|------|
| PRIMARY RECORD  |                                 | Trinomial                    |                     |            |        |      |
|   |                                 | NRHP Status                  | Gode 6Z             |            |        |      |
|   | Other Listings                  |                              |                     |            |        |      |
|   | Review Code                     | Reviewer                     |                     | Date       |        |      |
| Page 1 of 4   | *Resource Name or               | #: Diablo - Gates 500k       | / Transmission I    | Line       |        |      |
| <b>P1. Other Identifier:</b> Pacific Gas                  | and Electric (PG&E) Dia         | blo – Gates 500kV Trans      | smission Line       |            |        |      |
| *P2. Location: 🗆 Not for Public                           | ation D Unrestricted            | *a. Coun                     | <b>ty:</b> Humboldt |            |        |      |
| and (P2b and P2c or P2d. Attach                           | a Location Map as necessa       | ıry.)                        | -                   |            |        |      |
| *b. USGS 7.5' Quad: Paso Re                               | obles and Templeton Da          | te: 1948 (PR 1979) T         | ; R ; ¼ of          | 1/4 of Sec | ; M.D. | B.M. |
| c. Address:   | -                               | City:                        |                     |            | Zip:   |      |
| d. UTM: Zone: 10 ;  | mE/ mN (G.F                     | P.S.)                        |                     |            |        |      |
| e. Other Locational Data: (e.                             | q., parcel #, directions to res | ource, elevation, etc., as a | ppropriate) Elevat  | ion:       |        |      |

**\*P3a.** Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries) Located in unincorporated San Luis Obispo County, east of Paso Robles, the subject structure is a segment of Pacific Gas and Electric's Diablo – Gates 500kV transmission line. The 1.08-mile segment is within a utility corridor that follows a northeast-southwest alignment and also includes the Morro Bay – Gates 230kV transmission line immediately to the south. Spanning approximately 1,000 feet, the single circuit lattice steel towers (LSTs) measure approximately 100 feet in height and consist of a steel framework with structural components bolted together. The base of each LST flares outward to two legs that sit atop cylindrical concrete footings, with the upper portion of the tower supporting three phases spread across a horizontal cross arm. Providing power to the greater Fresno and San Joaquin Valley areas, the linear feature is part of a much larger segment that runs between the Diablo Power Plant to the southwest and the Gates substation to the northeast.

**\*P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List attributes and codes) HP11. Engineering Structure **\*P4. Resources Present:** □Building ⊠Structure □Object □Site □District



Element of District DOther (Isolates, etc.) P5b. Description of Photo: (View, date, accession #) View north along North River Road, May 20, 2016, IMPG\_357.jpg.

> **\*P6. Date Constructed/Age and Sources:** ⊠Historic □Prehistoric □Both Circa 1970 (Pacific Gas and Electric Company 1970)

\*P7. Owner and Address:

Pacific Gas and Electric 245 Market Street San Francisco, California 94111

\***P8. Recorded by:** (Name, affiliation, and address) Natalie Loukianoff SWCA Environmental Consultants 150 S. Arroyo Parkway, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Pasadena, California 91104

\*P9. Date Recorded: May 20, 2016

\*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive

**\*P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.") *Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project: Cultural Resources Technical Report for the 70kV Power Line* (SWCA Environmental Consultants 2016).

\*Attachments: □NONE ⊠Location Map □Sketch Map □Continuation Sheet ⊠Building, Structure, and Object Record □Archaeological Record □District Record ⊠Linear Feature Record □Milling Station Record □Rock Art Record □Artifact Record □Photograph Record □ Other (List): DPR 523A (1/95) \*Required information

# State of California — The Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION LOCATION MAP

Primary # HRI#

Trinomial

Page 2 of 4

\*Resource Name or #: Diablo – Gates 500kV Transmission Line



DPR 523J (1/95)

| State of California — The Resources Agency | Primary # |
|--|-----------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION         | HRI#      |
| LINEAR FEATURE RECORD                      | Trinomial |

Page 3 of 4

#### Resource Name or #: (Assigned by recorder) Diablo - Gates 500kV Transmission Line

L1. Historic and/or Common Name: San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV Power Line

**L2a. Portion Described:** DEntire Resource Segment Designation: Designation:

**b.** Location of point or segment: (Provide UTM coordinates, legal description, and any other useful locational data. Show the area that has been field inspected on a Location Map)

The subject feature is located in unincorporated San Luis Obispo County, east of Paso Robles. It is situated south of CA SR 46 and north of Union Road.

**L3. Description:** (Describe construction details, materials, and artifacts found at this segment/point. Provide plans/sections as appropriate.)

The subject structure is a 500 kV transmission line, which was as PG&E expanded its power generating and supply infrastructure in the decades after World War II. The line was constructed circa 1970 and features an H-frame design with a framework construction made of steel sections that sits atop four concrete footings. There are three conductors, each of which is supported by ceramic insulators.

- L4. Dimensions: (In feet for historic features and meters for prehistoric features) a. Top Width: ±700 feet
  - **b. Bottom Width:** ±50 feet
  - c. Height or Depth: ±100 feet
  - d. Length of Segment: 1.08 circuit miles
- L5. Associated Resources:
- **L6. Setting:** (Describe natural features, landscape characteristics, slope, etc., as appropriate.)

The subject feature is located in a rural area and traverses a vineyard that is slightly sloped.

**L7. Integrity Considerations:** Due to the function of the subject feature as a continuously operating transmission line, many of the original features have been upgraded and/or replaced since its initial construction. Although some original elements have been replaced, the subject structure retains integrity of location, feeling, setting, design, and association.



L8b. Description of Photo, Map, or Drawing (View, scale, etc.) View north of subject segment (May 20, 2016, IMPG\_412.jpg.).

L9. Remarks:

# **L10. Form Prepared by:** (Name, affiliation, and address) Steven Treffers

SWCA Environmental Consultants 150 S. Arroyo Parkway, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Pasadena, California 91105

L11. Date: August 28, 2016

DPR 523E (1/95)



# State of California — The Resources Agency Primary # DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION HRI# BUILDING, STRUCTURE, AND OBJECT RECORD

Page  $4 \,$  of  $4 \,$ 

#### \*NRHP Status Code 6Z

**Original Location:** 

\*Resource Name or # (Assigned by recorder) Diablo – Gates 500 kV Transmission Line

B1.Historic Name: Diablo - Gates 500 kV Transmission Line

B2.Common Name: Diablo – Gates 500 kV Transmission Line

B3.Original Use: Transmission LineB4.Present Use: Transmission Line

**\*B5.** Architectural Style: Utilitarian

**\*B6.** Construction History: (Construction date, alterations, and date of alterations)

Circa 1970 (Pacific Gas and Electric Company 1970). Alterations: routine pole and line maintenance (dates unknown).

#### \*B7. Moved? ⊠No □Yes □Unknown Date:

\*B8. Related Features:

B9a. Architect: N/A

b. Builder: Pacific Gas and Electric

\*B10. Significance: Theme: Electric Generation and Transmission in San Luis Obispo County Period of Significance: 1946-1971 (Discuss importance in terms of historical or architectural context as defined by theme, period, and geographic scope. Also address integrity.) The subject property is a 1.08-mile segment of the Diablo – Gates 500 kV Transmission Line, which runs between the Diablo

Canyon Substation to the southwest and the Gates Substation to the northeast. The subject segment was constructed in the mid-1970s as the Pacific Gas and Electric Company expanded its electrical systems to support the growing energy needs of California's rapidly increasing population in the decades after World War II (Williams 1997). Since its construction, the line has been in continual operation and subject to routine maintenance.

The property does not appear eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources under any applicable criteria. In considering the historical significance of the subject segment, it is a lattice steel tower (LST) transmission line constructed in the 1970s as Pacific Gas and Electric Company expanded its systems across the state. It was not the first transmission line constructed in Paso Robles, where electricity had first arrived in the late nineteenth century, and it was one of many such lines developed in the post-World War II era in response to rapidly increasing energy demands. The subject segment does not appear to be directly associated with any advance in electric generation or transmission that has made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history (Criterion 1). Archival research did not identify any associations with the lives of persons important to local or state history (Criterion 2). As a LST transmission line, the subject segment does not represent any innovations in transmission technology and does not embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, nor represent the work of a master (Criterion 3). Finally, there is no reason to believe that the property may yield important information about prehistory or history (Criterion 4).

#### B11. Additional Resource Attributes: (List attributes and codes)

### \*B12. References:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company. Structure Data Sheet Diablo-Gates No. 1 500kV Tower Line, Drawing Number 217512. 1970
Williams, James C. *Energy and the Making of Modern California*. The University of Akron Press, Akron, Ohio. 1997.

B13. Remarks: \*B14. Evaluator: Steven Treffers \*Date of Evaluation: August 28, 2016

(This space reserved for official comments.)



Required information

| State of California — The Resources Agency<br>DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION<br>PRIMARY RECORD |                          | Primary #<br>HRI #                    |                                    |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|  |                          | Trinomial<br>NRHP Status Code         |                                    |
|  | Other Listings           |                                       |                                    |
|  | Review Code              | Reviewer                              | Date                               |
| age 1 of 5   | *Resource Name or        | <b>#:</b> San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 k | V Power Line                       |
| <ol> <li>Other Identifier: Pacific Gas</li> </ol>  | and Electric (PG&E) San  | n Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV Pow        | er Line                            |
| 2. Location: 🗆 Not for Public  | ation                    | *a. County: Hum                       | boldt                              |
| and (P2b and P2c or P2d. Attach  | a Location Map as necess | arv.)                                 |                                    |
| *b. USGS 7.5' Quad: Paso Ro  | bles and Templeton       | Date: 1948 (PR 1979) T Multiple;      | R Multiple; Sec Multiple; M.D.B.M. |
| c Address  | -                        | City                                  | -<br>Zin·                          |
| 0. Auguro33.   |                          | Oity.                                 | <u>ک</u> ام.                       |

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, elevation, etc., as appropriate) Elevation:

\*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries) Located in Paso Robles immediately east of the Salinas River, the subject structure is a segment of Pacific Gas and Electric's San Miguel-Paso Robles 70kV power line. From the Paso Robles Substation at the intersection of South River Road and Niblick Road, the subject segment runs north for 3.22 circuit miles, roughly paralleling River Road. The line consists of three conductors connected by wood poles, typically spanning 200 to 400 feet. Ranging in height from 50 to 80 feet, the poles feature a variety of arm configurations with both wood and metal cross arms, and post-, suspension-, and strain-type insulators consisting of porcelain disks. Some poles have guy wires to provide additional stability, as well as small wood cross arms situated midway down the pole, which support local, lower voltage distribution lines. The linear feature is part of a larger segment that connects the Paso Robles Substation to the San Miguel Substation to the north. Currently in use and regularly maintained, the line possesses good integrity.





\*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.") SWCA Environmental Consultants. Estrella Substation and Paso Robles Area Reinforcement Project: Cultural Resources Technical Report for the 70kV Power Line, 2016. (Prepared for Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Half Moon Bay, CA).

\*Attachments: □NONE ■Location Map □Sketch Map □Continuation Sheet ■Building, Structure, and Object Record □Archaeological Record □District Record ■Linear Feature Record □Milling Station Record □Rock Art Record □Artifact Record □Photograph Record □ Other (List): DPR 523A (1/95)

| State of California — The Resources Agency | Primary # |
|--|-----------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION         | HRI #     |
| LINEAR FEATURE RECORD                      | Trinomial |

Page 2 of 5

Resource Name or #: San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV Power Line

L1. Historic and/or Common Name: San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV Power Line

L2a. Portion Described: □ Entire Resource ■ Segment □ Point Observation Designation:

**b.** Location of point or segment: (Provide UTM coordinates, legal description, and any other useful locational data. Show the area that has been field inspected on a Location Map)

**L3. Description:** (Describe construction details, materials, and artifacts found at this segment/point. Provide plans/sections as appropriate.) The subject structure is a 70 kV transmission line, which was developed in conjunction with new elements of PG&E's growing power generating and supply infrastructure in the years after World War II. The line was constructed circa 1954, corresponding with development of the Paso Robles Substation to the south and the San Miguel Substation to the north.

- L4. Dimensions: (In feet for historic features and meters for prehistoric features)
  - a. Top Width:
  - b. Bottom Width:
  - c. Height or Depth: 50-80 ft.
  - d. Length of Segment: 3.22 circuit miles

L5. Associated Resources:

The line is connected to the Paso Robles Substation to the south.

L4e. Sketch of Cross-Section (include scale)

L6. Setting: (Describe natural features, landscape characteristics, slope, etc., as appropriate.)

The subject feature is located in a developed area, crossing residential and agricultural areas. Beginning to the south at the Paso Robles Substation, it traverses a topographically diverse path 3.22 circuit miles to the north that includes a number of hills and valleys.

**L7. Integrity Considerations:** Due to the function of the subject feature as a continuously operating transmission line, many of the original features have been upgraded and/or replaced since its initial construction. Although some original elements have been replace, the subject structure retains integrity of location, feeling, setting, design, and association



L8b. Description of Photo, Map, or Drawing (View, scale, etc.) Subject segment in background, view south along North River Road (May 20, 2016, IMPG\_363.jpg.).

L9. Remarks: None

Facing:

**L10. Form Prepared by:** (Name, affiliation, and address) Steven Treffers SWCA Environmental Consultants 150 S. Arroyo Parkway, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Pasadena, California 91105

L11. Date: August 28, 2016

# State of California — The Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION LOCATION MAP

\*Map Name: Paso Robles, California

Primary # HRI#

Trinomial

.

\*Date of Map: 1948 (PR 1979)

Page 3 of 5



\*Scale: 1:24,000

761 ----Windre 120 0 Salim C 10 Windmill Brad 101 2 iver .... Groun PA Hill Mile 122 Paso Robles Capital Hill PackBM Paso Robles 0 -Templeton ts: Copyright © 2013 National Geographic Society 1-cubed Trini .... Service Layer Cred 0.5 1 Miles N Legend Subject Kilometers 0.5 0 Segment 1:24,000 Location Map - Paso Robles-San Miguel 70 kV Line segment Paso Robles, Calif. USGS 7.5-minute Quadrangle National Geographic Topography (2013) San Luis Obispo County, CA NAD 1983 UTM Zone 10N

10/3/2016



Primary # HRI# Trinomial

**Page** 4 **of** 5





# State of California — The Resources Agency Primary # DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION HRI# BUILDING, STRUCTURE, AND OBJECT RECORD

#### Page 5 of 5

#### \*NRHP Status Code: 6Z

\*Resource Name or #: San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV Power Line

- B1. Historic Name: San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV Power Line
- B2. Common Name: San Miguel-Paso Robles 70 kV Power Line
- B3. Original Use: Transmission Line B4. Present Use: Transmission Line
- \*B5. Architectural Style: Utilitarian
- **\*B6.** Construction History: (Construction date, alterations, and date of alterations)

Circa 1954 (Pacific Gas and Electric Company 1954). Alterations: routine pole and line maintenance (dates unknown).

# \*B7. Moved? ■No □Yes □Unknown Date:

\*B8. Related Features: None

B9a. Architect: N/A

b. Builder: Pacific Gas and Electric

**Original Location:** 

\*B10. Significance: Theme: Electric Generation and Transmission in San Luis Obispo County Period of Significance: 1946-1971 Property Type: Transmission Line Applicable Criteria: N/A (Discuss importance in terms of historical or architectural context as defined by theme, period, and geographic scope. Also address integrity.) The subject structure is a 3.22-mile segment of the San Miguel-Paso Robles 70kV Power Line, which runs between the San Miguel Substation to the north and the Paso Robles Substation to the south. The subject segment, San Miguel and Paso Robles substations, and nearby Morro Bay Power Plant were constructed in the mid-1950s as part of a larger electrical system, one of many that were developed and expanded in the years after World War II to support the growing energy needs of California's rapidly increasing population (Williams 1997). Since its construction, the line has been in continual operation and subject to routine maintenance.

The structure does not appear eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources under any applicable criteria. In considering the historical significance of the subject segment, it is a wood-pole transmission line constructed in the 1950s as Pacific Gas and Electric Company expanded its systems across the state. It was not the first transmission line constructed in Paso Robles, where electricity had first arrived in the late nineteenth century, and it was one of many such lines developed in the post-World War II era in response to rapidly increasing energy demands. The subject segment does not appear to be directly associated with any advance in electric generation or transmission that has made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history (Criterion 1). Archival research did not identify any associations with the lives of persons important to local or state history (Criterion 2). As a wood-pole transmission line, the subject segment does not represent any innovations in transmission technology and does not embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, nor represent the work of a master (Criterion 3). Finally, there is no reason to believe that the structure may yield important information about prehistory or history (Criterion 4).

B11. Additional Resource Attributes: (List attributes and codes)

#### \*B12. References:

- Pacific Gas and Electric Company. Arrangement of Conduits and Grounds Paso Robles Station "A," Drawing Number 417594. 1954
- Williams, James C. *Energy and the Making of Modern California*. The University of Akron Press, Akron, Ohio. 1997.

B13. Remarks: None

\*B14. Evaluator: Steven Treffers

\*Date of Evaluation: August 28, 2016

(This space reserved for official comments.)



\*Required information

| State of California — The Resources Agency<br>DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION<br><b>PRIMARY RECORD</b> |   | Primary #<br>HRI #                     |                              |
|---|---|--|------------------------------|
|   |   | Trinomial<br>NRHP Status Code          | a.                           |
|   | Other Listings                                      |  |                              |
|   | Review Code   | Reviewer                               | Date                         |
| Page 1 of 7   | *Resource Name or                                   | <b>r #:</b> Steinbeck Vineyards and W  | Vinery                       |
| P1. Other Identifier:   |   |  |                              |
| P2. Location: 🗆 Not for P   | ublication 🛛 Unrestricted                           | *a. County: Sar                        | n Luis Obispo                |
| and (P2b and P2c or P2d. A  | ttach a Location Map as necess                      | ary.)                                  | 1                            |
| *b. USGS 7.5' Quad: Es  | trella <b>Date:</b> 2015 <b>T</b> 26S; <b>R</b> 131 | E; SE 1⁄4 of NW1⁄4 of Sec 29; M.       | .D. <b>B.M.</b> Mount Diablo |
| c. Address: 5401 Union  | Road  | City: Paso Ro                          | bles Zip: 93446              |
| d. UTM: Zone: 10 ; 71   | 8165 mE / 3946723 mN (cent                          | ral cluster of winery buildings)       |                              |
| e. Other Locational Data<br>APN 015-053-012   | : (e.g., parcel #, directions to re                 | esource, elevation, etc., as appropria | iate) Elevation: 930 feet    |

**\*P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries) Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery is a vineyard and winery located along Union Road in unincorporated San Luis Obispo County (Figure 8). The current operation began in 1982 and now comprises approximately 400 acres spread across four parcels. Much of this acreage was purchased and developed in the mid-1990s (including buildings and vineyards within APNs 015-053-008, 015-053-010, and 015-053-011), and as a result, the current survey and evaluation effort focuses on the original 156-acre parcel owned by the Ernst/Steinbeck families beginning in 1925 (APN 015-053-012). Included within this parcel are three buildings that date to 1921, including a residence and two outbuildings, and three additional buildings and structures that were constructed beginning in the late 1980s. All of the buildings are clustered in a small complex, which is accessed from a paved driveway that runs approximately 1,000 feet north from Union Road.

(See Continuation Sheet, page 4).

**\*P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List attributes and codes) HP2. Single-Family Residence; HP33. Farm/Ranch **\*P4. Resources Present:** ⊠Building □Structure □Object ⊠Site □District □Element of District ⊠Other (Isolates, etc.)



P5b. Description of Photo: (View, date, accession #) View facing southeast, 03/28/2016, ED0A2773.jpg

\*P6. Date Constructed/Age and Sources: ⊠Historic □Prehistoric □Both 1925 (San Luis Obispo County Assessor)

**\*P7. Owner and Address:** Steinbeck Vineyards #1 LLC

\***P8. Recorded by:** (Name, affiliation, and address) Natalie Loukianoff and Peter Von der Porten, SWCA Environmental Consultants, 60 Stone Pine Road, Ste. 201, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

**\*P9. Date Recorded:** 03/29/2016 **\*P10. Survey Type:** (Describe) Intensive pedestrian survey. Site

area was not fully surveyed.

**\*P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

*Cultural Resources Survey Report for the Estrella Substation Project in San Luis Obispo County, California* (SWCA Environmental Consultants 2016)

\*Attachments: □NONE ⊠Location Map □Sketch Map ⊠Continuation Sheet ⊠Building, Structure, and Object Record ⊠Archaeological Record □District Record ⊠Linear Feature Record □Milling Station Record □Rock Art Record □Artifact Record □Photograph Record □ Other (List):
DPR 523A (1/95)

## State of California — The Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION LOCATION MAP

Primary # HRI# Trinomial

Page 2 of 7

\*Resource Name or #: Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery

\*Map Name: Estrella

\*Scale: 1:24,000 \*Date of Map: 1948 (photorev. 1979)



## State of California — The Resources Agency Primary # DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION HRI# BUILDING, STRUCTURE, AND OBJECT RECORD

#### Page 3 of 7

\*Resource Name or # (Assigned by recorder)

- B1. Historic Name: Ernst Ranch; Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery
- B2. Common Name: Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery
- B3. Original Use: Agricultural (cattle and grain)
- \*B5. Architectural Style: Various

\*B6. Construction History: (Construction date, alterations, and date of alterations)

Original complex of buildings, including the barn and original house, was constructed between 1948 and 1952; additional residence constructed in 1975, additional residences constructed circa 1994, additional residence constructed in 1999; structures refinished and reroofed at unknown date (Environmental Data Resources 2016).

#### \*B7. Moved? ⊠No □Yes □Unknown Date:

\*B8. Related Features:

B9a. Architect: Unknown

b. Builder: Unknown Development **Area:** Paso Robles

**Original Location:** 

\*B10. Significance: Theme: Early- and Mid-20th Century Agricultural Development Period of Significance: N/A Property Type: Agricultural

Applicable Criteria: N/A

(Discuss importance in terms of historical or architectural context as defined by theme, period, and geographic scope. Also address integrity.) The land on which the present-day Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery sits was purchased by the Ernst family in 1921. Originally from Germany, the William Ernst family first settled in Geneseo, Illinois before responding to a newspaper article in 1884, which invited families to San Luis Obispo County to help start a Lutheran Church (Paso Robles Magazine 2013). The family eventually settled in an area they named Geneseo, located approximately 4 miles east of Paso Robles, and proceeded to grow grapes to produce their own wine. In 1921, William's son Frank and his wife, Rosetta "Rosie," purchased a portion of the land that is now Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery and constructed a residence and other agricultural-related buildings in 1925. They proceeded to use the land and other non-contiguous parcels they owned to the northwest to raise cattle and grow grain (Steinbeck 2016). Ownership of the property eventually transferred to Frank and Rosie's daughter Hazel, who returned to the Ernst Ranch with her husband George Steinbeck in 1948 (Paso Robles Magazine 2013). A reverend, George Steinbeck was also the uncle of Nobel prize-winning author John Steinbeck, Jr.

Born in Salinas in 1902, Steinbeck Jr. does not appear to have had significant ties to the Paso Robles area during his lifetime; instead, his biographers emphasize the influence of his early experiences in and around Salinas, and on his mother's family ranch near King City (Benson 1984; Parini 1994). Hazel and George Steinbeck lived on the ranch intermittently over the following decades, and their son Howie purchased the property in 1972. Howie planted the first vineyards on the property 10 years later in 1982 as part of a partnership with Gary Eberle in which Howie would grow the grapes and Eberle would make the wine. In the late 1980s and in 1994, Howie purchased the adjacent properties to the west, expanding the total acreage to the current 520 acres (Paso Robles Magazine 2013; Steinbeck 2016). After Howie's daughter Cindy Steinbeck returned to the area in 1997, she persuaded her father into helping to create the Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery in 2003, which has continued to operate since this time.

(See Continuation Sheet, page 7).

B11. Additional Resource Attributes: (List attributes and codes) **\*B12. References:** (See Continuation Sheet, page 7)

B13. Remarks: **\*B14. Evaluator:** Natalie Loukianoff **\*Date of Evaluation:** 03/29/2016

(This space reserved for official comments.)



\*NRHP Status Code 6Z

B4. Present Use: Agricultural (vineyards and winery)

| State of California — The Resources Agency | Primary # |
|--|-----------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION         | HRI#      |
| CONTINUATION SHEET                         | Trinomial |

Page 4 of 7

\*Resource Name or # Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery

| Recorded By: Natalie Loukianoff | *Date: March 3, 2016 | ■ Continuation □ Undate |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
|                                 |                      |                         |

# \*P3a. Description: (Continued)

Located immediately to the west at the end of the paved driveway is the primary residence. Constructed in 1925, this building has been substantially altered through multiple additions in the 1990s, resulting in its current irregular plan. One story in height, the building is capped by an intersecting side-gabled roof with asphalt shingles and has been sheathed in non-original textured stucco. It features a projecting front entry to the west and a projecting addition to the east. Various sized rectangular metal windows are used throughout the building, many of which appear to be placed within non-original window openings. These alterations have negatively affected the building's integrity of design, materials, and workmanship.

Immediately north of the residence is the present-day tasting room, which is comprised of two adjacent bans that were constructed circa 1925. These two buildings are both rectangular in plan, capped by side-gabled roofs, and sheathed in corrugated metal panels. Visual observation indicates that the buildings have been substantially altered through the creation of large openings, which contain non-original metal doors. These openings have negatively affected the buildings' integrity of design, materials, and workmanship.

East of the tasting room is a large barn that was also constructed circa 1925. The barn is a timber frame building with a pitched gable room, a large central opening leading to the main bay, and smaller openings on either side of the main opening. Rafter tails are visible on the short overhanging eaves. No barn doors are present and the roof has been replaced by a standing seam aluminum roof. Attached to the western elevation is a large roof extension that provides cover outside of the main entrance, and which is supported by large timber columns. The replacement of the roof and the roof extension have negatively affected the building's integrity of design, materials, and workmanship.

East of the residence is another large agricultural building that was constructed circa 1995. It features a gently sloped gable roof and is composed of standing seam aluminum. Two large metal roll-up doors are located on the northern elevation, with a single metal personnel door, a smaller roll-up door, and vinyl sliding windows located on the eastern elevation. Another utilitarian agricultural building constructed circa 1989 is located to the west of the tasting room and used as pole room (Figure 13). Rectangular in plan, the building is open on the east elevation and features a steel-frame sheathed in corrugated metal, a material that also covers the cantilevered roof that slants downward to the west. Attached to the north elevation is a small shed extension, which features a flat roof and is also constructed of corrugated metal sheets. North of the tasting room is a circa 2016 open-aired dining area that is covered by a roof structure that is constructed with large timber framing and sheathed in a corrugate metal panel roof.

The building complex is located in the southwestern quadrant of the larger agricultural property, which largely covers the original 1921 parcel, as well as the three parcels to the west that were purchased in the 1990s. Intersecting the property are a number of dirt roads as well as a dry creek, which enters the parcel near the center of the northern boundary and traverses a general southeast course through to the eastern boundary of the property. Also crossing the larger property are two lattice steel tower transmission lines, both of which were constructed circa 1960. Two open water storage ponds were constructed sometime between 1975 and 1994, one of which is located to the northwest of the building complex and another that is immediately to the south. Outside of the boundaries of the historic-era property as it was currently recorded are four residential buildings, all of which were constructed after Steinbeck purchased the western adjacent properties in 1994.

| State of California — The Resources Agency | Primary # |
|--|-----------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION         | HRI#      |
| CONTINUATION SHEET                         | Trinomial |

Page 5 of 7

\*Resource Name or # Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery

Recorded By: Natalie Loukianoff

\*Date: March 3, 2016

■ Continuation □ Update

\*P5a. Photo: (Continued)



View of the residence, looking northwest. Date taken: 03/28/2016, Accession #: ED0A2779.jpg



View of the tasting room, looking southwest. Date taken: 03/28/2016, Accession #: ED0A2775.jpg

| State of California — The Resources Agency | Primary # |
|--|-----------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION         | HRI#      |
| CONTINUATION SHEET                         | Trinomial |

**Page** 6 **of** 7

\*Resource Name or # Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery

Recorded By: Natalie Loukianoff

\*Date: March 3, 2016

■ Continuation □ Update

View of the barn, looking west. Date taken: 03/28/2016, Accession #: ED0A2796.jpg



View of the storage barn, looking southwest. Date taken: 03/28/2016, Accession #: ED0A2788.jpg

| State of California — The Resources Agency | Primary # |
|--|-----------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION         | HRI#      |
| CONTINUATION SHEET                         | Trinomial |

Page 7 of 7

\*Resource Name or # Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery

| Recorded By: Natalie Loukianoff         | *Date: March 3 2016 | ■ Continuation □ Undate |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Necolueu Dy.</b> Matalle Loukialioli |                     |                         |

# \*B10. Significance: (Continued)

The Steinbeck Vineyards and Winery does not appear eligible for listing in the CRHR under any applicable criteria. In considering the historical significance of the property, it is an agricultural property that was first developed in 1921 for cattle and grain production by Frank and Rosie Ernst, whose family began cultivating wine grapes in San Luis Obispo County in the 1880s. Although the property is associated with the early-twentieth century agricultural development of San Luis Obispo County, the 1920s residence, barn, and present-day tasting room have been substantially altered and no longer retain integrity of design, materials, or workmanship. In addition, other parcels that were owned by Frank and Rosie Ernst during the 1920s, and which were also used for grazing and farming, have since been sold, negatively affecting the property's integrity of association. As a result, the property does not retain sufficient integrity to convey any potential significance for its associations with early-twentieth century agriculture in San Luis Obispo County. Further, the property is not associated with the rise of wine grape cultivation in the area as grapes were not cultivated on the property until 1982. The property therefore does not appear eligible for under Criterion 1 for its associations with significant events or under Criterion 3 as a representation of agricultural design/construction. The property is associated with the Ernst Family; however, it was not the first property owned by the family, who first arrived in the area approximately 35 years prior to the purchase of the subject property. Although the property was owned by the uncle of Nobel prize-winning author John Steinbeck, Ir., the author does not appear to have significant associations with the subject property and it does not appear eligible under Criterion 2 for its associations with important individuals. No evidence was identified to suggest that the property has the potential to yield significant information and it therefore does not appear eligible under Criterion 4.

#### \*B12. References:

Benson, Jackson J. The True Adventures of John Steinbeck, Writer: A Biography. Viking Press, New York. 1984.

Historic Resources Group. City of Paso Robles Historic Resources Survey. August 2010.

Parini, Jay. John Steinbeck: A Biography. Heinemann, London. 1994

Paso Robles Magazine. "The Steinbecks: 7 Generations of Farming," Paso Robles Magazine, November 2012).

Steinbeck, Cindy. Personal Communication with Steven Treffers, July 2016.